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Abstract

BACKGROUND: An existing study reported variation of the outcome of adjuvant hormone therapy on breast cancer.

AIM: This study aimed to examine predictors of the hormone therapy to the outcome of recurrence-free survival (RFS) of estrogen receptor-positive (ER+) breast cancer.

METHODS: In this cohort study, we followed up 219 eligible breast cancer patients with ER+ who had hormone therapy in 2017–2018. Age of patients, cancer stage, and various histopathology parameters were collected from the medical records, then we followed up with the patients within 2 years (2019–2020) to assess the RFS outcome. Bivariate analysis was conducted to assess the association between the clinicopathology parameters with RFS outcome. Multivariate analysis with logistic regression was also performed to see the dominant predictor. Mediation path analysis was also performed to determine the estimated effect of a predictor on the level of RFS and to see the visualization of the association of predictors with RFS.

RESULTS: Breast cancer RFS was 91.3% within 2 years of hormone therapy. The recurrent rate was only 8.7%, which most of them (68.4%) were local. There was no association of age, lymphovascular invasion (LVI), progesterone receptor (PR), and HER2 status (p > 0.005).

CONCLUSION: Cancer stage is the main predictor of RFS of hormone therapy outcome. Luminal A is most likely to have a better outcome of RFS, especially mediated by the lower stage.

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most prevalent cancer among women in the world, and also one of the main causes of death [1], [2], [3]. Breast cancer is also the most prevalent in women in Indonesia, which is recorded about 30.8%, and also the most common cancer-related mortality cause among women in the country (WHO, 2020).

The cancers can be classified into molecular subtypes, including luminal A, luminal B, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2+), and triple-negative (TN) [4], [5]. Luminal A breast cancer is a cancer type with hormone-receptor positive, either estrogen receptor (ER) or progesterone receptor (PR) positive. HER2 negative, and has low level of the protein Ki-67. The luminal A type is a low-grade and tend to grow slowly and have the best prognosis. Luminal B breast cancer is a cancer type hormone-receptor positive and either HER2 positive or negative with high level of Ki-67. Luminal B cancers grow slightly faster than luminal A cancers. TN or basal-like breast cancer is hormone-receptor (ER and PR) negative and HER2 negative. HER2-enriched non-luminal is ER and PR negative and HER2 positive. HER2-enriched cancers tend to grow faster than luminal cancers and can have a worse prognosis. However, HER2 cancers are more successfully treated with targeted therapy for HER2 protein. Clinical courses, patterns of metastasis, and outcome and prognosis may also vary among these subgroups [4], [5]. The recurrence mostly happens during the first 5 years after treatment among all subtypes. However, late recurrence may also occur, such as in the luminal subtype with HER2 negative and PR-positive [6]. The recurrence may increase in cancer with high staging and HER2 positive, and inverse with the ER and PR status [7].

Some breast cancers are growing sensitive to female body hormones, estrogen and progesterone, in which breast cancer cells have receptors that can interact with those hormones in the circulation. From
the expression of the hormone receptors, breast cancer can be ER positive (ER+) and progesterone positive (PR+), or ER− and PR-. The ER+ is a type of breast cancer that has receptors that are stimulated to grow by estrogen hormone, and PR+ is a type of breast cancer that has PR and sensitive to progesterone to grow [8].

Treatment of ER+ type is with anti-estrogen hormone therapy can block the growth of the cancer cells. Few groups of hormonal therapy may be used, including selective estrogen-receptor response modulators (such as tamoxifen and toremifene), aromatase inhibitors that stop the production of estrogen by blocking the enzyme aromatase (such as anastrozole, exemestane, and letrozole), estrogen-receptor downregulators that block the effects of estrogen in breast tissue (such as fulvestrant), and luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone agents that stop the ovaries from producing estrogen (such as goserelin and leuprolide) [8]. Many studies suggested that the patients who have adjuvant hormone therapy had a better outcome, with low recurrence [9], [10].

