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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Healthcare workers (HCWs) are at the frontline defense against coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. AIM: The study aimed to describe the characteristics and appraise potential risk factors of COVID-19 transmission among HCWs who tested positive for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) in one of Cairo University Hospitals. METHODOLOGY: Cross-sectional descriptive analysis of confirmed polymerase chain reaction (PCR) positive versus negative cases for COVID-19. RESULTS: Through March–June 2020, (145/846; 17%) suspected HCWs were tested for COVID-19 by PCR; out of them (70/145; 48.3%) were confirmed as positive, these positive cases represented (70/846; 8.3%) of all HCWs of the hospital. About 33% of confirmed COVID-19 positive HCWs acquired the infection from the healthcare while only (13/70; 19%) from community settings, and no clear exposure data were identified in (34/70; 48%) of cases. Most of symptomatic cases showed a positive PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 versus asymptomatic cases, p < 0.001. There was no statistical significance regarding gender, age, presence of comorbidity, workload or the type of acquisition. CONCLUSION: HCWs are at an increased risk of COVID-19 infection at the workplace. Strict implementation of infection control measures is of crucial role in preventing transmission of COVID-19 infection in health-care settings.
Subjects and Methods

Study design

A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted from March–June 2020 at one of the tertiary care referral center in Egypt which provides health services in all specialties with about 5600 beds. It includes outpatient clinics and emergency departments. One of the important affiliated hospitals is obstetrics and gynecology hospital which serves about 1000 females per month through outpatient clinics or emergency sector.

We aimed to detect infection rate of COVID-19 among HCWs in Obstetrics and Gynaecology hospital. The study described the characteristics HCWs who tested positive for COVID-19 in our hospital with analysis of risk factors, and the exposure details.

Confirmed reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) positive COVID-19 HCWs are isolated in accordance according to their clinical condition at home or hospital. They are allowed to return back to work after 14 days from being confirmed as positive for COVID-19 and they should be symptoms- free with negative RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 according to the WHO recommendations. The RT-PCR negative COVID-19 HCWs are followed by laboratory tests, computerized tomography (CT) chest as required according to their clinical condition, with repetition of NSP swab after 48 h to reduce possibility of false negative results.

Study outcome

Primary outcome

Determine infection rate of COVID-19 among HCWs in one of Cairo University Hospitals (CUHs) during the COVID-19 wave and make in-depth analysis of sources and modes of transmission of SARS-CoV-2 among HCWs.

Secondary outcome

Characterize both symptomatic and asymptomatic HCWs after being confirmed positive by real-time RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2.

Study population

Our hospital is specialized in gynecology and obstetrics accordingly potentially infected COVID-19 cases would be admitted at any time for specialized gynecological and obstetric medical care. Infection control committee with support of the hospital administration has settled strict policy and precautions and the workflow has been also rearranged to protect the frontline obstetricians, HCWs and patients in the era of COVID-19 pandemic. Most services were postponed except emergencies and non-deferrable cases. All patients present to the hospital during the pandemic, should be screened for symptoms or risk factors for COVID-19 following precise triaging criteria, and any suspected or confirmed case which does not need special care should be referred to the assigned isolation hospitals for COVID-19 cases. Any critical case which need specialized gynecological or obstetric management and meet the criteria of suspected or confirmed COVID-19 should be admitted to the hospital in designed isolation rooms for COVID-19 cases for primary assessment and management by dedicated well trained team only deals with these cases, then referred to assigned isolation hospitals after the cases are stable.

There are 846 HCWs working in the hospital including; 116 clinicians, 141 house officers, 22 pharmacists, 370 nurses, among them about 120 newly trained nurse candidates, 81 administrative employees, and 116 paramedics were involved in the cross sectional study.

The protocol of our hospital is to monitor closely all HCWs, including staff working in the triage screening areas and the dedicated team for caring of COVID-19 cases by infection control professionals of the hospital for any signs or symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Symptomatic and/or suspected personnel according to specific criteria are segregated from work and they are eligible to nasopharyngeal swab (NSP) which is tested by RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2. Furthermore, HCWs who give history of contact with confirmed COVID-19 case either in the hospital or outside are also eligible to NSP swabbing irrespective of being symptomatic or not.

