Retrospective Radiographic Survey of Unconventional Ectopic Impacted Teeth in Al-Madinah Al-Munawwarah, Saudi Arabia
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Abstract

OBJECTIVES: Ectopic unconventional impacted teeth are rare. These teeth erupt in an unusual direction with limited conventional access and have increased surgical risks.

AIM: This study aimed to investigate and assess the prevalence and distribution of rare ectopic impacted teeth at the Taibah University Dental College and Hospital (TUDCH), Al-Madinah Al-Munawwarah, Saudi Arabia.

METHODS: The study designed through a retrospective radiographic cross-sectional survey involving the review and examination of 9000 archived digital orthopantomograms of patients who visited the (TUDCH) in the period from January 2014 to December 2019 and to analyze any associated factors.

RESULTS: There were 63 ectopically impacted teeth, with an incidence of 0.7%. The age of the patients ranged from 18 to 68 years, with a mean of 32.4 ± 13 years. Regarding patient nationality, 68.3% were Saudis. The most common ectopically impacted teeth were the extra impacted premolars, with an incidence of 0.2%, followed by the inverted molars, impacted first or second molars, and buccoversion or lingovation third molars, with incidences of 0.16%, 0.13%, and 0.12%, respectively. The mandible was affected with ectopic impaction more than the maxilla, with an incidence of 55.6%. There was no difference between the right and left sides. Impacted teeth in the sinuses were the least common.

CONCLUSION: The prevalence of ectopic impacted teeth was 0.7% among the surveyed patients at TUDCH, Al-Madinah Al-Munawwarah, Saudi Arabia. Hence, the oral surgeon must have readiness for such a challenging, increasing situation.

Introduction

Ectopic impacted teeth are rare. They are teeth that remain embedded in an abnormal position, have an unusual eruption pattern, and are not classified as ordinary impaction. They are located in the mucosa, jawbone, maxillary sinus, or nasal cavity for over 2 years after the physiological eruption time and need a special treatment plan for surgical removal [1], [2], [3], [4], [5].

Any tooth can erupt ectopically. The prevalence of ectopic impaction is different for individual teeth and differs across regions [3]. The etiologic factors of ectopic impaction could be due to disturbances in the growth pattern of the individual concerning aging and eruption time because of the presence of genetic components [6] and environmental factors of developmental disturbances [7]. Moreover, tooth impaction is caused by an abnormal lack of space due to small arches, obstructions in the eruption path, bony lesions, or tooth germ malposition due to intrusive trauma. It may be associated with pathological syndromes such as cleidocranial dysplasia, Gardner’s syndrome, Gorlin syndrome, or Yunis–Varon syndrome [8].

Ectopic impactions have been reported by many authors [7] as a case report without specific prevalence rates for most involved teeth. The prevalence of ectopic impactions in permanent first molars is 0.2–3.0%, and it was even more infrequent in permanent first premolars. Reported cases of ectopic impactions involved inverted molars [6], deeply impacted tooth in the mandibular ramus [9], and transmigrated teeth near the nasal cavity [10], which are high-risk factors and require specialized access and management.

Appropriate treatment planning involves an accurate diagnosis, thorough clinical and radiographic examinations, and localization of the ectopic impacted tooth concerning its relation to the adjacent
structures [11], [12]. The management is usually challenging. In cases of no signs or symptoms, follow-ups have been suggested by some conservative researchers. Meanwhile, in pathological cases, radiography for cyst formation, interceptive treatment, surgical extraction, or orthodontic traction can be performed [13], [14], [15].

Although the epidemiology and classification of the most commonly impacted wisdom are thoroughly investigated, also methods and guidelines of its surgical removal are well established; however, the prevalence of other ectopic impacted teeth such as second and first molars still need determination. Recently, we have seen increased cases of ectopic challenging impacted teeth in our institute. The present study aimed to assess the prevalence and distribution of ectopic challenging impacted teeth in our institute. The present study aimed to assess the prevalence and distribution of ectopic challenging impacted teeth in patients who visited Taibah University Dental College and Hospital (TUDCH), Saudi Arabia, through a radiographic retrospective survey. This is the first study in Al-Madinah Al-Munawwarah on factors associated with these exotic ectopic impactions.

