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Abstract

BACKGROUND: The provision of nutrition education for teenage girls is an alternative to increase protein and iron intake to prevent anemia.

AIM: This study aims to determine nutrition education’s effect using pocketbook media on protein and iron intake in teenage girls.

METHODS: The research design used was quasi-experimental with a control group pre- and post-test design. The research was conducted at UKS Surabaya. The research participants were 320 teenage girls aged 13–18 years. The data were collected using a self-administered questionnaire. The data were analyzed using t-test.

RESULTS: This study indicates an influence of nutrition education using pocketbook media on protein intake (p = 0.000) and iron (p = 0.000) in the treatment group. There is no effect of nutrition education using PowerPoint on protein intake (p = 0.326) and substances iron (p = 0.52) in the control group. Statistically, there was a significant difference between the treatment and control groups’ protein intake (p = 0.000) and iron (p = 0.001).

CONCLUSION: It is recommended that UKS and students conduct nutrition education routinely so that the nutrition information delivered can be given to all students in the school to prevent nutritional anemia.

Introduction

Adolescence is a time of rapid change in terms of physical, cognitive, and psychosocial growth. These changes affect nutritional needs [1]. Rapid growth in adolescents has consequences for increasing dietary requirements to keep up with the growth.

Teenage girls are prone to nutritional problems, and the problem is anemia [2] because they have menstruation every month and cause the number of iron nutrients lost during menstruation. The lack of iron intake is also the cause of anemia [3]. Teenage girls are usually very attentive to body shape, limiting food consumption and many dietary restrictions. If the food intake is less, many iron reserves are dismantled, accelerating anemia [4].

A lack of protein and iron will result in a person experiencing iron deficiency anemia. Protein plays a key role in the synthesis of hemoglobin and the transportation of iron in the body. Lack of protein intake will result in iron transport being hampered, so there will be iron deficiency [5].

According to data from Riskesdas (Basic Health Research) in 2018, shows that the prevalence of anemia in women in Indonesia is 27.2%, with anemia aged 5–14 years of age 26.8% and patients aged 15–24 years by 32% [6]. Factors behind the high prevalence of anemia include social conditions, behavior, lack of iron intake, and knowledge of anemia [7].

Septian’s research in 2019 stated that the intake of protein and iron of teenage girls is still classified as less than 60% RDA [8]. Total Diet Survey 2014 of Indonesia showed, the average protein intake of adolescent girls aged 13–18 years in the urban province of West Java is 54.6% [9].

Increasing the intake of protein and iron requires good knowledge for adolescents. One of the most important factors in improving knowledge is conveying information tailored to the target’s needs using suitable health promotion media. Good nutritional understanding is expected to indirectly affect adolescent eating habits to impact the level of nutritional adequacy of adolescents [10].

The role of nutrition education is necessary to increase adolescent nutrition knowledge regarding the selection of food consumed to prevent deviations in eating behavior. Efforts to reduce iron nutrition anemia are by increasing knowledge through nutrition education...
using pocketbook media. The adolescent age group is a strategic target group to overcome anemia because adolescents are still learning and curious. It is easier to absorb knowledge.

According to the results of Kusudaryati et al. research (2016) stated that providing nutritional education with media booklets effectively increases the average iron intake in teenage girls at Senior High School called SMAN 1 Simo Boyolali [11]. Meanwhile, according to the results of Zak’s research (2019) stated that there is an increase in average protein intake before and after nutrition education in teenage girls with less chronic energy (KEK) in Senior High School called SMAN 5 Purwokerto [12]. Based on their research, that states nutrition education with media booklet can increase nutrition intake. The weakness of the booklet media is that it cannot be carried everywhere because of its large size, so it needs to be replaced with a smaller form such as a pocket book. This study aims to determine nutrition education’s influence using pocketbook media on protein and iron intake in teenage girls.

Methods

The research design used in this study is quasi-experimental with a control group pre- and post-test design. This study sample was 50 samples (25 treatment groups and 25 control group samples) conducted with the purposive sampling method. This research was in 2020 at Senior High School called SMKN 11 Bandung for the treatment and control groups. The intervention was carried out 3 times within 2 weeks. The media used are a pocketbook and PowerPoint slides used for nutrition education. Pocketbooks and nutrition education were given to the treatment group, while the control group received nutrition education without a pocketbook. Protein and iron intake is measured using a 1 × 24 h food recall form questionnaire. This study’s statistical analysis was paired samples t-test, Wilcoxon, independent t-test, and Mann–Whitney U-test, and has obtained an ethics review with No. 09/KEPK/PE/II/2020.

Results

The research design used in this study is quasi-experimental with a control group pre- and post-test design. This study sample was 50 samples (25 treatment groups and 25 control group samples) conducted with the purposive sampling method. This research was in 2020 at Senior High School called SMKN 11 Bandung for the treatment and control groups. The intervention was carried out 3 times within 2 weeks. The media used are a pocketbook and PowerPoint slides used for nutrition education. Pocketbooks and nutrition education were given to the treatment group, while the control group received nutrition education without a pocketbook. Protein and iron intake is measured using a 1 × 24 h food recall form questionnaire. This study’s statistical analysis was paired samples t-test, Wilcoxon, independent t-test, and Mann–Whitney U-test, and has obtained an ethics review with No. 09/KEPK/PE/II/2020.

Table 1: Distribution preview sample based on age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sample treatment group was dominated by 15 years with as much 56%. The sample control group was dominated by 16 years old with a percentage of as much 56%.