Questionnaire design for HCWs

Epidemiological data of HCWs were collected through the previously mentioned structured questionnaire (attached) which was prepared by the team of the study as part of their study routine work and analysis of the current pandemic. The following variables were included: Risk factors, comorbidity, transmission models of COVID-19 among HCWs, medical history, exposure to suspected or confirmed COVID-19 cases, and symptoms compatible with COVID-19.

Sampling process

SARS-CoV-2 laboratory tests are performed following recommendations of the WHO. NSP swabs are obtained by nurses using standard technique of wiping a flocculated swab across the posterior oropharynx from 1 tonsillar area to the other with strict infection control precautions. Swabs are immediately immersed in transport medium, transferred to the hospital molecular microbiology laboratory in portable
Among studied HCWs; the confirmed positive for COVID-19 by RT-PCR was (70/846, 8.3%) which represented (70/145, 48.3%) of our studied suspected HCWs. 46/70, 66% worked at frontline and 43/70, 61.4% of them worked at hot areas. The most affected group was the nurses followed by doctors and workers (55.7%, 28.6%, and 15.7%, respectively). 51%, 36/70 of confirmed positive HCWs to COVID-19 reported exposure to suspected and/or confirmed COVID-19 individuals; most of these exposure incidents occurred at hospital settings (23/36, 64%). Table 1 shows comparison between group of positive and negative RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2.

The table reflects the importance of IPC training as a significant difference between PCR negative versus positive COVID-19 cases among suspected HCWs. Suspicion was more common among frontline individuals, and personnel who were working in the hot areas and contact cases especially in the hospital; but without a significant difference between positive and negative groups.

Out of 145 suspected HCWs, the duration between exposure and developing symptoms was 2–14 days. Ninety percent (60/70) of cases confirmed as COVID-19 positive showed symptoms within 5.4 ± 2.3 days of exposure. Fever and cough were the most common symptoms among suspected workers (44.8% and 41.4%, respectively). Fever was the most commonly detected symptom among HCWs confirmed as positive for COVID-19 (49/70; 70%) Table 2.

### Table 2: Clinical data of HCWs with positive versus negative PCR for SARS-CoV-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure type</th>
<th>Positive (n=70)</th>
<th>Negative (n=75)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site of working</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot areas**</td>
<td>43 (61.4)</td>
<td>45 (60)</td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold areas</td>
<td>27 (38.6)</td>
<td>30 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure type</td>
<td>36 (51.4)</td>
<td>42 (56)</td>
<td>0.581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital exposure</td>
<td>23 (33.9)</td>
<td>33 (43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community exposure</td>
<td>13 (18.6)</td>
<td>9 (12.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving IC training</td>
<td>51 (72.9)</td>
<td>66 (88)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Workload more than 12 h is considered high. **Hot area including ICU, emergency room and operation rooms

---

**Results**

Out of the 846 HCWs, 145 HCWs were suspected for COVID-19 infection (17%). They were distributed as (46/145, 31.7%) among physicians, (78/145, 53.8%) among nurses, (16/145, 11%) among patient transporters/cleaners, and (3/145, 2.1%) among administrative employees. Of which 27% of suspected HCWs had comorbidity as respiratory diseases, diabetes, and cardiac diseases (10.3%, 7.6%, and 7.6%, respectively) in their medical history.

About 61% of our study group worked at hot areas which include emergency room, operation rooms and ICU. About 63% of suspected HCWs were frontline.

Most of suspected tested HCWs were symptomatic (75.9%). Out of them, 78 (54%) persons reported exposure to suspected or confirmed COVID-19 cases either in the hospital or outside in the previous 2 weeks. About (56/78, 72%) of exposure were reported inside the hospital.

Contact with infected colleagues during break or at residency rooms, examine suspected infected patients or sampling; these events represented (34.6%, 15.4%, and 10.3%, respectively) of exposure incidents in the hospital. Only 28% reported contact with friend or infected family members outside hospital.

