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Abstract

AIM: The aim of this research was to establish the perturbation of reliable biomarkers implicated in the pathophysiology of autism to help in the early diagnosis and to be as targets in the treatment of autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) in children and to spotlight into the complex crosstalk between these biomarkers.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: This study included 90 autistic children aged from 2 to 7 years old, who were classified into two groups, the atypical autism of 30 children and the childhood autism. The childhood autism group was further divided into mild-moderate autism group and severe autism group each of 30 children. The control group included 30 matched healthy children. All the participants were subjected to full psychiatric examinations, psychological investigations, and biochemical measurements, including gamma-aminobutaric acid (GABA), serotonin, dopamine (DA) in plasma, and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in serum.

RESULTS: The autistic groups showed a highly significant increase in GABA, serotonin, DA, and BDNF levels compared to the control. Of note, the levels of GABA, DA, and BDNF were significantly increased with the increased disease severity. Furthermore, a significant positive correlation between BDNF levels and both GABA and DA levels in the childhood autism group has been recorded.

CONCLUSION: The present clinical setting provides new insight into the fundamental role of BDNF in the brain of autistic children as any alterations of its level due to GABA increment cause change in serotonin and DA levels which have empirical evidence in the pathophysiology of ASD. The results received in this research, create a fertile base for the setup of particular targets in the intervention of this ailment.

Introduction

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Revision (DSM-V) describes autism spectrum disorder (ASD) as a neurological disorder with a spectrum of qualitative impairments in social interaction and in communication as well as restricted stereotyped patterns of behavior, activities, and interests. Autism is growing faster than any other special needs disorders worldwide. The rate of ASD has increased dramatically in the globe by 600% in the past few decades [1]. The most widely published male-female ratio of autism incidence is 4–5:1, lower in people with intellectual disability and higher at the high functioning end. Interestingly, a higher percentage of females have coincident intellectual disabilities [2].

The rational for dismissing peripheral influences on the brain due to the belief that the brain is protected from the events that impinge on the peripheral organs which is being increasingly undermined. The blood brain barrier does not mature until significantly after birth, and its permeability is drastically modulated even in adulthood by factors such as fever and circulating cytokines [3]. As well as, multiple stressors as hypoxia, ammonia, and endotoxin have been confirmed to cause the opening up of tight junctions in the BBB, permitting not only pathogens but also small molecules infiltrate the barrier causes a remarkable increase in membrane permeability in the BBB [4]. Other definite regions of the brain identified as the circumventricular organs fail to develop BBB, so they are potential gates for toxicants if not close of by BBB after 1 year [5]. Furthermore, in humans, about 500 ml of CSF is absorbed into blood daily, making blood appropriate source of neurodegenerative disease biomarkers [6].

Alterations in the neurotransmitters during brain development involve serotonergic and dopaminergic systems which are proposed to be contributed to the pathophysiology of ASD [7]. Researchers have mainly concentrated on mapping biomarkers onto clinically distinct categories, but such categories do not catch the present understanding of the increasingly multidimensional and complex clinical, cognitive, and behavioral phenotype that is connected with autism and its overlap with other disorders [8]. Serotonin, for many years, has been hypothesized that it plays an important role in the pathogenesis of autism. The first support
that serotonin may have a role in autism derived from studies that measure blood level of serotonin from patients with autism, which found that blood and serum serotonin levels are increased even though these effects differed by age and ethnicity [9]. Dopamine (DA) acts as an essential neurotransmitter in the brain; the dopaminergic effect is mostly exerted over the frontal lobe and basal ganglia. It has been stated that cognitive deficits express changes in these subcortical brain structures with modification of executive functions. These observations propose a role for DA in regulating cognitive functions. A study of Kohls et al. [10] mentioned that individuals with ASD exhibit a reduced response to reward, which derives from striatal dysfunction. ASD is connected with variants in several genes of DA network, as well as those encoding STX1, the DA transporter, DA receptors, and enzymes involved in DA metabolism [11]. Gamma-aminobutaric acid (GABA) represents only 10–15% of all neuronal populations. Yet, they supply the functional balance, complexity, and computational architecture of neuronal circuits. Adding to its function as an inhibitory neurotransmitter, GABA is as well implicated in neural maturity and circuit development. The GABA neurotransmitter structure has as well been involved in the pathophysiology of ASD, throughout genetic connections with areas coding for GABA-A receptor subunits in families with ASD [12]. A numeral of researches noted different outcomes concerning brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) values in the serum or brains of autistic individuals. The BDNF levels have been noted to be 3 times as elevated as a control in the basal forebrain of ASD patients [13]. Accordingly, the goals of this work were to justify the aberration of the relevant neurochemical markers contributed in the pathogenesis of ASD and to explore the key actors in this pathologic ailment to facilitate early diagnosis and establishment of targeted therapeutic strategy.

