



Dynamic Single-Leg Balance Tests of Physiotherapy Students: A Comparison of Body-Active Weight Shift Test and Two Sudden Disturbance Tests

Blanka Koščak Tivadar¹ , Petra Kotnik² 

¹Mediko, d.o.o., Dolenjska cesta 156b, Ljubljana; ²Faculty of Health, University of Novo mesto, Novo mesto, Slovenia

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Balance is essential to maintain and stabilize the transition from static to dynamic posture. The human body can maintain the center of gravity within the base of support and to process input from vision, the vestibular apparatus, and the somatic senses.

AIM: The research aimed to check the correlation of the active body-weight shift test (Y balance test [YBT]) and two sudden perturbation tests in physiotherapy students.

METHODS: In a cross-sectional study, a descriptive research design was used. Twenty-nine healthy adults (14 men and 15 women) with a mean age of 23.6 ± 6.3 were tested using the active body-weight shift test and two sudden perturbation tests. A descriptive statistical analysis using correlation coefficients was performed.

RESULTS: Our subjects' average values of the test results meet the normative values. There is a correlation between the YBT test and the crossover hop test in the anterior ($p < 0.01$), posterior-medial, and posterior-lateral directions ($p < 0.05$), and the triple-hop test forward in the posterior-medial and posterior-lateral directions ($p < 0.05$), and anterior direction ($p < 0.01$) for the left extremity, except for the anterior direction to the right. With the right extremity, there is a correlation between the YBT test and the crossover hop test and triple-hop test forward in the posterior medial ($p < 0.05$), the crossover hop test in the posterior medial and posterior lateral to the left ($p < 0.05$), and the right ($p < 0.01$), but no correlation in the anterior direction in either of them.

CONCLUSION: The data of all physiotherapy students in our study meet the normative data, and no difference due to gender has been observed. There is a correlation between the active body-weight shift test and sudden perturbation tests with or without lateral movement for the left extremity, but not for the right extremity. We can assume better results when standing on an undominant limb, which can lead to insufficient support when standing on a dominant limb. What should not be the case in providing patient safety.

Edited by: Sasho Stoleski
Citation: Tivadar BK, Kotnik P. Dynamic Single-Leg Balance Tests of Physiotherapy Students: A Comparison of Body-Active Weight Shift Test and Two Sudden Disturbance Tests. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2024 Jun 15; 12(2):322-329. https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2024.11896
Keywords: Balance; Dynamic stability; Physiotherapy students
***Correspondence:** Blanka Koščak Tivadar, Mediko d.o.o., Dolenjska cesta 156b, Ljubljana. E-mail: blanka@mediko.si
Received: 17-Mar-2024
Revised: 26-Mar-2024
Accepted: 08-April-2024
Ahead of print: 25-Apr-2024
Copyright: © 2024 Blanka Koščak Tivadar, Petra Kotnik
Funding: This research did not receive any financial support
Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist
Open Access: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)

Introduction

The main objective is to highlight the complexity and diversity of balance assessment by outlining the nine components evaluated in balance. Balance nine components are postural stabilization (body and limbs), dynamic stabilization during movement, functional limits of stability, muscle capacity and coordination required to maintain posture and balance, sensory interaction processes, vertical perception, postural adjustments in advance, an appropriate balance response to perturbation, and the effects of cognitive processes [1]. Most standardized assessment protocols, 66 already described in 2015, evaluate three to five components of the balance-mentioned above components. The most common tests used to test dynamic balance are active body-weight shift tests, functional tests, unstable base of support tests, and sudden perturbation tests [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]. Due to the many assessment protocols and components of balance, it is often difficult to choose the proper test for evaluating balance.

Several things should be considered when testing balance. Balance in humans requires coordinated use of the somatosensory and vestibular systems, as well as visual information [7]. Although balance can be static when the body is at rest or dynamic when the body is constantly in motion, it always involves movement around the center of mass [8], with simultaneous body stability during movement [9]. Moreover, more than good static balance is needed to ensure sufficient dynamic balance. Therefore, assessing dynamic balance could provide more reliable information for daily life [10]. Establishing and maintaining balance and the ability to control posture with minimal sway [11] are necessary for performing daily activities [12]. Postural balance is ensured by appropriate patterns of muscle activations that maintain the body's center of gravity within a safe limit above the base of support or return it above the base of support after perturbations [13], [14]. In this case, equilibrium reactions are triggered by shifting the center of pressure of the ground reaction force within the base of support; the angular amount of the body around the center of mass of the support may change, or a new base of support may

emerge [15]. In addition to synergistic muscle function, good stability also requires the proper functioning of the central nervous system and the three major subsystems: somatosensory, vestibular, and visual [8], [16], [17] about the task and the environment [18]. When a balance disorder occurs, the return to a stable position is facilitated by three movement strategies: the ankle strategy, the hip strategy, and the step strategy [19].