Based on the analysis results in Table 2, there was an increase in the average intake of protein and iron in the treatment group, namely, protein intake from 41.11 g to 64.0 g and iron intake from 10.2 mg to 16.9 g after the intervention. There was an increase in the average intake of protein and iron in the control group, namely, protein intake from 36.0 g to 39.0 g and iron intake from 8.9 g to 11.1 g after the intervention.

Table 2: Value protein and iron intake before and after nutrition education in treatment and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Pre-test</th>
<th>Post-test</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the analysis in Table 3, nutrition education is influenced using pocketbook media on protein and iron intake in the treatment group with p ≤ 0.001 and p ≤ 0.001. While in the control group, there is no influence of nutrition education using PowerPoint media on protein and iron intake with p = 0.326 and p = 0.052.

Table 3: Differences in average protein and iron intake value before and after nutrition education in treatment and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the analysis (Table 4), there was an average difference in protein intake at the end of the study treatment and control group with p = 0.003. There was an average difference in iron intake at the end of the study treatment and control group with p = 0.023.

Table 4: Differences in average changes in protein and iron intake after nutrition education between treatment and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the analysis (Table 4), there was an average difference in protein intake at the end of the study treatment and control group with p = 0.003. There was an average difference in iron intake at the end of the study treatment and control group with p = 0.023.

Based on the analysis results in Table 2, there was an increase in the average intake of protein and iron in the treatment group, namely, protein intake from 41.11 g to 64.0 g and iron intake from 10.2 mg to 16.9 g after the intervention. There was an increase in the average intake of protein and iron in the control group, namely, protein intake from 36.0 g to 39.0 g and iron intake from 8.9 g to 11.1 g after the intervention.

Table 3: Differences in average protein and iron intake value before and after nutrition education in treatment and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Value p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the analysis (Table 4), there was an average difference in protein intake at the end of the study treatment and control group with p = 0.003. There was an average difference in iron intake at the end of the study treatment and control group with p = 0.023.
Discussion

Descriptive analysis of samples based on age is known to be 15–16 years. The sample treatment group mostly with the age of 15 years was 14 students (56%). The sample control group mostly with age 16 years old was 14 students (56%). The 15–17 age range is included in middle adolescence. This time is characterized by the development of emotions and the independence of the family. They pay more attention to their surrounding social environment, spending more time with their peers outside the home. At this time, the role of peers also affects the selection of food. The choice of food is based on similarities with friends rather than their needs [13].

There was an increase in the average intake of protein and iron in the treatment group, namely, protein intake from 41.11 g to 64.0 g and iron intake from 10.2 mg to 16.9 g after the intervention. There was an increase in the average intake of protein and iron in the control group, namely, protein intake from 36.0 g to 39.0 g and iron intake from 8.9 g to 11.1 g after the intervention.

The role of nutrition education is necessary to increase adolescent nutrition knowledge regarding the selection of food consumed so that deviations in eating behavior can be prevented. Nutrition education or counseling is an educational approach to produce the behavior of individuals or communities needed in improving food improvement and nutritional status [14].

Based on Wilcoxon tests conducted in the treatment group to test pre- and post-test proteins, p < 0.001 was obtained. Moreover, the test results paired samples t-test to test the pre- and post-test iron obtained the results of the importance of p < 0.001. p < 0.05 was considered, then H0 is rejected, and Ha is accepted, so it can be concluded that there is an influence of nutrition education using pocketbooks on protein and iron intake in teenage girls.

Nutrition education is needed to have nutritional knowledge to prevent deviations in eating consumption [15]. Nutrition education in schoolchildren must be provided in appropriate ways and media to attract children’s attention and facilitate children’s receiving nutrition information.

Based on Wilcoxon tests conducted in the control group to test the pre- and post-test, the protein obtained p = 0.326. Moreover, the test results paired samples t-test to test the pre- and post-test iron obtained from p = 0.52. p > 0.05, then H0 is accepted, and Ha is rejected, so it can be concluded that there is no influence of nutrition education using PowerPoint media on protein and iron intake in teenage girls.

Nutrition education media are no less important in the process of information delivery. This method serves as a tool or channel to deliver messages to the target or client [16].

Conclusion

The treatment group’s average protein and iron intake was from 41.11 g to 64.0 g and from 10.2 mg to 16.9 g after the intervention. There was an increase in the average intake of protein and iron in the control group, namely, protein intake from 36.0 g to 39.0 g and iron intake from 8.9 g to 11.1 g after the intervention.
The average protein intake ranking was higher in the treatment group (22.9 g) than in the control group (3.0 g). Statistically (p = 0.003) shows that there is a significant difference.

The average iron intake ranking was higher in the treatment group (6.7 mg) than in the control group (2.2 mg). Statistically (p = 0.023) shows that there is a significant difference.

For intervention groups, nutrition education with pocketbook media influenced protein intake (p = 0.000) and iron (p = 0.000) in teenage girls. However, there is no effect of nutrition education with media PowerPoint on protein intake (p = 0.326) and iron (p = 0.52) in teenage girls for the control group.

**Recommendations**

The pocketbook used in this study is expected to continue to be read repeatedly. It can be used for adolescents in nutrition education activities and health promotion to increase knowledge and change eating consumption behavior.

Schools can work with the School Health Unit (UKS) and students to conduct nutrition education regularly. Hence, nutritional information is conveyed to students used as research samples and all school students to prevent nutritional anemia.

Further researchers are expected to research further with other factors to be known other factors that can affect protein and iron intake.
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