Patients and Methods

Patients

The current study was carried out on 90 children diagnosed as childhood autism and atypical autism (case groups) according to the World Health ICD-10 criteria. The study included 66 males and 24 females aged from 2–7 years old. All the diagnosed children frequently attended the outpatient Clinic of Center for Care of Children with Special Needs; Faculty of Post Graduate Studies for Childhood, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. Depending on Correia et al. [14] who found that Mean ± SD of the BDNF in µg/L in autistic and control children are 40.44 ± 13.87 and 23.26 ± 12.34, respectively, and by considering the power = 0.80 and α = 0.05 as well as when using PASS 11th, the minimal sample size for an equal size study is released to be 11 in each group [15]. Therefore, we recruited 30 in each group for possible other comparisons.

Inclusion criteria

The following criteria were included in the study:

- Age from 2 to 7 years.
- Male and female children.
- Medication free for at least 1 month before inclusion in the study.

Exclusion criteria

The following criteria were excluded from the study:

- Children with autistic feature syndromes as Down syndrome.
- Children with Rett disorder.
- Children with Asperer’s disorder.
- Children with childhood disintegrative disorder.
- Children with cerebral palsy.
- Children with central nervous system (CNS) diseases.
- Children with sensory impairments.
- Children with epilepsy in association with autoimmune diseases or any inflammatory conditions.

This study was approved by the “Ethical Committee” of National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt, and the written informed consent was obtained from the parents of the studied patients after explanation of the aim of the study and its possible benefits on their children and other children who have the same conditions (Ethical approval numbers: 11023).

Methods

All the children included in the study (patients and controls) were subjected to the following: Full psychiatric history and complete psychiatric examination. Each child was submitted to full medical history and clinical examination with particular emphasis on complete neurological examination, and any immune activation such as elevated temperature,
infections, or inflammatory diseases. Furthermore, EEG with full neurological history was done to exclude the presence of epilepsy in the subjects included in this study. Psychological assessment was performed to confirm the diagnoses of both childhood autism and atypical autism and to detect the severity of the disease using the CARS Second Edition. It is subjectively rated 15 items; relating to people, imitation, emotional response, body use, object use, adaptation to change, visual response, listening response, taste-smell-touch response and use, fear and nervousness, verbal communication, non-verbal communication, activity level, level of consistency of intellectual response, and general impressions. The second edition of CARS expands the test’s clinical value, making it more responsive to individuals on the “high functioning” end of autism spectrum disorders. The clinician rates the individual on each item, using a 4-point rating scale. Ratings are based on frequency of the behavior in question, its intensity, peculiarity, and duration [16].

The scores of our patients and control groups are estimated and then categorized in severity according to CARS scores into non-autistic with scores <25, autistic features with scores 25–29, mild-moderate autism with scores 30–36, and severe autism with scores 37–60. Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scale (VABS) Second Edition was applied to measure the personal and social skills since birth, diagnosing and classifying mental retardation, and autistic disorder. It assesses adaptive behavior in four domains: Communication, daily living skills, socialization, and motor skills. It also provides a composite score that summarizes the individual’s performance across all four domains [17]. The scores of our patients and control children are estimated and their social intelligent quotient (IQ) was detected according to the Vineland IQ scores which are divided into borderline IQ scores with 80–71, mild deficient IQ with scores 70–51, moderate deficient IQ with scores 50–35, severe deficient IQ with scores 34–20, and profound deficient IQ with score <20. The low average IQ was estimated with scores 80–90, the average IQ with scores 90–100, the above average IQ with scores 100–110, and the superior IQ with scores above 110.