Considering different impacts on balance and possible balance disorders, we decided, for the purpose of our research, to use three dynamic tests: the active body-weight shift test (Y balance test [YBT]) and two sudden perturbation tests (triple-hop distance test [THD] and triple crossover hop distance test [TCHD]). In the case of a one-legged stance, balance is controlled by shifting the center of pressure of the ground reaction force, moving the body holistically, and coordinating balance control mainly through coordinated muscle activity in the hip, knee, and ankle regions [20], [21], [22], and through muscle tone high enough to support the body against gravity and low enough to allow selective movement [1].

Based on these data, the aims of the present study were to test the dynamic single-leg balance of physiotherapy students: (1) to compare data with normative values; (2) to elucidate the correlation between the triple crossover hop test and the YBT; and (3) to elucidate the correlation between the triple-hop test and the YBT. We hypothesized that the data of physiotherapy students will meet the normative data and that there is a correlation between the triple crossover hop test and the YBT and a correlation between the triple-hop test and the YBT. The stability of physiotherapists is necessary to ensure patient safety. Balance is essential as the active body weight shifts and sudden perturbation since the patient can lose their balance. Including tests as an inclusion criterion for becoming a physiotherapist would be proper.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted to evaluate the dynamic balance on one leg in physiotherapy students at the University of Novo Mesto and the Angela Boškin Faculty of Health Care Jesenice in April and May 2023. The main criterion for inclusion in the study was that the students of the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Novo Mesto, and of the Angela Boškin Faculty of Health Care are enrolled in the higher education professional study program of physiotherapy, and the exclusion criterion for the research was the presence of a lower extremity injury. The Commission for Developmental Research of the University of Novo Mesto, Faculty of Health Sciences (number FZV 336/2020), and the Commission for Scientific Research and Development of the Angela Boškin Faculty of

Health Care (number 10/03-3/2021-MF) confirmed the ethical suitability of the research.

Subjects

Physiotherapy students were invited to participate in a study voluntarily. Among the 37, 29 healthy physiotherapy students decided to participate in the research. Women comprised 59% of the group, and men comprised 41%; they were between the ages of 18 and 42, with an average age of 23 ± 6.3 years. The average body mass index was 23.7 ± 3.2 (Table 1). The subjects entered the study voluntarily and provided written informed consent before data collection. All participants were informed about the potential risks and benefits of research and followed the design, protocol, and inclusion criteria. No participant was excluded from the study.

Table 1: Participants' characteristics

Characteristics	Value	Percentage
Age		
Mean \pm SD	23 ± 6.3	
Sex		
Female	20.5 ± 5.7	59
Male	25.1 ± 6.8	41
BMI	23.7 ± 3.2	
BMI		
Women	22.3 ± 2.8	
Men	22.4 ± 3.1	

BMI: Body mass index.

Procedure

An active body-weight shift test was performed to evaluate neuromuscular control [9], [23], [24], [25], [26]. In the study, we used the YBT to evaluate the participant's ability to maintain balance on one leg while reaching out with the opposite leg as far as possible in three different directions. The test is a highly representative dynamic balance test for healthy, active people, and patients [10]. The test was performed with open eyes, barefoot, and standing on straps attached to the floor, with the posterior directions positioned 135° apart from the previous one and 90° between them. The test was successful if they could maintain a single-leg stance, with the heel of the standing leg on the floor without lift. No touchdown was allowed with the reaching limb, and the hands had to stay on the hip. Each participant practiced 6 times with each lower limb in three directions before the actual test. The maximum reach was measured at the point where the most distal part of the foot touched the tape [27], [28]. During the sudden perturbation test, we conducted two tests: THD and TCHD. Both tests are useful tools to evaluate the functional capacity and lower extremity performance of individuals [29]. The THD test and the TCHD test are similar protocols used to assess lower limb function and performance. In THD, each participant performed three consecutive single-legged jumps aimed to achieve the maximum possible distance in the forward direction. In TCHD, each participant performed three consecutive

jumps by hopping forward, crossing back and forth, and trying to achieve the maximum possible distance in the forward direction. In both tests, hopping was performed barefoot and over a 15 cm wide and 6 m long line. Before the start, the participant placed the fingers of their foot at the beginning of the tape and on the side of the tape that corresponds to the first of the three jumps. In the case of the TCHD test, if the tested leg was the right leg, the subject was positioned on the left side of the tape. The distance from the beginning of the tape to the last contact of the heel with the ground was measured. All jumps were performed on the same leg without any pause, except for the controlled final landing. Stepping on the tape markers was not allowed. The participants kept their upper limbs on their hips, and on the last landing, they had to remain still for at least 1 s. Each participant completed three jumps with each leg, and the best result of the three was considered for analysis [30].

Statistical analysis used Microsoft Office Excel and Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 28.0 was used to process the data. Correlation coefficients were calculated, and the results were presented in tables and graphs and interpreted descriptively.

Results

Based on the results presented in Table 2, it can be concluded that all the participants' data meet the normative data in all single-leg dynamic tests.