Outcome and recurrence of breast cancer associate with the cancer clinicopathology features and treatment [11]. The existing publications on breast cancer recurrence were limited and heterogeneous [12]. The increasing of invasive cancer tended to have a higher risk of recurrence [13]. Lafourcade et al. [14] also reported that low survival of breast cancer was associated with high grade of the tumor, large size, and negative estrogen, and PRs. However, the result may vary among studies [11], [15]. Specifically, the response to hormone therapy in ER+ breast cancer also varied among other parameters, such as in PR− the outcome was low [16].

Due to variation of studies on the possible outcome and inadequate report in the Indonesian context, in this study, we had to explore predictors of the outcome of hormone therapy in ER+ breast cancer in the country, by considering other clinicopathology parameters.

Materials and Methods

Study design and research sample

This research was quantitative research with a cross-sectional prospective design. The subjects of the study were breast cancer patients with ER+ who had adjuvant hormone therapy in 2017–2018. The initial clinicopathology data were collected during treatment which were recorded in the medical record.

The follow-up has been conducted with 2 years of the treatment to assess the outcome or event of recurrence of the disease. The 2 years of follow-up were very feasible to be conducted due to the relatively short period. Within 2 years after treatment, breast cancer is more likely to have an initial recurrence.

The subjects of the study were collected from three hospitals in Padang City, West Sumatera Province of Indonesia, which were M Djamil General Hospital, YARSI Hospital, and Ropanasuri Surgical Hospital, which had experts and facilities for definitive treatment for breast cancer. Within 2 years of follow-up, 219 cases were eligible for the study with completed clinicopathology data. All patients in the study were funded under the national health insurance scheme for their treatment.

Operational definitions

The variables of this study included, age, cancer stage, lymphovascular invasion (LVI) status, PR Expression, HER2 status, and molecular subtype as independent variables. For bivariate analysis, all those variables were measured in categorical data.

The dependent variable was recurrence-free survival (RFS) for measuring the outcome. The recurrence was measured by any signs in clinical examination, which was performed by the clinician expert within 2 years of follow-up. The recurrence was included local or regional and distant recurrence. Local recurrence was cancer that was back in the same place it first started, while regional recurrence means the cancer was back in the lymph nodes near the place it first started, and distant recurrence means the cancer was back and growing in another part of the body, such as lungs, liver, bone, or brain. Cancer survival means that there were no signs of any recurrence either local or regional and distance during the follow-up.

Data collection technique and analysis

The clinicopathology data including age, cancer stage, LVI status, PR, HER2, and molecular subtype were collected during the patients’ treatment by the clinicians who were also part of the research team. The data were written and available in the medical record. Within 2 years, the clinicians and the researchers followed up on the patient’s condition. The followed-up mostly focus on any signs of recurrence, either local or regional and distance.

Univariate, bivariate, and multivariate analyses were performed to answer the research questions and to draw a conclusion. Univariate analysis was to see the proportion of cases in each pathology parameter and the outcome within 2 years. Bivariate analysis by conducting Chi-square with correction and Fisher’s exact test was done to examine the association of clinicopathology to the outcome. Later logistic regression was performed to determine the dominant factor associated with the survival outcome.
Mediation path analysis was performed to determine the estimated effect of a predictor on the level of RFS. The mediation analysis also explores any direct and indirect effect of other parameters through mediation predictor, and as well as see the visualization of association of predictors with RFS.

Results

The samples of the study were mostly with age <55 years old (pre-menopause) (63.5%). The clinicopathology was majority invasive non-specific subtype (51.5%), LVI negative (~) (84.0%), PR positive (+) (82.2%), and HER2 negative (70.3%). Meanwhile, the cancer staging was range from Stage I to IV, with equally distributed Stage IIA (27.4%), IIB (23.3%), and III (27.4%) (Table 1).