Biochemical markers

From all the fasting children (autistic groups and the control group), 5 ml of blood sample was withdrawn from each child by venous arm puncture and partitioned into two tubes; a plain tube left to clot at room temperature for separation of serum and a heparinized tube for separation of platelet-rich plasma. Both the serum and the platelet-rich plasma were separated by centrifugation at 1800× g under cooling (4°C) for 15 min. GABA plasma level was estimated using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Glory Science Co., Ltd., USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. BDNF serum level was measured using an ELISA kit (Glory Science Co., Ltd., USA) according to the manufacturer’s procedure. Serotonin (5HT) plasma level was measured using an ELISA kit (Glory Science Co., Ltd., USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DA plasma level was measured using an ELISA kit (Glory Science Co., Ltd., USA) according to the manufacturer’s manual.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS statistical package software for Windows version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Parametric variables among the controls and the studied patient groups were analyzed using two-tailed unpaired t-test; the t-value measures the size of the difference relative to the variation in your sample data, T is simply the calculated difference represented in units of standard error.

\[
t(\beta I) = (\beta I - \beta 0)/s.e(\beta I)
\]

Where, \(\beta 0\) is a non-random, known constant which may or may not match the actual unknown parameter value \(\beta\), and \(s.e(\beta I)\) is the standard error of the estimator \(\beta I\) for \(\beta\).

Qualitative variables were assessed by the Chi-square test. \(p < 0.05\) (degree of freedom, df = 95%) was considered significant difference and \(p < 0.01\) (degree of freedom, df = 99%) was considered highly significant difference. Pearson’s correlation coefficient r measures the strength and direction of a linear relationship between two variables on a scatter plot. The value of r is always between +1 and -1.

Results

This study included 90 male and female autistic children (73.3% of males and 26.7% of females). The data in Table 1 show that most of the autistic children in both the atypical autism group (70%) and the childhood autism group (75%) are males versus the control group (50%) and the females are more likely to have

Table 1: Demographic data of the atypical autism group, childhood autism group, and the control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Control No. 30</th>
<th>Atypical autism No. 30</th>
<th>Childhood autism No. 60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early onset</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regressed onset</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disruptive behav.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperactivity</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impulsivity</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal tantrum</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-aggressive</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressiveness</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIT problems</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep problems</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

atypical autism (30%) than childhood autism (25%). Early onset of autism is more common in childhood autism group (61.7%) versus the atypical autism group (50%). However, regressed onset is more prevalent in atypical autism group (50%) than childhood autism group (38.3%). Family history of mental disorders is more common in atypical autism group (53.3%) versus the childhood autism group (45%). The disruptive behaviors are almost equally common in both atypical autism group (96.7%) and childhood autism group (100%) relative to control group (16.7%). Likewise, the GIT problems are slightly prevailing in the childhood autism group (86.7%) versus both the atypical autism group (80%) and the control group (20%). In contrast, the sleep problems are highly common in the childhood autism group (86.7%) relative to both atypical autism group (36.7%) and the control group (13.3%).

Figure 1: Comparison between atypical autism group and the childhood autism groups (mild-moderate autism and severe autism) and control group according to their scores of psychological tests

The findings in Figure 1 show a highly significant increase regarding to CARS scores in the severe autism group, the mild-moderate autism group, and the atypical autism group (p < 0.0001) compared to the control group. Meanwhile, highly significant decrease regarding to Vineland scores is recorded in the severe autism group, the mild-moderate autism group and the atypical autism group (P<0.0001) versus the control group. Furthermore, the results show a highly significant difference regarding CARS and Vineland scores between the severe autism group and both the atypical autism and the mild-moderate autism groups (p < 0.0001).

The results in Figure 2 show that 16 children in the atypical autism group (53.3%) have Vineland scores of mild deficient IQ, 4 children (13.3%) have scores of moderate deficient IQ, 7 children (23.3%) have scores of borderline IQ, 2 children (6.6%) have a low average IQ, and 1 child (3.3%) has an average IQ, with no scores in severe deficient IQ. While in the mild-moderate autism group, 9 children (30%) have scores of mild deficient IQ, 12 children (40%) have scores of moderate deficient IQ, 4 children (13.3%) have scores of severe deficient IQ, 3 children (10%) have borderline IQ, 1 child (3.3%) has scores of low average IQ, and 1 child (3.3%) has scores of average IQ. In the severe autism group, 2 children (6.6) have a mild deficient IQ, 14 children (46.7) have a moderate deficient IQ, and 14 children (46.7%) have a severe deficient IQ with no borderline or low average and average IQ. In the control group, 3 children (10%) have scores of borderline IQ, 18 children (60%) have scores of low average IQ, and 9 children (30%) have scores of average IQ.