Table 2: Participants' and normative DATA for YBT, TCHD, and THD

Variable	Limb	Sex	P DATA	N DATA
YBT AN	L	F	71 ± 8.8	70 ± 4.3
		M	76 ± 8.7	73.5 ± 83.5
YBT AN	R	F	70 ± 9.8	70 ± 4.1
		M	73 ± 110.4	73.1 ± 8.5
YBT PM	L	F	96 ± 114.9	92.9 ± 7.3
		M	105 ± 15.2	107.08 ± 8.7
YBT PM	R	F	96 ± 111.1	93.7 ± 7.3
		M	101 ± 11.4	104.2 ± 8.4
YBT PL	L	F	101 ± 12.5	92.8 ± 9
		M	112 ± 12.3	105.3 ± 11.6
YBT PL	R	F	101 ± 11.8	92.3 ± 8
		M	109 ± 12	105.00 ± 10.00
TCHD	L	F	363 ± 102	367.3 ± 53.0
		M	490 ± 109	493.2 ± 71.5
TCHD	R	F	354 ± 109	368.0 ± 49.0
		M	474 ± 116	493.2 ± 64.9
THD	L	F	377.6 ± 114	397.9 ± 45.6
		M	513 ± 106	542.4 ± 62.9
THD	R	F	400.6 ± 102	410.02 ± 45.2
		M	535 ± 112	546.1 ± 58.1

YBT AN: Anterior reach direction, YBT PM: Posterior-medial reach direction, YBT LM: Posterior-lateral reach direction, TCHD: Triple crossover hop distance test, THD: Triple-hop distance test, L: Left limb, R: Right limb, P DATA: Participants' data, N DATA: YBT normative values according to Alnahdi *et al.* [31], TCHD and THD normative values according to Haitz *et al.* [6].

According to the results presented in Table 3, there are correlations between THD and YBT and between TCHD and YBT. In all participants, there is a correlation between the TCHD, YBT PM, and YBT PL in all directions. There is also a correlation in YBT AN with a left limb, while in the anterior direction of YBT with the right limb, there is no correlation in either lower limb.

Moreover, there are no correlations between any other movements.

Table 3: Correlation between YBT and TCHD, and YBT and THD

Test	Limb	TCHD		THD	
		L	R	L	R
YBT AN	L	0.452*	0.440*	0.492**	0.308
YBT AN	R	0.002	0.044	0.126	0.082
YBT PM	L	0.576**	0.553**	0.703**	0.565**
YBT PM	R	0.534**	0.517**	0.623**	0.492**
YBT PL	L	0.640**	0.630**	0.705**	0.612**
YBT PL	R	0.595**	0.554**	0.608**	0.450*

YBT AN: Anterior reach direction, YBT PM: Posterior-medial reach direction, YBT LM: Posterior-lateral reach direction, TCHD: Triple crossover hop distance test, THD: Triple-hop distance test, L: Left limb, R: Right limb, *p < 0.01, **p < 0.05.

Discussion

The main purpose of the research was to evaluate the dynamic balance of physiotherapy students standing on one leg. Our key findings are as follows: (1) physiotherapy students have achieved the normative values; (2) there is a correlation between performing dynamic tests without lateral movements only in some directions; and (3) a correlation between performing dynamic tests with lateral movements exists only in some directions. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine dynamic single-leg balance, including the active body-weight shift test and sudden perturbation tests. Other authors have compared the correlation of YBT, triple jump, and cross jump with other components but not with each other. We can conclude that our students have proper balance according to normative data, but not according to different balance strategies in every direction. Due to ensure patient safety, the balance should be maintained independently to plane or perturbation.

We confirmed our first hypothesis that the data of physiotherapy students will meet the normative data for all the tests used in the study. Twenty-nine healthy students with an average age of 23 ± 6.3 years and an average body mass index of 23.7 ± 3 participated in the study. All students achieved the normative values on the YBT test in all directions, whereas they scored slightly below average on the THD and TCHD in both sexes. As expected, since our students were without injuries or pathology, they all met the normative values in YBT. The YBT test checks dynamic postural control in healthy patients and patients with various lower extremity injuries, such as chronic ankle instability, anterior cruciate ligament, and patellofemoral pain syndromes [10]. Because the test requires little equipment and is time-efficient, it is a very economical [10], [33], feasible, and widely used tool [33]. It is used in different populations and is a valid and reliable test for assessing dynamic balance and postural control capacity [30], [34], [35]. Johnston *et al.* [16] cited the YBT as one of the most used clinical dynamic balance assessments. The test effectively predicts a 2.5-fold higher likelihood of lower limb injury

when the difference between the two lower limbs in forward reach is 4 cm or more [36]. When evaluating changes, an increase or decrease of 5.87%, 7.84%, and 7.55% or more in AN, PM, and PL denotes a change [37]. Dynamic balance is an essential component of motor skill development [9]. Several studies have confirmed that poorer performance on a dynamic balance test is associated with an increased risk of injury [38], [39], [56].