Breast cancer RFS was 91.3% within 2 years of follow-up after hormonal therapy, with a recurrent rate of 8.7%. Out of 19 cases of recurrence, the majority of them (68.4%) were local recurrence (Table 2).

Breast cancer RFS within 2 years of adjuvant hormone therapy was slightly lower in age <50 (89.2%) than >50 years old (95.0%), but it was not statistically significant (p = 0.143). The cancer RFS was comparable between LVI status negative (91.3%) and positive (91.4%) (p = 0.981), PR negative (92.3%) and positive (91.1%) (p = 0.810), and HER2 negative (90.9%) and positive (92.3%) (p = 0.0737). According to the molecular subtype, the RFS was better in luminal A (96.3%) than luminal B (88.5%) (p = 0.045). The cancer RFS was also better gradually in the lower stage (p = 0.001) (Table 3).

Regression multivariate analysis shows that the staging was the dominant predictor of the outcome (p = 0.001). The cancer staging positively associates with the RFS with OR = 4.271 (Exp[B] = 1.937–9.417), which lower staging cancer tended to have better survival outcomes. Overall, all predictors that have been analyzed affected the RFS 22.1% (R-square) (Table 4).

Further analysis in exploring the effect of other predictors on the level of recurrence was examined by mediation analysis. The study found that there was no statistically significant association of age, LVI, PR, and HER2 status with RFS through cancer stage mediation (p > 0.005). The study also found that molecular subtype positively associates with RFS (r = 16.7%), which means Category 1 (luminal A) had a better outcome than luminal B (p = 0.006) (Table 5). The completed model is seen in Figure 1.
RFS of breast cancer in other studies. A meta-analysis study found that the recurrence rate of breast cancer was 17.2% on average [15]. The hazard of recurrence within 5 years of therapy was 10.4% annually, with the highest in the 1st and the 2nd year (15.2%) [17]. Our finding suggests that hormonal therapy decreases the recurrence rate. Moreover, overall breast cancer tended to have predominantly distant recurrence [18], [19]. By contrast, our study found that breast cancer with hormonal therapy mostly has a local recurrence. The finding implies that hormonal therapy had benefits for both recurrent rate and the level of recurrence.

Breast cancer RFS within 2 years of adjuvant hormone therapy was slightly lower in age <50 (89.2%) than >50 years old (95.0%), but it was not statistically significant (p = 0.143). This can be an effect of the activity of hormone physiology, in which women at younger age normally have more estrogen production than the menopause age. The effect of hormone therapy as antiestrogen might be slightly lower despite not being statistically significant. This finding is supported by other studies, which reported that the risk of recurrence is higher at a young age [20], and needs more aggressive therapy [21].

The breast cancer stage associates with the RFS, that the lower stage cancer has a better outcome (p=0.001). The cancer stage is also the dominant predictor to the hormone therapy outcome with OR=4.271 (Exp[B]=1.937-9.417). Low stage of cancer is most likely to have little tumor size and less lymph node involved, which have a better result of definitive therapy and low recurrence. The finding supports existing study in overall breast cancer outcome, that the cancer stage is one of the important determinants to survival [7].

According to the molecular subtype, the RFS was better in luminal A (96.3%) than luminal B (88.5%) (p = 0.045). Mediation path analysis also shows that molecular subtype positively associates with RFS (r = 16.7%), which means luminal A most also likely to have a better outcome of RFS, especially mediated by the lower stage. This finding is consistent with other studies in overall cases of breast cancer that reported luminal A has low early recurrence [22]. Physiologically luminal A breast cancer has slower growth than the others [4], [5], and hormone therapy does not affect other parameters for classified into molecular subtype.

**Conclusion**

Breast cancer with ER+, which had hormone therapy, relatively has a better RFS and low recurrent rate, and as well as low risk to have a distant recurrence. The cancer stage is the main predictor of RFS, which the lower stage predominantly has a better hormone therapy outcome. Breast cancer with luminal A subtype is most likely to have a better outcome, especially mediated by the lower stage.
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