The records in Figure 3a show a highly significant increase in GABA plasma levels comparing the atypical autism group to the control group (p = 0.005). However, there is a significant increase in GABA plasma levels in the mild-moderate autism group relative to the atypical autism group (p = 0.049). There is also a greatly significant increase in GABA plasma levels comparing the severe autism group to both the mild-moderate and the atypical (p < 0.0001). Regarding the BDNF serum levels (Figure 3b), there is a significant

Figure 2: Classification of the autism groups according to Vineland scores

Figure 3: Comparison between atypical autism group, childhood autism groups (mild-moderate autism and severe autism groups), and the control group regarding the biochemical measurements; gamma-aminobutaric acid (a), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (b), serotonin (c), and dopamine (d)
increase (p = 0.02) in comparing atypical autism group with the control group. In contrast, there is no significant change in BDNF serum levels between mild-moderate autism group and the atypical autism group (p = 0.442). A highly significant increase in serum BDNF is registered in comparing the severe autism group with the atypical autism group (p < 0.0001) and only a significant increase comparing the severe autism group with the mild-moderate autism group (p = 0.02). Data in Figure 3c show that there is a highly significant increase regarding serotonin plasma levels in comparing the atypical autism group with the control group (p < 0.0001). The results in Figure 3d show a highly significant increase in DA plasma levels in the atypical autism group versus the control (p < 0.0001). Meanwhile, there is no significant difference in comparing all the autism groups with each other as regard serotonin plasma levels (Figure 3c). As regard DA plasma levels, there is a highly significant increase in the mild-moderate autism group relative to the atypical autism group (p = 0.005) and also in the severe autism when compared with both the atypical and the mild-moderate autism groups (p < 0.0001) (Figure 3d).

The records in Figure 4 constitute the Pearson’s correlation between the CARS test and Vineland test in childhood autism group. The data show a highly significant negative correlation between CARS and Vineland scores r = −0.568 (Figure 4a) and a highly significant positive correlation between CARS scores and both GABA r = 0.387 (Figure 4b) and DA r = 0.657 (Figure 4c). The results also show a highly significant negative correlation between Vineland scores and DA r = −0.452 (Figure 4d).

The results in Figure 5 indicate the Pearson’s correlation between the measured biochemical parameters with each other in childhood autism group. The data show a significant positive correlation between DA and both GABA r = 0.303 (Figure 5a) and BDNF r = 0.327 (Figure 5b). However, there is a highly significant positive correlation between GABA and BDNF levels r = 0.387 (Figure 5c).

**Discussion**

In the current study, 33.3% of the autistic children are diagnosed as atypical autism and 66.7% are diagnosed with childhood autism. This is in conformity with Hussein et al. [18] who reported that typical autism is more common than atypical autism in both Saudi and Egyptian groups. Furthermore, the preponderance of autistic patients in both atypical autism and childhood autism groups is boys 70% and 75%, respectively. This is in keeping with Xiong et al. [19] who reported a higher predominance of boys over girls in association with autistic children.

This study demonstrated that 50% of atypical autism and 38.3% of childhood autism had regressed onset. This is concurrent with Hansen [20] study which recorded regression in 41% of subjects with autism; 26% lost either language or social skills; while 15% lost both. The present data showed that 53.3% of atypical autism and 45% of childhood autism have a family history of autism, other mental disorders, or both. This comes in line with that of Sandin et al. [21] who stated that heritability of ASD and autistic disorder is evaluated to be approximately 50%. Most of the autistic children in this study had disruptive behaviors, 96.7% in atypical autism and 100% in childhood autism versus 16.7% in the control group. The most frequent disruptive behaviors in the atypical autism and the childhood autism groups, respectively, were hyperactive (80%)...
in both groups, impulsivity (80%), (86.7%), temper tantrum (40%), (61.7%), self-injurious (20%), (45%), and aggressiveness (0%), (11.7%), respectively. These results are in harmony with those of Kats et al. [22] who mentioned that disruptive behaviors frequently manifest themselves in children with ASD and up to 60% of subjects with autism and an intellectual disability present with difficult-to-manage behaviors, including self-injurious, disruptive, and destructive behaviors. Furthermore, most of the autistic children in the present study had gastrointestinal (GI) problems; 80% in atypical autism and 86.7% in childhood autism versus 20% in the control group. These findings are paralleled to the recent report by Lefter et al. [23] who stated that the increased prevalence of GI symptoms in ASD patients indicating that GI dysfunctions are of particular relevance in ASD. These various GI manifestations are related to the autonomous nervous system affecting the parasympathetic tone and stress responsiveness as well as abnormal dynamics of neurohormones such as serotonin or GABA. Research based on parental note recommends that autistic children are more liable to have sleep problems than children with other developmental disorders and children with no developmental diagnosis [24]. This finding is in accordance with the results of the present study which demonstrated that 36.7% of atypical autism and 70% of childhood autism versus 13.3% of the control group had sleep problems.