There was a slight difference in the results of our study when comparing them to the normative data according to gender. The women in our study performed better in all three directions compared to the normative data, while men were better only in two directions (AN and PM), while, in PL, they did not meet the normative data. Even though there can be some differences according to sex in performing the YBT test and men can be better in all three directions [41], some studies found better performance in men [42], and some did not find any differences [43]. Kramer *et al.* [44] examined the relationships between mobility, dynamic balance, and physical performance in secondary school male and female athletes. The findings showed that male athletes were better than female athletes on all physical performance tests. They also concluded that in youth and adulthood, normative reference data show that men tend to have greater musculoskeletal strength than women, so the physical performance test results were expected. Women are more prone to falls during aging compared to men [45] due to a more significant decline in muscle mass and strength [46] and due to neuromuscular, biomechanical, and hormonal reasons [47], [48]. Therefore, the relationship between balance and lower-limb muscle strength is a significant risk predictor of falling [49]. The physiotherapy students in our study did not differ according to gender.

We have confirmed our second and third hypotheses for the left limb, but not for the right limb. There is a correlation between the YBT test and the crossover hop test in the anterior ($p < 0.01$), posterior-medial, and posterior-lateral directions ($p < 0.05$) and the triple-hop test forward in the posterior-medial and posterior-lateral directions ($p < 0.05$) and anterior direction ($p < 0.01$) for the left extremity, except for an anterior direction to the right. With the right extremity, there is a correlation between the YBT test and the crossover hop test and triple-hop test forward in posterior-medial ($p < 0.05$), the crossover hop test in posterior-medial and posterior lateral to the left ($p < 0.05$) and right ($p < 0.01$), but no correlation in anterior direction in either of them.

There is a correlation between performing YBT and sudden perturbation tests, but not in all directions or with both limbs. There is a correlation between YBT, AN, and TCHD in all directions with the left foot but none with the right foot. There is a correlation between YBT and THD, with the left foot to the left but not to the right. With the right limb, there is no correlation with either of the tests in the anterior direction.

The active body-weight shift test is a three-part test used to assess lower extremity balance and neuromuscular control [26]. Functional tests of the active body-weight shift test [2] require strength, mobility, neuromuscular control, stability, range of motion, balance, and proprioception and are related to lower limb strength. When performing the test, subjects must lean forward and backward to maintain balance, and the knee flexors must contract eccentrically to resist trunk movement [27], [50]. Poor results on the forward, backward, and inward reach tests can indicate chronic instability of the ankles [29].

The YBT test is helpful in determining ankle instability and the risk of an ankle sprain [30], as are the THD and TCHD tests. Ko *et al.* [30] tested patients with chronic ankle instability with four tests (THD, YBT, time-in-balance test, and foot lift test). They established that THD and YBT revealed the highest percentage of correctly matched values versus the control group and could capture functional performance. The tests are feasible with a commercially available dynamic balance measurement and assessment device [52].

Muscle activation changes across the various reach directions. In AN excursion, the m. vastus medialis is more active than in other directions. Even though our participants meet normative data, they seem not to be so stable in the sagittal plane, which can lead to less security when walking with patients and ensuring their stability. The ankle strategy is in healthy populations applied on stable surfaces, and the hip strategy is on unexpected postural perturbations. But nevertheless, we must consider center of pressure that controls the center of mass [53]. Since our participants did not have any injuries in their history, we can assume better results when standing on an undominant limb [54]. The differentiation is significant when differentiating dynamic stability [55]. Most of the studies showed no difference in postural balance [56], whereas other studies conclude that balance performance is not to be influenced by the leg dominance [57]. The influence of limb dominance can be context-dependent [56], which, on the other hand, should not be the case in providing patient safety in physical rehabilitation.

In the PL direction, the m. biceps femoris is significantly more active than in the AN direction. Activation of the m. tibialis anterior in PL and PM is considerably higher than in the AN direction [58]. Moreover, in a recent study, Kwon [59] confirmed the m. tibialis anterior activation while reaching in the AN direction. Garrison *et al.* [60] pointed out that YBT anteriorly is similar to the single-leg squat in that it requires a unilateral squat, and it is useful for measuring dynamic balance and monitoring recovery during rehabilitation, assessing athletes' readiness to return to sport after injury, identifying athletes at risk of injury [37], [54], [60] and reflecting the efficiency of intervention programs [10]. Lee *et al.* [24] have