Material and Methods

Study design and setting
This was a retrospective cross-sectional study involving the review and examination of the archived digital orthopantomograms (OPGs) or cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) of patients who visited the Faculty of Dentistry, Taibah University, Al-Madinah Al-Munawwarah, Saudi Arabia, through a radiographic retrospective survey. This is the first study in Al-Madinah Al-Munawwarah on factors associated with these exotic ectopic impactions.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria
We included all clear panoramic X-rays records or CBCT of Saudi and non-Saudi men and women aged 16–60 years who were screened for general examination at the College of Dentistry Clinics and Hospital, Taibah University, for the past 6 years to determine the prevalence of ectopic impaction. All patients who had complete data files were included in the present study.

Exclusion criteria
We excluded patients who were younger than 16 years or older than 60 years, patients who did not visit the Faculty of Dentistry, Taibah University, in the period from January 2014 to December 2019. Any radiograph with blurred images due to positioning errors was also excluded from the study.

Sampling (type, size, and frame)
In the absence of ectopic impaction in the region, the sample type used in the present study was a convenient, non-random sample. Two separate investigators examined a total of approximately 9000 available radiographs to identify the presence of ectopic impacted teeth. Patients who had both sets of OPG and CBCT archived on our system were examined and counted once.

Technique and data collection
Data were collected using the Carestream Clinical and Practice Software (CSR4) system at both female and male sectors. All the patients were radiographed using the same machine (Carestream Health Inc. CS9000Y/3D System (SM764-ES) ED 01/NY, USA). The file number, age, sex, and ethnicity of all included participants were recorded in an excel sheet. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (version 16) was used to calculate the prevalence. Ectopic teeth were identified, and their count, site, distribution, relation to the adjacent structures, and the best possible management technique were discussed.

Descriptive statistics were performed, and associated factors were analyzed with inferential statistical tests.

Ethical concerns
This study was presented to the Ethics Committee of TUDCH for ethical clearance. This was an observational radiographic survey with no human interventions. The need for informed consent was waived, as the identity of the patient was not revealed. (TUCDREC/20181115/Alshanqiti).

Results
The total study sample included 9000 radiographs and 420 of them were CBCTs, which showed 63 ectopic impacted teeth (0.7%). The age of the patients with ectopic impactions ranged from 18 to 68 years, with a mean of 32.4 ± 13 years. Regarding patient nationality, 68.3% were Saudis. The most frequently ectopically erupted teeth were the extra impacted premolars (Figure 1), at 28.6% and prevalence of 0.2. The mandible was affected by ectopic impaction more commonly than the maxilla, at 55.6% (Table 1).
Figure 1: Orthopantomogram (OPG) photograph showing extra impacted mandibular premolars at the right and left side of the OPG

Table 1: Descriptive data of frequency, percentage, and prevalence of various ectopic impacted teeth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ectopic teeth</td>
<td>Extra impacted premolars</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bucco/lingoversion wisdoms</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inverted wisdoms</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The tooth in the sinus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Impacted 6 and 7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rudimentary wisdom</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edentulous ridges impacted teeth</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were no differences in ectopic impactions between the right and left sides. The most common ectopic impactions were associated with the extra impacted premolars, especially mandibular, followed by the inverted third molars (Figure 2), impacted first and second molars (Figure 3), and buccoverison of the third molars (Figures 4 and 5) at 22.2%, 19%, and 17.5%, respectively, and prevalence of 0.16, 0.13, and 0.12, respectively. Ectopic teeth found in the sinus and impacted teeth in edentulous patients were less common, with a prevalence of 0.01.

Figure 2: Orthopantomogram photograph showing inverted third molar at the right mandibular ramus

The statistical analysis revealed no significant association between the presence of ectopic impacted teeth and other factor, such as age, sex, site, side, or nationality (Table 2).

Table 2: Descriptive data of frequency, percentage, and prevalence of ectopic impacted teeth and relation with other study variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nationality</td>
<td>Saudi</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Saudi</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The present study aimed to investigate and assess the prevalence and distribution of ectopic impacted teeth at TUDCH, Saudi Arabia, through a radiographic retrospective survey. This was the first study in Al-Madinah Al-Munawwarah, so we did not find prevalence rates in this region. The overall incidence in our sample was 0.7%, which is comparable with studies in other countries such as India [7], Rome [16], Sweden [17], and Riyadh [18]. However, this prevalence is much higher than the 0.2% overall impaction prevalence reported by Alami et al., 2019 [19].

