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Abstract

INTRODUCTION: Maxillofacial fracture is the most common trauma that happened in the developing countries. One of the methods to evaluate the severity of maxillofacial fracture is by using the Facial Injury Severity Scale (FISS) score. Maxillofacial trauma causes multiple injuries, thus resulted in various periods of hospitalization.

AIM: The aim of this study is to use the employment of the FISS score to predict a patient’s length of stay.

METHODS: This research was a retrospective cohort and cross-sectional study on maxillofacial fracture patients whom treated in Sanglah General Hospital, Denpasar, Bali. As much as 89 subjects were included in this study and information about their age, gender, mechanism of injury, FISS score, treatments, and length of stay was collected. The data were statistically analyzed using bivariate, receiver operating characteristics (ROC), and linear regression analysis.

RESULTS: A total of 89 subjects were included in this study. Among them, 50 subjects had a high FISS score, and 39 subjects had low FISS score. The average age was 28.9 ± 13.3 years with a mean length of stay 6.39 ± 4.29 days. Bivariate analysis showed that the patients with high FISS score have 5.3 times higher risk to get a longer length of stay compared to those with low FISS score (95% CI: 2.289–12.289; p = 0.001). The mean of length of stay in subjects with a high FISS score was significantly higher compared to subjects with a low FISS score (mean for subjects with high score: 8.46 ± 4.63; mean for subjects with low score: 3.74 ± 1.46; p = 0.001) with a correlation value r = 0.718 (p = 0.001). ROC analysis represented that FISS score ˂4 was categorized as mild. The linear regression analysis showed that the length of stay for maxillofacial fracture patients was able to be predicted by LOS = 1.476 + 1.032 × FISS score.

CONCLUSION: The FISS score can predict the length of stay for patients with maxillofacial fracture.

Introduction

Maxillofacial trauma is one of the most common traumas that found in the emergency department. The maxillofacial area is one of the most unprotected parts of the body; thus, trauma to the area increases the morbidity and mortality of the patients. In developing countries such as Indonesia, the incidents of maxillofacial trauma keep increasing as the number of traffic accidents also rising. Approximately, the incidence of traffic accident was 17.3/1000 per year worldwide. The overall traffic accident mortality rate was 26.6/1,00,000 person years, which was almost 3 times higher in men than that for women [1]. In Oporto Hospital Center, Portugal, between August 2001 and December 2007, it was found that the same circumstance that men had a higher incidence of maxillofacial fractures as 86.6% and road traffic accidents were the primary cause of injuries (69.38%) [2]. About 1.35 million people died in the world due to traffic accidents [3]. In Sanglah General Hospital, Denpasar, Bali, mandible fracture was the most prone maxillofacial fracture to be found from January 2012 to November 2018, thus happening in 60.12% of total cases, followed by zygoma fracture (30.22%) and maxillary fracture (9.66%) [4]. About 81% of the maxillofacial trauma patients are men on their productive age, 20–29 years of age. On their productive age, people tend to have more activity to drive, work outdoor, and more social activities such as drinking alcohol and gathering with friends [2]. Wearing protection, such as helmet and seat belt, reduces the incidence of a vehicle accident. However, disobedience to those traffic regulations is still found in accordance with their education level.

The maxillofacial fracture can occur in only one part of the face, but often occurs complicated, which is also accompanied by severe trauma in other parts of the body such as head injury, spinal injury, and extremity fractures that require a long hospitalization [5].

Among all the maxillofacial fracture scores that have been found, the Facial Injury Severity Scale (FISS) score, introduced by Bagheri in 2006,
is the most applicable and overcomes important components, namely, the mandible, maxilla, nasorbital, zygomaticomaxillofacial complex, dentoalveolar, and laceration more than 10 cm in length [6], [7]. The purpose of those maxillofacial scores being found is to assess the severity of the fracture quickly and precisely, increasing accuracy in diagnosing maxillofacial fractures, prognosis, and estimating the length of stay. The more severe the severity of the maxillofacial fracture, the longer the hospital stay needed.