confirmed the correlation between YBT and lower-limb strength in adult women, especially with hip extensors and knee flexors in the AN direction and hip extensors, hip adductors, and knee flexors in the PL direction. Several other studies have confirmed the activity of hip extensors [42], [61], [64], while greater hip range of motion is needed for the posterior direction [24], [65] and the activity of the hip abductor as a hip stabilizer [24]. There is also a correlation between YBT and knee flexors [24], [42], [66], [67]. In fact, when using only one dynamic test, the YBT appeared to be the best choice. Moreover, patients with patellofemoral pain syndrome show a reduction in dynamic postural control [68], [69] due to the quadriceps muscle activation [58]. A study [69] examined the correlation between isometric strength and performance in YBT or test jumps when performed after patellar stabilization surgery. They found no correlation between isometric strength and performance in YBT or test jumps. Thus, an extended rehabilitation program longer than 8 months was recommended to allow adequate recovery of muscle strength. Consequently, this would affect the return of strength and dynamic stability of the knee. Kim *et al.* [50] researched the criteria for participation in sports after ACL surgery. They found that knee-extensor strength deficits were significantly correlated with YBT. Kim *et al.* [51] concluded that YBT scores achieved by patients 1 year after ACL reconstruction showed no significant correlation with static balance. They have stated that after an injury to the anterior cruciate ligament, there is a lack of proprioception and transmission of information about joint movement. As a result, they have suggested that these factors reduce balance and, thus, functional capacity, which may increase the risk of musculoskeletal injuries.

Lee *et al.* [24] investigated the relationship between YBT distance and lower extremity strength in adult women. The research did not include sudden perturbation tests, but what they have found is not negligible, namely, that there is a weak correlation between lower extremity strength (hip extensors, hip abductors, and knee flexors) and dynamic postural control as measured by the YBT. We can conclude that muscle activation in our participants was good in the left limb but not in the right limb. Proper dynamic single-leg balance of physiotherapist is important for patients' safety and the prevention of falls of the patients in hospitals [71], [72].

Strengths and limitations

As regards the strengths of our study, it should be noted that the research was done on a specific sample of young physiotherapy students of considerable size. The study also has some limitations. We did not check for the dominant leg or measure the muscle strength of

the lower limb. Furthermore, we did not measure the range of motion of the lower extremity. Further, research is needed to explore the impact of those factors.

Conclusion

The data of all physiotherapy students in our study meet the normative data, and no difference due to gender has been observed. There is a correlation between YBT, the dynamic balance test, and sudden disturbance tests with or without lateral movement on the left limb, but not on the right limb. We can assume better results when standing on an undominant limb, which can lead to insufficient support when standing on a dominant limb. That should not be the case in providing patient safety in physical rehabilitation.

Data Availability

The data are available on request from the corresponding author due to privacy and ethical restrictions.

References

AQ5

1. Rugelj D. *Uravnvanje Drže, Ravnotežja in Hotenega Gibanja*. 2nd ed. Slovenia: Zdravstvena Fakulteta; 2014.
2. Horak FB. Postural orientation and equilibrium: What do we need to know about neural control of balance to prevent falls? *Age Ageing*. 2006;35(Suppl 2):ii7-11. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/af1077>
PMid:16926210
3. Broglio SP, Sosnoff JJ, Rosengren KS, McShane K. A comparison of balance performance: Computerized dynamic posturography and a random motion platform. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2009;90(1):145-50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2008.06.025>
PMid:19154841
4. Clark S, Rose DJ. Evaluation of dynamic balance among community-dwelling older adult fallers: A generalizability study of the limits of stability test. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2001;82(4):468-74. <https://doi.org/10.1053/apmr.2001.21859>
PMid:11295006
5. Horak FB, Wrisley DM, Frank J. The balance evaluation systems test (BESTest) to differentiate balance deficits. *Phys Ther*. 2009;89(5):484-98. <https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20080071>
PMid:19329772
6. Haitz K, Shultz R, Hodgins M, Matheson GO. Test-retest and interrater reliability of the functional lower extremity evaluation. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2014;44(12):947-54. <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2014.4809>