Regarding training of HCWs during that critical time, we mainly focused on hand hygiene, donning and doffing of PPE. 117 out of 145 suspected HCWs in this study (80.6%) received infection control training.

### Table 1: Demographic, work criteria, and exposure information of the suspected HCWs included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Positive (n=70)</th>
<th>Negative (n=75)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>16 (22.9)</td>
<td>15 (20)</td>
<td>0.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>54 (77.1)</td>
<td>60 (80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 40 years</td>
<td>38 (54.3)</td>
<td>49 (65.3)</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 years or more</td>
<td>32 (45.7)</td>
<td>26 (34.7)</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td>20 (28.6)</td>
<td>34 (45.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5</td>
<td>50 (71.4)</td>
<td>41 (54.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontline</td>
<td>46 (65.7)</td>
<td>46 (61.3)</td>
<td>0.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Frontline</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>29 (38.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workload*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>28 (40)</td>
<td>31 (41.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>42 (60)</td>
<td>44 (58.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job title</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurses</td>
<td>39 (55.7)</td>
<td>39 (52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctors</td>
<td>20 (28.6)</td>
<td>26 (34.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers</td>
<td>11 (15.7)</td>
<td>10 (13.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site of working</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot areas**</td>
<td>43 (61.4)</td>
<td>45 (60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold areas</td>
<td>27 (38.6)</td>
<td>30 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure type</td>
<td>36 (51.4)</td>
<td>42 (56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital exposure</td>
<td>23 (33.9)</td>
<td>33 (43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community exposure</td>
<td>13 (18.6)</td>
<td>9 (12.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving IC training</td>
<td>51 (72.9)</td>
<td>66 (88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Workload more than 12 h is considered high. **Hot area including ICU, emergency room and operation rooms
The table shows that comorbidity was more common among PCR positive HCWs for COVID-19, but without statistically significant value. Most of symptomatic cases showed a positive PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 versus asymptomatic cases with statistically significant value. Most of the infected HCWs had satisfactory outcome as 73% of studied cases were home isolated while only 1.4% required ICU admission.

**Discussion**

Egypt has been hit by this pandemic with the first confirmed case officially announced on 14 February 2020 [10]. The number of cases increased to reach 26,384 cases by June and exceed 80,000 cases by July [11], [12]. HCWs have been significantly affected by the pandemic worldwide, as well as in Egypt [13].

In our study, 145 of employees at Obstetrics and Gynaecology hospital were suspected cases of COVID-19. The positive RT-PCR COVID-19 cases represented 8.3% of all hospital employees and 48.3% of the suspected HCWs. The rate of infection was high because NSP swab was restricted for high risk HCWs and not for screening of all HCWs.

On the other hand, Kassem et al. declared that 13.5% of screened HCWs were confirmed positive but the aim of their study was point prevalence surveillance with small sample size [14].

A study in a German university hospital, 52 out of 957 employees (5.4%) tested positive for COVID-19 by PCR [15]. In a Dutch study, 14% HCWs were asymptomatic suspected cases and 6% of them tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 through nasal swab [16]. This variety could be explained by different study designs of HCWs regarding their work place, being frontline or not, timing of the samples collection, and duration of the study.

In our study, 65% of the lab confirmed cases were frontline HCWs, 61% out of them worked at hot area which agrees with our knowledge about this virus and its mode of transmission [17], [18]. Unexpectedly, workload was not one of the risk factors to get COVID-19 infection in this study which disagrees with the study of Wang et al., who reported that high workload with long-time exposure to large numbers of infected patients directly increased the risk of infection for HCWs [7]. This could be explained by following strict adherence to infection control measures all the time during work hours and not sufficient, in addition to lack of keeping reasonable distance between each other while talking, eating or praying, moreover the doffing of PPEs without caution, non-compliance with hand hygiene and environmental disinfection all these factors may result in exposure to high viral load and increase risk of infection in hostels rather than patient care areas irrespective to work hours.

