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Abstract

BACKGROUND: The presence of nicotine metabolite in the urine of subjects exposed to tobacco smoke represents the nicotine metabolism activity in environmental tobacco smokers. CYP2A6 and CYP2A13 are known as the main enzymes responsible for nicotine metabolism and xenobiotic activity in tobacco smoke-related lung cancer.

AIM: The aim of this study is to analyze the relationship between genetic polymorphism of CYP26 and CYP2A13 genes and environmental tobacco smoke-induced lung cancer risk in Indonesian females never smoker.

METHODS: This is a case-control study with two-stage of distinguishing polymorphism detection. Restriction fragment length polymorphism polymerase chain reaction from venous blood extraction was performed to examine the CYP2A6 and CYP2A13 polymorphism. Logistic regression test in Epi Info-7 software was carried out to examine genetic polymorphism of CYP2A6 and CYP2A13 genes and environmental tobacco smoke-induced lung cancer risk in Indonesian female never smokers.

RESULTS: A total of 203 participants enrolled in this study with the first stage of CYP2A6 polymorphism involved 101 subjects showed no significant correlation between the genotypes of CYP2A6 and the incidence of lung cancer. On the other hand, there was a significant correlation between genotypes of CYP2A13 and the incidence of lung cancer (p < 0.05). People with the genotype CC have a 2.7 higher risk for developing lung cancer compared with allele T with a wide range of confidence intervals (0.73–3.52).

CONCLUSIONS: There was a significant correlation between polymorphism CYP2A13 with the incidence of lung cancer among female lung cancer never smoker. However, the results show no significant relationship between CYP2A6 genetic polymorphism and lung cancer incidence.

Introduction

Based on GLOBOCAN 2020 cancer registry, lung cancer becomes the third most common cancer in women, only fall behind breast and colorectal cancer [1]. Furthermore, there is a changing trend in the incidence of lung cancer from elderly to younger age. This transformation is caused by the increased incidence of the tobacco epidemic in young people aged more than 15 years old, particularly in low- to middle-income countries [2]. Nevertheless, the incidence of lung cancer in never smoker has been increased dramatically raising alarm sign that each individual has internal factors associated with lung cancer. Globally, the incidence of lung cancer in never smokers population was increased in the range 10–25% regardless of gender based on the WHO reports [3], but the absolute number was not able to be clearly delineated since no official data have been released.

Never-smoker is defined as people who smoked less than 100 cigarettes of a lifetime [4]. The prevalence of never-smoker individuals is also complicated to be estimated since the calculation is not uncommon to include passive smoker status or misreported smoking status into the calculation [5]. Lung cancer among the never-smoker population is mostly adenocarcinoma type with positive mutation of Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor but the good response in two major cancer treatment modalities is evident, chemotherapy and targeted therapy [3], [6]. The causative of never smoker lung cancer in Japan is predominantly biomass fuel exposure while in the United States radioactive exposure and indoor pollution could be the most responsible causative factors. However, lung carcinogenesis in never smoker still involved many risk factors associated with environmental tobacco smoker [4].
Side stream smoke contains many carcinogenic agents that have important role in DNA adducts, tumor suppressor genes, and oncogenic drivers [6]. Nicotine emerges as one of the main carcinogenic substances in cigarettes, and it was notably found in the urine sample of a child with smoker parent [7]. The presence of nicotine brought the inevitable process of lung carcinogenesis is doable in never smoker population since nicotine could be the initiator of the carcinogenesis via producing some carcinogenic metabolites, including 4-(methyl nitrosamine)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone (NNK) which have a potential role in developing lung cancer [8]. NNK and N’-nitrosonornicotine (NNN) as the substance residue from the change of cotinine to 3'-Hydroxycotinine (3HC cotinine) will activate downstream signaling pathway that lead to the activation of oncogenic drivers in RAS-RAF-MAPK and PI3K pathway [9]. CYP2A6, CYP2A13, and CYP2A13 involve in the nicotine metabolism [8], [10]. All of these enzymes metabolize cotinine to 3HC cotinine and within this process, cotinine also converted into (methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone (NNK), 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanol, and N’-nitrosonornicotine (NNN) through nitrosation [8].

The polymorphism of these enzymes will undermine nicotine metabolism process that has several implications to its own metabolic rate, if polymorphism is present in the alleles of CYP2A6*4, it could have a protective effect from developing lung cancer due to its nictoine slowly metabolism characteristic[11],[12],[13]. In addition, the CYP2A13 which is highly found in the respiratory epithelium in the upper airway has an inconsistent effect in the development of lung cancer. CYP2A13 has high activity in the metabolic activation of NNK, but the transition of 3375 cytosine to Thymin caused an amino acid substitution at the 257 sites that significantly reduced the metabolic activity of CYP2A13 and ultimately lessen the risk of lung cancer [14].