In the present investigation, measuring the autism severity was done using CARS which demonstrated that in the atypical autism group, 100% had autistic features, while in the childhood autism group, 50% had mild-moderate autism and the rest 50% had severe autism. These results match the previous researches indicating that CARS total score changes significantly between the two groups, with autistic disorder being significantly elevated than atypical autism [25]. Results of the present study echo those of the previous findings indicating that the CARS total score changes significantly by diagnostic group, as a significantly higher total CARS scores have been found in the severe autism group versus the mild-moderate autism group when compared both with the atypical autism group and the control group. The clinical significant differences reported in CARS total scores among the diagnostic groups are congruent with the results of Chlebowski et al. [26] who supported the utilization of CARS as a stable measure of autism severity.

The current results also showed that there are significantly lower scores in Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scale with the elevation of the disease severity. Greatly significant (p < 0.0001) low scores have been detected by comparing the severe autism group with the mild-moderate autism group and in comparing both to the atypical autism group and the control group. These results are in concert with those of Paul et al. [27] who commented that individuals with atypical autism scored higher than those with autism in Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scale. This showed parallelism with our results, as it has been detected a significant (p < 0.0001) negative correlation between CARS and Vineland IQ in childhood autism group.

The present study showed an increase of GABA plasma level with the increase in the severity of the disease. It has been observed a marked significant increase (p < 0.0001) in the plasma level of GABA in comparing both the mild-moderate autism and the severe autism groups to both the atypical autism group and the control group and in comparing the severe to the mild-moderate autism groups. Furthermore, it has been found a marked significant (p = 0.002) positive correlation between GABA levels and CARS scores in the childhood autism group. These results are comparable to those in the previous study done by Enticott et al. [28] which demonstrated the significantly elevated level of plasma GABA in patients with autism in comparison to the controls. These investigators stated that GABA, as the major inhibitory transmitter in the CNS, has been implicated in the pathophysiology of ASDs. As well, Mendez et al. [29] proved the correlation between elevated plasma GABA and severity of autism using CARS and that severe autistics show higher plasma GABA levels relative to mild-moderate patients. There are many evidences supporting the function of GABA in the etiology or pathophysiology of autistic disorders. One influential theory proposed by Casanova et al. [30] is that inhibitory GABA signaling inside and among cortical minicolumns are altered due to the decreasing in the neuropil which divides closest minicolumns leading to information processing that tends to demonstrate stronger than normal inequity between connected stimuli rather than generalization between them. This may suggest why patients with autism demonstrate a preference for precise similarity (e.g., the same daily routines, the same behaviors, and interests), as just precisely the identical stimuli would be detected as similar. It may also clarify sensory hypersensitivities and the occasional existence of superior “savant” abilities in a narrow domain.

The tabulated results pointed to the heightened of BDNF level with the increase in the severity of the disease, as it has been found a marked significant increase (p = 0.002) in BDNF serum level in severe autism group comparative to the atypical autism group and a significant increase (p = 0.02) in its level in comparison to the mild-moderate autism group. In addition, an insignificant increase (p = 0.4) in BDNF serum level is recorded in comparing the mild-moderate autism group to the atypical autism group. These results are consistent with the study of Zhang et al. [31] which measured serum level of BDNF in Chinese patients with ASD, and the severity of ASD was evaluated with CARS score. Their results suggested that the median serum BDNF levels are significantly (p < 0.0001) higher in autistic children as compared to normal cases, and
they discovered that its higher levels may be considered as an independent risk factor of ASD. Wang et al. [32] also detected that the mean serum BDNF levels are significantly (p < 0.0001) upregulated in children with ASD in comparison to the control cases and serum BDNF levels may be correlated independently with the severity of ASD. BDNF can influence synaptic inhibition by reducing the surface constancy and expression of GABA type A [33]. Powers et al. [34] observed that BDNF exposure reduces the inhibitory effects of GABA on paraventricular neuroendocrine neurones from the hypothalamus and that oral administration of the amino acid/inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA reportedly increases the resting serum growth hormone (GH) concentrations by 400%. One of the mechanisms mediating BDNF is the GH. The role of BDNF in the pathophysiology of ASD was defined by Almeida et al. [35] who stated that activating BDNF signaling can sometimes be pathogenic. For example, adult transgenic mice overexpressing BDNF are prone to seizures and increasing of BDNF levels enhances pain sensitivity. Moreover, during early embryonic brain development, the cell cycle parameters of proliferating neuroblasts and the laminar fate of their progeny are extremely sensitive to either increased or decreased BDNF signaling. These explanations greatly support the present study, which showed a highly significant (p = 0.002) positive correlation between BDNF and GABA level in the childhood autism group, which both their levels are increased with the severity of the disease.