Among our tested HCWs, neither age nor gender was risk factor for COVID-19 infection and most of the positive cases were among nurse group. This is consistent with Kassem et al. study [14] and could be explained by excess workload difficult comprehending under stress, educational, culture and social status, inadequate nurse to patient ratio, especially at early stage of the pandemic before identification of potential risks of COVID-19 transmission, rigorous implementation and monitoring of infection control measures and before dealing with the problem of PPEs shortage.

A study in India on COVID-19 in HCWs found that, commonly effected age group to be 26–41 years (61%). Understanding the dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 infection in this group is essential to guide formulation of effective infection control measures [3], [19].

In our study, 90% of COVID-19 PCR positive subjects were symptomatic HCWs. Kassem et al. reported that symptomatic cases represent 73% of COVID-19 PCR positive results [14].

Furthermore, most positive COVID-19 cases were observed in studies from the United Kingdom and Spain in March 2020, when symptomatic HCWs were tested (18%) and (38%) [20], [21]. This is in agreement with high correlation between COVID-19 positivity and the presence of symptoms among HCWs.

These findings may be due to our hospital protocol of testing only suspected HCWs, not mass screening of all HCWs regardless of risk of exposure or suspicion criteria.

Fever, cough, and dyspnea were the most common symptoms among our confirmed COVID-19 cases. This is in accordance with many studies in which fever and cough were commonly presented symptoms among COVID-19 cases [16], [22], [23].

Surprisingly COVID-19 positive cases were significantly higher among HCWs with more than 5 year experience. This could be explained by work overload on these expertise HCWs and assigning them for management of more severe cases with higher viral...
loads more than younger less expertise HCWs.

Approximately, 54% suspected COVID-19 individuals reported exposure to suspected or confirmed COVID-19 individuals during work either in the hospital or outside in the past 2 weeks. Regarding confirmed positive COVID-19 cases 33% were exposed at hospital and 19% were exposed out of the work areas. This result is in agreement with a study conducted at Germany where 531/957 (55.5%) suspected employees reported contact with a person tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. Among the employees who tested positive 74.6% at work, 25.4% elsewhere [15]. On the other hand, Maskari et al. reported that the most common settings of acquisition of COVID-19 infection among HCWs were community 61.3%, followed by hospital 25.5% and no source was identified in 13.2% [20].

Nosocomial transmission should be considered especially among colleagues as many of positive HCWs reported exposure in the hospital environment. However, we cannot exclude role of community as source of COVID-19 infection, cause 36% of the exposed positive HCWs had history of community contact with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 cases. Same findings were found by Sikkema et al., as they reported that 32% of HCWs were in close contact with confirmed case of COVID-19; most of them occurred between colleagues. However, infection of HCWs could be explained by foreign travel, and community contacts [24]. This is great alarm to all population to avoid unnecessary gathering, keeping social distance, compliance with hand hygiene, and strict adherence to proper donning and doffing of appropriate PPEs in hospital and community settings.

Many studies explained the critical measures to reduce nosocomial transmission by respiratory droplets, through strict adherence to hand hygiene and PPE use guidelines by all HCWs involved in management of confirmed or suspected SARS-CoV-2 infected patients [7], [25]. Sometimes HCWs could not comply with these strategies due to several factors including shortages of medical supplies, inadequate IPC training and cultural factors [5].

Among our suspected HCWs (117/145; 81%) received IPC training and showed a significant difference between positive and negative tested group. Moreover, IPC interventions such as engineering controls and administrative controls are considered to play a valuable important role in preventing transmission [26].

According to our hospital protocol; the confirmed positive HCWs for COVID-19 are isolated at home for 14 days with close follow-up by assigned team of specialized physicians. Some studies found that HCWs with COVID-19 were more likely to present less severely ill, and less likely to be admitted to the hospital [27], [28]. All recruited HCWs confirmed as COVID-19, in our study, were improved and returned back to work after 2 negative NSP swabs, 48 h apart [29].