In former studies, there has been a study elaborating the correlation between polymorphism of CYP2A6 and CYP2A13 and the incidence of lung cancer in Batakinese male smokers in Indonesia [15], but there has no data about the expression of CYP2A6 and CYP2A13 in women with never smoker status. Therefore, this study aims to evaluate the correlation between polymorphism of CYP2A6 and CYP2A13 with the incidence of lung cancer among never-smoker women.

Materials and Methods

Study design and participants

This was a case-control study with purposeful sampling. This study was held from May until December 2020 and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Indonesia. All participants were recruited from a few hospitals allied with the Department of Pulmonology and Respiratory Medicine including H. Adam Malik General Hospital, Santa Elisabeth Hospital, and Universitas Sumatera Utara Hospital. Total participants were 203 subjects divided into two stages of research; first stage was CYP2A6 polymorphism consisted of 53 subjects as case studies and 50 subjects as control. The second stage was CYP2A13 polymorphism consisted of 52 subjects as case studies and 52 subjects as control.

The inclusion criteria for both case groups were women, age >25 years old, diagnosed with lung cancer based on clinical and radiological examination and confirmed by cytology and histopathology investigation from bronchoscopy and Trans-thoracal Lung Biopsy and Trans-Thoracal Needle Aspiration. Meanwhile, the control groups were recruited from the wife of patients in lung cancer and the employees in the hospital where the study was conducted who was matched to the case group according to sex, age, and smoking history. Before attending the study, all the participants were informed about the study protocol and signed the informed consent. Exclusion criteria in this study were sample which was not successfully extracted and damaged at the time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) examination.

DNA extraction

A peripheral blood sample was obtained by venupuncture about 2 mL, placed in a sterile tube contained EDTA, and stored at -80°C. DNA was extracted using Isolation Kit DNA Puregene (Pro Mega). Genotypes were analyzed using PCR-based methods.

Genotyping CYP2A6

The genotypes of CYP2A6 * 1A, CYP2A6 * 1B, CYP2A6 * 4A were analyzed by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP). The primerused were 2Aex7F (5’-GRCCAAGATGCCCTACATG-3’) 15 and 2A6R2 (5’-AAAATGGGATGATGGGGG) Sample C-Gen (C) 0.5 lg) added with PCR mixtures (25 ll) consisting of 1 PCR buffer; 1× PCR buffer [67 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.8), 16.6 mM (NH4)2SO4, 0.45% Triton X-100, 0.02% gelatin], 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.4 μM of each primer, 250 μM dNTPs, and 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase. After an initial denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, amplification was performed by denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, annealing at 60°C for 20 s, and extension at 72°C for 3 min for 35 cycles, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The PCR product was double-digested with EcoB11I and AccI restriction enzymes. The digestion patterns were determined by electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel [16].
Genotyping CYP2A13

PCR with (RFLP-PCR) was performed to identify the genetic polymorphism of CYP2A13. Exon 5 of the CYP2A13 gene was amplified using a forward primer 5'-CCTGGACAGATGCTTTAACTCCG-3' paired with a reverse primer 5'-TGGCTTTGCACCTGCCTGACT-3'. PCR amplification was performed in a Bio-Rad DNA Model T-100 Thermal Cycler in a total volume of 25 \( \mu l \) containing approximately 200 ng of genomic DNA, 2.5 \( \mu l \times \) PCR buffer 2 mmol/l MgCl\(_2\), 0.2 mmol/l of each dNTP, 0.28 \( \mu mol/l \) of each primer, and 2 U of Taq DNA polymerase. The PCR conditions involved an initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 63°C for 45 s, and extension at 72°C for 30 s, with a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. After amplification, the PCR products (332 bp) were digested with \( \text{HhaI} \) restriction. Endonuclease at 37°C for at least 4 h. Digested products were analyzed by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel in the presence of ethidium bromide.

Data analysis

All data were analyzed using Epi Info-7 software. Chi-square was used to assess significant differences in demographic characteristics factor. Logistic regression was undergone to assess the correlation between polymorphism of CYP2A6 and CYP2A13 with the incidence of lung cancer. By this statistical test, the risk of each allele to develop lung cancer could be obtained. A \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. Genotype frequency deviation was obtained from Hardy-Equilibrium pada CYP2A6 dan CYP2A13.

Results

This study involved 203 female subjects divided into two stages of study with 101 subjects were performed CYP2A6 polymorphism and 102 subjects for CYP2A13 polymorphism.

From Table 1, we can conclude that the majority of the study was in the 50–59 years old age group with adenocarcinoma. Because the majority of subjects were household wife, the source of smoking exposure was at home with the duration of exposure was more than 10 years. Mostly biomass exposure was acquired from mosquito repellent, chalk, and firewood exposure.