- PMid:25394690
7. Khuman R, Kamlesh T, Devi LS. Comparison of static and dynamic balance among collegiate cricket, soccer and volleyball male players. *Int J Health Allied Sci.* 2014;3(1):9-13. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2278-344X.130599>
 8. Alikhani R, Shahrjerdi S, Golpaigany M, Kazemi M. The effect of a six-week plyometric training on dynamic balance and knee proprioception in female badminton players. *J Can Chiropr Assoc.* 2019;63(3):144-53. PMid:31988535
 9. Butler RJ, Bullock G, Arnold T, Plisky P, Queen R. Competition-level differences on the lower quarter y-balance test in baseball players. *J Athl Train.* 2016;51(12):997-1002. <https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-51.12.09> PMid:27849388
 10. Gribble PA, Hertel J, Plisky P. Using the star excursion balance test to assess dynamic postural-control deficits and outcomes in lower extremity injury: A literature and systematic review. *J Athl Train.* 2012;47(3):339-57. <https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-47.3.08> PMid:22892416
 11. Paillard T, Noé F. Effect of expertise and visual contribution on postural control in soccer. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2006;16(5):345-8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2005.00502.x> PMid:16978254
 12. Adlerton AK, Moritz U, Moe-Nilssen R. Forceplate and accelerometer measures for evaluating the effect of muscle fatigue on postural control during one-legged stance. *Physiother Res Int.* 2003;8(4):187-99. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pri.289> PMid:14730723
 13. Nashner LM. Fixed patterns of rapid postural responses among leg muscles during stance. *Exp Brain Res.* 1977;30(1):13-24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00237855> PMid:590411
 14. Torres-Oviedo G, Ting LH. Subject-specific muscle synergies in human balance control are consistent across different biomechanical contexts. *J Neurophysiol.* 2010;103(6):3084-98. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00960.2009> PMid:20393070
 15. Hof AL. The equations of motion for a standing human reveal three mechanisms for balance. *J Biomech.* 2007;40(2):451-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2005.12.016> PMid:16530203
 16. Johnston W, O'Reilly M, Coughlan GF, Caulfield B. Inertial sensor technology can capture changes in dynamic balance control during the Y balance test. *Digit Biomark.* 2018;1(2):106-17. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000485470> PMid:32095752
 17. Lee SK, Ahn SH. Effects of balance evaluation comparison of dynamic balance and Y balance. *J Exerc Rehabil.* 2018;14(6):939-43. <https://doi.org/10.12965/jer.1836494.247> PMid:30656152
 18. Woollacott M, Shumway-Cook A. Attention and the control of posture and gait: A review of an emerging area of research. *Gait Posture.* 2002;16(1):1-14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-6362\(01\)00156-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-6362(01)00156-4) PMid:12127181
 19. Rose DJ. *Fallproof! A Comprehensive Balance and Mobility Training Program.* 2nd ed. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2010.
 20. Creath R, Kiemel T, Horak F, Peterka R, Jeka J. A unified view of quiet and perturbed stance: Simultaneous co-existing excitable modes. *Neurosci Lett.* 2005;377(2):75-80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2004.11.071> PMid:15740840
 21. Horak FB, Nashner LM. Central programming of postural movements: Adaptation to altered support-surface configurations. *J Neurophysiol.* 1986;55(6):1369-81. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1986.55.6.1369> PMid:3734861
 22. Riemann BL, Myers JB, Lephart SM. Comparison of the ankle, knee, hip, and trunk corrective action shown during single-leg stance on firm, foam, and multiaxial surfaces. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2003;84(1):90-5. <https://doi.org/10.1053/apmr.2003.50004> PMid:12589627
 23. Hyong IH, Kim JH. Test of intrarater and interrater reliability for the star excursion balance test. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2014;26(8):1139-41. <https://doi.org/10.1589/jpts.26.1139> PMid:25202168
 24. Lee DK, Kim GM, Ha SM, Oh JS. Correlation of the Y-balance test with lower-limb strength of adult women. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2014;26(5):641-3. <https://doi.org/10.1589/jpts.26.641> PMid:24926122
 25. Plisky P, Schwartkopf-Phifer K, Huebner B, Garner MB, Bullock G. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the Y-balance test lower quarter: Reliability, discriminant validity, and predictive validity. *Int J Sports Phys Ther.* 2021;16(5):1190-209. <https://doi.org/10.26603/001c.27634> PMid:34631241
 26. Shaffer SW, Teyhen DS, Lorensen CL, Warren RL, Koreerat CM, Straseske CA, et al. Y-balance test: A reliability study involving multiple raters. *Mil Med.* 2013;178(11):1264-70. <https://doi.org/10.7205/MILMED-D-13-00222> PMid:24183777
 27. Gribble PA, Hertel J. Effect of lower-extremity muscle fatigue on postural control. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2004;85(4):589-92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2003.06.031> PMid:15083434
 28. Neves LF, De Souza CQ, Stoffel M, Lara C, Picasso M. The Y balance test-how and why to do it? *Int Phys Med Rehabil J.* 2017;2(4):99-100. <https://doi.org/10.15406/ipmrj.2017.02.00058>
 29. Samide K, Živic Ž. Funkcionalni testi za vrnitev v športno aktivnost. In: Kolenski Sklep: Sodobni Vidiki Zdravljenja: Zbirka Protokolov Rehabilitacije. Ljubljana: Univerzitetni Rehabilitacijski Inštitut Republike Slovenije-Soča; 2020. p. 67-72.
 30. Ko J, Rosen AB, Brown CN. Comparison between single and combined clinical postural stability tests in individuals with and without chronic ankle instability. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2017;27(4):394-9. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0000000000000354> PMid:27347871
 31. Alnahdi AH, Alderaa AA, Aldali AZ, Alsobayel H. Reference values for the Y Balance test and the lower extremity functional scale in young healthy adults. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2015;27(12):3917-21. <https://doi.org/10.1589/jpts.27.3917> PMid:26834380
 32. Alshehri Y, Alkhatami K, Brizzolara K, Weber M, Wang-Price S. Reliability and validity of the Y-balance test in young adults with chronic low back pain. *Int J Sports Phys Ther.* 2021;16(3):628-35. <https://doi.org/10.26603/001c.23430> PMid:34123515
 33. Schwiertz G, Brueckner D, Beurskens R, Muehlbauer T. Lower quarter Y balance test performance: Reference values for healthy youth aged 10 to 17 years. *Gait Posture.* 2020;80:148-54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2020.05.041> PMid:32505979
 34. Scinicarelli G, Trofenik M, Froböse I, Wilke C. The reliability of common functional performance tests within an experimental test