Maxillofacial trauma often requires long hospitalization, which causes a burden to the patients and the government. In terms of health, long hospitalization is the major cause of nosocomial infections, which, in turn, will result in much longer hospitalization. In terms of finance, long hospitalization becomes an economic burden for the individual also for the countries on a large scale [8]. The aim of this study is to determine the pertinence of Facial Injury Severity Score in predicting the length of stay for maxillofacial trauma patients in Sanglah General Hospital, Denpasar, Bali.

Materials and Methods

This research was an observational analytic study using a retrospective cohort design to determine the relative risk and a cross-sectional design to determine the correlation between FISS score and length of stay at Sanglah General Hospital, Denpasar, between December 2018 and May 2019. The subjects were selected by consecutive sampling method and should meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were patients diagnosed with maxillofacial fractures by a physician, confirmed radiologically, and agreed to participate. The exclusion criteria were patients who deny surgery, refused to get hospitalized, accompanied by other trauma, such as severe head injury and blunt abdominal trauma, and those who were deceased.

The maxillofacial trauma suffered by the included subjects that were rated with FISS score and records on their clinical appearance and computed tomography scan result on initial admission were collected. All data were statistically analyzed using SPSS version 23.0. Significance was assumed if p < 0.05.

Results

A total of 157 patients diagnosed with maxillofacial trauma were admitted to the Emergency Unit of Sanglah General Hospital between December 2018 and May 2019. As much as 68 patients were excluded because 13 patients had severe head injuries and/or blunt abdominal trauma, 34 patients refused to get surgery due to financial problems, and 21 patients deceased. Among the 89 subjects included, 80 subjects were man (89.9%) and 9 (10.1%) were woman. The mean age was 28.9 ± 13.3. As much as 39 subjects (43.8%) had low FISS score (≤3), and 50 subjects (56.2%) had a high FISS score (>3). It was found that 50 subjects (56.2%) have a short length of stay (≤5), and 39 subjects (43.8%) have a long length of stay.

Table 1: Distribution of patients according to sex, age, cause of trauma, and treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>80 (89.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9 (10.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–18</td>
<td>15 (16.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19–30</td>
<td>45 (50.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–40</td>
<td>15 (16.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–50</td>
<td>7 (7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>7 (7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of Trauma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorbike</td>
<td>79 (88.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10 (11.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>21 (23.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>68 (76.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The mean of FISS score</td>
<td>4.76±2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The mean of length of stay (day)</td>
<td>6.39±4.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FISS: Facial injury severity scale.

Figure 1: Receiver operating characteristics facial injury severity scale score of maxillofacial fracture patients at Sanglah General Hospital, Denpasar, Bali

The result of the bivariate analysis showed that patients with high FISS score have 5.3 times higher risk to receive a longer length of stay compared to those with low FISS score (95% CI: 2.289–12.289; p = 0.001). The mean length of stay of subjects with high FISS score (8.46 ± 4.63) was significantly higher compared to subjects with low FISS score (3.74 ± 1.46).
The costs of treatments and hospitalization are a direct source of economic burden for the patients; moreover, the patients would be unproductive for a significant amount of time. As the FISS score system allows the physician to predict the length of stay for the patients, it may prepare the patients to allocate their expenses and to meet the requirements necessary to receive financial assistance. In addition, the patients must be informed about the importance and benefits of government health insurance.

**Conclusion**

This study found that the FISS score serves as a good predictor of length of stay for maxillofacial trauma patients. The higher the FISS score obtained, the longer the length of stay required. It can be counted with formula: \( \text{LOS} = 1.476 + 1.032 \times \text{FISS score} \). It is estimated that maxillofacial trauma patients with FISS score > 3 have a longer length of stay 5.3 times higher compared to patients with FISS score ≤3.
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