Before analyzing the association between CYP2A6 and CYP2A13 with lung cancer, we first performed the Hardy Weinberg analysis, in which all alleles are in equilibrium state, both in lung cancer and healthy control groups (\( p > 0.05 \)).

Discussion

In the increased incidence of lung cancer in never smokers, many risk factors were analyzed epidemiologically and biologically. There are a few risk factors correlated with the incidence of lung cancer in never smoker, including age, environmental tobacco smoke, air pollution including indoor (household fumes) and outdoor (vehicle emission), inherited genetic susceptibility, occupational and environmental exposure to carcinogens, imbalance of hormonal
Table 1: Distribution characteristics by study stage and case-control study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>CYP2A6 Case</th>
<th></th>
<th>CYP2A6 Control</th>
<th></th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>CYP2A13 Case</th>
<th></th>
<th>CYP2A13 Control</th>
<th></th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40 years old</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49 years old</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59 years old</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69 years old</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥70 years old</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning service</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household wife</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office women</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businesswomen</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive smoker</td>
<td>In house</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histopathology</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous Cell Carcinoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of smoking exposure</td>
<td>&lt;10 years</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥10 years</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass exposure</td>
<td>Mosquito repellent</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalk exposure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pesticide</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage fumes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firewood</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>Batak</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Java</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melayu</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aceh</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minang</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While smoking is the major dominant factor contributes to the development of lung cancer [1], the incidence of lung cancer in never smoker populations, particularly women is increasing with significant geographic variations [22]. Recent articles also showed that environmental tobacco smoker was one of the major risk factors in developing lung cancer in women never smokers [3]. Indirectly, it showed that both active and passive smoker has the risk for developing lung cancer. In the European never-smoker population, women tend to have lung cancer risk more than the male population because of some different biological aspects including hormonal, oncogenic drivers expression, and mutation.
The polymorphisms of CYP2A6 and CYP2A13 as major enzymes in nicotine metabolism played important role in smoking status and developing lung cancer in active and environmental tobacco smokers [14], [29], [30]. In recent studies, male-bataknese smoker showed that allele *1B was the most common allele found with the highest rate of nicotine metabolism, although it was not significantly associated with the incidence of lung cancer [15], [31]. As polymorphism of CYP2A6 has wide variants, allele *4 was known as “loss function” enzymes in nicotine metabolism. People with CYP2A6*4 tend to smoke less intensively and having less risk of lung cancer [11], [12]. In this study, we found only two participants showed the expressions of CYP2A6*4 in the case group, and there were no expressions of CYP2A6*4 in the control group, so the risk analysis compares to the wild type of CYP2A6 (CYP2A6*) could not be performed. Meanwhile, the most common allele was *1B, and this is in line with a few multivariate studies which showed that allele *1 was the most common in the population and related to high consumption of cigarettes and a higher risk of lung cancer compared with allele *4 [12], [32].

Mainly expressed in respiratory epithelium and becomes one of the main enzymes in nicotine metabolism, CYP2A13 also metabolizes Aflatoxin B1 that is a carcinogenic substance that causes cytotoxicity effect, inflammation, and DNA damage. All these processes lead to form lung carcinogenesis [33]. Converse with CYP2A6, we found a significant association between CYP2A13 genotype CT and allele T and the higher risk for developing lung cancer until 2.7 times and 1.6 times. This is different from the Timofeeva study that showed that genotype CT/TT have a lower risk for developing lung cancer in the female population both in never/light smoker and strong smoker [34]. The same results were also found in Wang’s study that showed a significant reduction of lung cancer risk in genotype CT+TT in the light smoker population, although it was not significant in never and a heavy smoker [14]. According to Zhang et al., the substitution of the C to T allele decreases the metabolic activity of nicotine and also serves as some protection against xenobiotic toxicity in the respiratory tract [35]. These inconsistent results might be caused by the biologic component of CYP2A13 Arg257Cys which contradicts each other. Geographic factor analysis with advanced molecular characteristics of CYP2A13 and a larger scale of study is needed to assess the impact of polymorphism of CYP2A13 and the incidence of lung cancer.

This study has several limitations including the minimal sample size and limitation of the PCR method. RT-PCR with sequencing analysis may further be carried out to analyze whether the polymorphism associated with the mutation type of CYP2A6 and CYP2A13 in a certain geographic area, particularly Indonesia.

This study provided data about the risk factor of lung cancer in women never smokers in North Sumatera, Indonesia, and was the first study to analyze the main enzyme of nicotine metabolism in never-smoker patients in Southeast Asia. However, further comprehensive and broads discussions are needed to assess the biological impact of polymorphism of
CYP2A6 and CYP2A13 in the lung carcinogenesis in never-smoker population.

Conclusions

There was a significant correlation between polymorphism CYP213 with the incidence of lung cancer among female lung cancer never smoker. However, the results show no significant relationship between CYP2A6 genetic polymorphism and lung cancer incidence.
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