- battery for the lower extremities. *Sports (Basel)*. 2021;9(7):100. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sports9070100>
PMid:34357934
35. Wilczyński B, Hinca J, Ślęzak D, Zorena K. The relationship between dynamic balance and jumping tests among adolescent amateur rugby players. A preliminary study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(1):312. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18010312>
PMid:33406658
36. Plisky PJ, Rauh MJ, Kaminski TW, Underwood FB. Star excursion balance test as a predictor of lower extremity injury in high school basketball players. *J Orthop Sports Physical Therapy*. 2006;36(12):911-9. <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2006.2244>
PMid:17193868
37. Powden CJ, Dodds TK, Gabriel EH. The reliability of the star excursion balance test and lower quarter y-balance test in healthy adults: A systematic review. *Int J Sports Phys Ther*. 2019;14(5):683-94.
PMid:31598406
38. Butler RJ, Lehr ME, Fink ML, Kiesel KB, Plisky PJ. Dynamic balance performance and noncontact lower extremity injury in college football players: An initial study. *Sports Health*. 2013;5(5):417-22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738113498703>
PMid:24427412
39. Dominguez-Navarro F, Casaña J, Perez-Dominguez B, Ricart-Luna B, Cotoí-Suárez P, Calatayud J. Dynamic balance and explosive strength appears to better explain single leg hop test results among young elite female basketball athletes. *Sci Rep*. 2023;13(1):5476. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-31178-7>
40. Brumitt J, Heiderscheidt BC, Manske RC, Niemuth PE, Rauh MJ. Lower extremity functional tests and risk of injury in division iii collegiate athletes. *Int J Sports Phys Ther*. 2013;8(3):216-27.
PMid:23772338
41. Gribble PA, Robinson RH, Hertel J, Denegar CR. The effects of gender and fatigue on dynamic postural control. *J Sport Rehabil*. 2009;18(2):240-57. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsr.18.2.240>
PMid:19561367
42. Aljohani M, Alroumi AM, Alawamer AM, Khalid OA. The interlimb and between gender differences in the performance of Y-balance test in healthy athletic students. *Fizjoterapia Polska*. 2022;22(5):154-8. <https://doi.org/10.56984/8zg20a24g>
43. Tsvetkova-Gaberska M, Pencheva N. Determination of lower quarter dynamic balance in healthy adults. *J Phys Educ Sport*. 2022;22(3):775-81. <https://doi.org/10.7752/jpes.2022.03097>
44. Kramer TA, Sacko RS, Pfeifer CE, Gatens DR, Goins JM, Stodden DF. The association between the functional movement screen™, Y-balance test, and physical performance tests in male and female high school athletes. *Int J Sports Phys Ther*. 2019;14(6):911-9.
PMid:31803523
45. Himes CL, Reynolds SL. Effect of obesity on falls, injury, and disability. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2012;60(1):124-9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03767.x>
PMid:22150343
46. Chen L, Nelson DR, Zhao Y, Cui Z, Johnston JA. Relationship between muscle mass and muscle strength, and the impact of comorbidities: A population-based, cross-sectional study of older adults in the United States. *BMC Geriatr*. 2013;13:74. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2318-13-74>
PMid:23865675
47. Hewett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR, Heidt RS Jr., Colosimo AJ, McLean SG, *et al*. Biomechanical measures of neuromuscular control and valgus loading of the knee predict anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in female athletes: A prospective study. *Am J Sports Med*. 2005;33(4):492-501. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546504269591>
PMid:15722287
48. Newman JS, Newberg AH. Basketball injuries. *Radiol Clin North Am*. 2010;48(6):1095-111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcl.2010.07.007>
PMid:21094400
49. Muehlbauer T, Gollhofer A, Granacher U. Relationship between measures of balance and strength in middle-aged adults. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2012;26(9):2401-7. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e31823f8c41>
PMid:22076099
50. Kim JG, Lee DW, Bae KC, Choi BC, Yang SJ, Cho SI, *et al*. Correlation of Y balance with clinical scores and functional tests after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in young and middle-aged patients. *Clin Orthop Surg*. 2023;15(1):50-8. <https://doi.org/10.4055/cios21131>
PMid:36778986
51. Kim JS, Hwang UJ, Choi MY, Kong DH, Chung KS, Ha JK, *et al*. Correlation between Y-balance test and balance, functional performance, and outcome measures in patients following ACL reconstruction. *Int J Sports Phys Ther*. 2022;17(2):193-200. <https://doi.org/10.26603/001c.31873>
PMid:35136688
52. Coughlan GF, Fullam K, Delahunt E, Gissane C, Caulfield BM. A comparison between performance on selected directions of the star excursion balance test and the Y balance test. *J Athl Train*. 2012;47(4):366-71. <https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-47.4.03>
PMid:22889651
53. Morasso P. Centre of pressure versus centre of mass stabilization strategies: The tightrope balancing case. *R Soc Open Sci*. 2020;7(9):200111. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.200111>
PMid:33047011
54. Négyesi J, Petró B, Salman DN, Khandoker A, Katona P, Wang Z, *et al*. Biosignal processing methods to explore the effects of side-dominance on patterns of bi-and unilateral standing stability in healthy young adults. *Front Physiol*. 2022;13:965702. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2022.965702>
PMid:36187771
55. Earl-Boehm J, Hertel J. Lower-extremity muscle activation during the star excursion balance tests. *J Sport Rehabil*. 2001;10(2):93-104. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsr.10.2.93>
56. Paillard T, Noé F. Does monopodal postural balance differ between the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg? A review. *Hum Mov Sci*. 2020;74:102686. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2020.102686>
PMid:33059226
57. Schorderet C, Hilfiker R, Allet L. The role of the dominant leg while assessing balance performance. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gait Posture*. 2021;84:66-78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2020.11.008>
PMid:33278778
58. Promsri A, Haid T, Federolf P. How does lower limb dominance influence postural control movements during single leg stance? *Hum Mov Sci*. 2018;58:165-74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2018.02.003>
PMid:29448161
59. Kwon YU. Lower extremity muscle activation during the star excursion balance test in patients with chronic ankle instability and copers. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. 2023;59(6):1040. <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina59061040>
PMid:37374243
60. Garrison JC, Bothwell JM, Wolf G, Aryal S, Thigpen CA. Y balance test™ anterior reach symmetry at three months is related to single leg functional performance at time of return to