Chapman (1923) was the first to report cases of ectopic eruptions with possible causes such as small arches or deviations in the eruption path of the permanent molars [20]. Transmigration of permanent teeth, especially the mandibular canines, has an incidence of 0.140–0.315% [9]. Impaction of inverted molars, especially the third molars, is rare [2], [16], [20]. The incidence of impacted inverted molars was 0.16% in our sample, and the prevalence of impacted first and second molars in previous studies was 0.0–0.06% [21] and reaching 0.16% in south India population [16], [17]; however, they represented 0.13% of the sample in the present study, which was comparable to other regions. Other studies reported an increase prevalence rate...
incisors and canines. CT revealed that all teeth were impacted horizontally and had migrated across the mid-palatine suture, with their cusp tips impacting the root apex of the left central incisor, which was associated with a peri-coronal radiolucency, suggestive of an odontogenic cyst.

Ectopically migrated impaction is rare worldwide and is mostly detected incidentally. For management, some conservative dentists advise the patient to leave the teeth in place, with no interventions, because of lack of pain, discomfort, and the teeth being completely embedded in the bone. However, some surgeons consider risks of pathological fracture and development of pathosis and suggest surgical extraction, especially in symptomatic patients, despite the challenge. In some cases, extraoral approaches, endoscopic assistance, staged orthodontic surgical extraction, and combined intraoral and extraoral approaches [11], [12] or the use of sagittal split osteotomies [26] may be suitable.

Panoramic radiography is the standard method for the preoperative diagnosis of impaction; furthermore, cone-beam CT can be used to overcome the limitations of conventional radiography [27]. However, successful treatment of impacted teeth requires interdisciplinary management, involving general dentists and surgery specialists [28]. Some dentists prefer coronectomy to complete the extraction of complicated impacted teeth. The principal indication of coronectomy is to avoid iatrogenic inferior alveolar nerve injuries when extracting third molars [2], [16], [20], [29]. The contraindications of coronectomy include necrotic third molars, extensive caries with the risk of pulpal involvement, tooth mobility, periapical disease, and presence of cystic lesions, which are unlikely to resolve because of retention of the tooth inside the bone, and tumors. According to Gady and Fletcher, horizontal impaction and pericoronal or periapical infections are the contraindications for coronectomy [29]. Other contraindications may include immunocompromised patients, a history of radiotherapy in the head and neck or treatment before radiotherapy, neuromuscular disorders, diabetes mellitus, and osteosclerosis/osteopetrosis of the jaws. According to Renton et al. [30], the use of immunomodulating drugs is a contraindication for coronectomy, as patients on long-term prednisolone have a high risk of ulcerative colitis.

Maxillofacial surgeons, orthodontists, and general dentists may refer to this study to review the prevalence of different impaction types. Ectopic challenging impacted teeth had increased recently in the reported case reports. The precise identification of the most likely teeth to be affected, the shape and location of the teeth, and relationship of the ectopically impacted teeth with the adjacent structures are important factors in the success of the removal process and understanding treatment options, as each ectopically impacted tooth requires a unique surgical approach for removal to avoid postoperative

Figure 4: Orthopantomogram photograph showing bilateral buccoversion mandibular impacted wisdom

Figure 5: (a and b) Cone-beam computed tomography photograph showing lingversion impacted wisdom at the left side of the mandible
complications. Therefore, the dental surgeon must examine the X-rays and acquire sufficient information to facilitate the process of removal.

Conclusion

The prevalence of ectopic impacted teeth was 0.7% among the patients surveyed at TUDCH. This result would increase the awareness of oral surgeons and dental students about the new exotic impaction era, with undetermined academic classification and patterns unlike the documented well-studied relations of impacted third molars such as positions and angulation (mesioangular, horizontal, such as Pell and Gregory, winter’s classification, etc.). Future studies should categorize these ectopic types to determine ideal surgical protocol or guidelines for each degree of difficulty or possibility of complications during the removal process of these ectopic impactions.
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