In this study, plasma level of serotonin was found to be highly significantly elevated in comparing all the autistic groups versus the control group, but insignificant change of its level has been detected by comparing all the autistic groups with each other. These results fit similar findings recorded by Chandana et al. [36] who found a high proportion of children with autism exhibiting elevated plasma serotonin levels and specific alterations in serotonin biosynthesis. The observed increase of serotonin plasma level in all autistic groups under study could be referred to the increased of BDNF observed in these patients, as BDNF has also been found to regulate serotonergic neurotransmission in vitro. In addition, BDNF administration has been revealed to increase the synthesis of serotonin in vivo [37]. Thereby, serotonin has a potential role in the pathogenesis of autism. In addition, blood serotonin levels have been discovered to correlate inversely with verbal IQ and to correlate positively with the severity of autism [38].

In the current approach, plasma concentration of DA showed insignificant (p = 0.2) increase in the atypical autism group relative to the healthy control group, while it showed significant increase with the severity of the disease, as it showed highly significant increase in both the mild-moderate (p = 005) and the severe autism groups (p < 0.0001) in respect to the atypical autism group and also in comparing the severe autism group to the mild-moderate autism group. These results are in accordance with those of El-Ansary et al. [39] who reported that Saudi autistic patients have a significantly higher level of DA compared to healthy subjects. One explanation for the significant elevation of DA and increased its level in correlation with the severity of the disease may be due to BDNF, which induces long-term changes in brain function by influencing the reactions of its target neurons to DA [40]. Hence, dysregulation of the function of BDNF coupled with dysfunction of DA, a neurotransmitter that is critical for so many cognitive and motor skills may underlie the expression of specific symptoms connected with autism. It is well documented that the dopaminergic system has been correlated with speech and communication abilities which are defective in autism. DA also modulates and reduces behavioral reactions to changes in the social environment [41]. This is in consistent with the current results as it has been found a significant positive correlation (p = 0.01) between DA and both BDNF and GABA, and a highly significant (p = 0.002) positive correlation between DA levels and CARS scores in the childhood autism group, which all are increased with the severity of the disease.

**Study limitations**

This study has some potential limitations; the sample size was relatively small because it was so difficult to find children not taking any medications for at least 1 month as in our exclusion criteria since any neurological or psychiatric drugs will affect the neurochemical level that we were measuring. As well, it was so difficult to withdraw blood from autistic children at this young age from 2 to 7 years as almost all of them were having severe behavioral problems as hyperactivity and temper tantrums. Moreover, for the clinical work, we had some limitations as more phenotypes of autism as Asperger disorder should be added in the study population to be compared with childhood autism and atypical autism groups but Asperger disorder is not a common disorder in Egypt.

**Conclusion**

The data of this study speak for the importance of BDNF in the brain where any prolonged perturbations in its level due to the increase of GABA most probably lead to aberration in serotonin and DA, which are well key actors in the pathophysiology of many psychiatric diseases in general and ASD in particular. In light of these evidences, it should reconsider the crosstalk between the brain neurochemicals committed in ASD to set up a line of targeted therapy for this neurodevelopmental disorder.
Recommendations

- Mapping the neurochemical markers onto clinically distinct categories, to better understanding the multidimensional and complex clinical, cognitive, and behavioral phenotype that are connected with autism and its overlap with other disorders.
- Establishing the reliable biomarkers implicated in the pathophysiology of autism to spotlight into the complex crosstalk between these biomarkers.
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