- sports following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Int J Sports Phys Ther.* 2015;10(5):602-11. PMID:26491610
61. Brumitt J, Patterson C, Dudley R, Sorenson E, Hill G, Peterson C. Comparison of lower quarter y-balance test scores for female collegiate volleyball players based on competition level, position, and starter status. *Int J Sports Phys Ther.* 2019;14(3):415-23. <https://doi.org/10.26603/ijsp20190415> PMID:31681500
 62. Desai SS, Priya S, Bhandary B, D'souza CJ. Correlation between postural stability and functional disability in patients with chronic low back pain. *Indian J Physiother Occup Therapy Int J.* 2021;15(4):151-6. <https://doi.org/10.37506/ijpot.v15i4.16519>
 63. Norris B, Trudelle-Jackson E. Hip-and thigh-muscle activation during the star excursion balance test. *J Sport Rehabil.* 2011;20(4):428-41. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsr.20.4.428> PMID:22012497
 64. Hubbard TJ, Kramer LC, Denegar CR, Hertel J. Correlations among multiple measures of functional and mechanical instability in subjects with chronic ankle instability. *J Athl Train.* 2007;42(3):361-6. PMID:18059991
 65. Robinson R, Gribble P. Kinematic predictors of performance on the star excursion balance test. *J Sport Rehabil.* 2008;17(4):347-57. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsr.17.4.347> PMID:19160909
 66. Chwała W, Burdacka K, Walaszek R, Burdacki M. Evaluation of the accuracy of the postural stability measurement with the Y-balance test based on the levels of the biomechanical parameters of 14-year-old girls. *Iran J Public Health.* 2023;52(5):969-77. <https://doi.org/10.18502/ijph.v52i5.12713> PMID:37484731
 67. Ohkoshi Y, Yasuda K, Kaneda K, Wada T, Yamanaka M. Biomechanical analysis of rehabilitation in the standing position. *Am J Sports Med.* 1991;19(6):605-11. <https://doi.org/10.1177/036354659101900609> PMID:1781498
 68. Arun B, Vakkachan T, Abraham BA. Comparison of dynamic postural control with and without patellofemoral pain syndrome using star excursion balance test. *J Med Sci Technol.* 2013;2:1-6. <https://doi.org/10.37591/rrjomst.v2i3.1220>
 69. Fang B, Kim YH, Choi MY. Effects of high-intensity aquatic or bicycling training in athletes with unilateral patellofemoral pain syndrome. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022;19(8):4675. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19084675> PMID:35457543
 70. Saper MG, Fantozzi P, Bompadre V, Racicot M, Schmale GA. Return-to-sport testing after medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction in adolescent athletes. *Orthop J Sports Med.* 2019;7(3):2325967119828953. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2325967119828953>. PMID:30854403
 71. Morris R, O'Riordan S. Prevention of falls in hospital. *Clin Med (Lond).* 2017;17(4):360-2. <https://doi.org/10.7861/clinmedicine.17-4-360>
 72. Magnuszewski L, Wojszel A, Kasiukiewicz A, Wojszel ZB. Falls at the geriatric hospital ward in the context of risk factors of falling detected in a comprehensive geriatric assessment. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022;19(17):10789. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191710789> PMID:36078502

Author Queries???

AQ5: Kindly cite references 32,40,62,63,70 in the text part and also cite in chronologically.