Strengths and limitations

Our epidemiological data are collected during routine work reflecting real situation and analyzing common mistakes during work for better understanding of the sources of infection and modes of transmission of SARS-CoV-2 among HCWs at one of CUHs, thus guiding infection control professionals to formulate appropriate evidence based IPC measures. However, our study has some limitations; the survey was conducted in one specialized hospital so the results may not be generalizable to other hospital’s HCWs. The testing of HCWs was restricted on symptomatic HCWs in the past 14 days and no data were collected from asymptomatic HCWs. In addition, the possibility of false negative result should be considered.

Conclusion

Mass screening of HCWs during pandemic is a priority. As 48.3% of our suspected health care workers were positive by PCR test for COVID-19, 51.4% with history of exposure either community, or at the hospital and 90% were symptomatic. However, in our limited resource countries at least testing of symptomatic high risk group and those with exposure history is mandatory to avoid addition of HCWs to the burden of COVID-19 infection clusters and transmission among both healthcare and community settings.

Understanding the dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 infection in this population is essential to guide formulation of appropriate and customized IPC measures. Endorse national and local IPC guidelines which recommend levels of PPE based on risk assessment ultimately aiming to provide optimal PPE to all categories of HCWs and preparedness for any coming pandemics.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank infection control committee for its heroic role during difficult and hard times including infection control team of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Hospital. Also we appreciate the great commitment and excellent effort of Prof. Mona Salah, Ass Prof. May Sherif and their team at the Molecular Laboratory during pandemic. We cannot express enough our gratitude to all HCWs’ efforts and sacrifice during pandemic.
Authors’ Contributions

All authors were contributed; Dr. Mona and Dr. Maha designed the study, Dr. Maha and Dr. Ahmed provided us with the documents and collected the data. Dr. Mona, Dr. Maha and Dr. Aml carried out the study analysis. Dr. Mostafa prepared the statistical analysis of the study. Dr. Aml and Dr. Ahmed revised and edited the final version of the manuscript. All authors have approved the final article.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval was obtained from the Medical Ethics Committee- Faculty of Medicine (N-109-2020). History data obtained from the suspected HCWs in addition to SARS-CoV-2 testing results by RT-PCR which were performed as part of the routine infection control policy in response to outbreak situations. All participants were guaranteed anonymity and they have signed written informed consent.

References

21. Suárez-García I, Martínez de Aramayona López MJ, Sáez...
PMid:32007143


PMid:27093058

PMid:33039607

PMid:33296367

PMid:33507259

Appendix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCW ID code:</th>
<th>Date of suspicion:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comorbidity:**
- DM
- HTN
- Renal
- Liver
- Cardiac
- Pulmonary disease or allergy
- COPD
- Smoking
- Others

**Job title:**
- Location of work: Frontline
- Non frontline

**Work experience:**
- < 5 years
- > 5 years

**Work hours:**
- < 12 h
- > 12 h

**Received IC training:**
- Yes
- No

**Type of training:**
- Diploma
- Course
- Lecture
- Online training

**Timing between exposure and appearance of symptoms (if known):**

**Contact with COVID-19 case:**
- Yes
- No

**Place of Contact:**
- In the hospital
- Outside the hospital

**Procedure done:**
- Talking with patient
- Intubation/suction
- Examination
- Sampling
- Others
- Specify

**Case presentation:**
- Asymptomatic
- Symptomatic

**Symptom:**
- Fever
- Sore throat
- Cough
- Dyspnea
- Bone/muscle ache
- Headache
- Anosmia
- Diarrhea
- Pneumonia
- Others
- Specify

**Result PCR test:**
- Positive
- Negative

**Management of infected worker:**
- Hospitalization at ward
- Hospitalization at ICU
- Home isolation

**Glossary:**

**Frontline HCWs:**
Frontline HCWs are defined as a worker who deal directly by any means, with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 cases through patient intake, screening, inspection, testing, transport, treatment, nursing, specimen collection, and examination.

**Overloaded HCWs:**
Classified by working hours; 12 h or more of continuous work is considered work overload.

**Hot Areas:**
These are crowded areas where direct contact with suspected COVID-19 patients for prolonged time is non-avoidable with frequent aerosol generating procedures such as; triage area, emergency room, operation rooms and intensive care unit (ICU).