



# The Role of Chewing Gum on Post-operative Bowel Recovery after Gynecological Laparoscopic Surgery: A Short Report and Updated Review

Nicko Pisceski Kusika<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Imelda E. B. Hutagaol<sup>2</sup>, Muhammad Yusuf<sup>1</sup>, Suyanto Suyanto<sup>3</sup>, Shinta Puja Tilusari<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Arifin Achmad Hospital, Pekanbaru, Riau, Indonesia; <sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Riau, Pekanbaru, Riau, Indonesia; <sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Riau, Pekanbaru, Riau, Indonesia

#### Abstract

Edited by: Ksenija Bogoeva-Kostovska Citation: Kusika NP, Hutagaol IEB, Yusuf M, Suyanto S, Tilusari SP. The Role of Chewing Gum on Post-operative Bowel Recovery after Gynecological Laparoscopic Surgery: A Short Report and Updated Review. Open Access Macced J Med Sci. 2021 Sep 25; 9(B):1123-1127. https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2021.7133 Keywords: Chewing gum; Gynecological laparoscopic surgery; Surgical recovery; Bowel recovery "Correspondence: Dr. Nicko Pisceski Kusika, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Riau, Pekanbaru, Indonesia, E-mail: nicko.pisceski@lecturer.unri.ac.id Recieved: 24-Aug-2021 Revised: 16-Sep-2021 Accepted: 16-Sep-2021 Copyright: © 2021 Nicko Pisceski Kusika, Imda E. B. Hutagaol, Muhammad Yusuf, Suyanto Suyanto, Shinta Puja Tilusari Funding: This research did not receive any financial support

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist Open Access: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) **BACKGROUND:** Adverse post-operative complication of gynecological laparoscopic surgery, post-operative ileus, could be reduced by mimicking early post-operative oral feeding; however, the role of chewing gum is still lack evidence.

AIM: This study was conducted to assess chewing gum's role in post-operative bowel recovery after gynecological laparoscopic surgery.

**METHODS:** This prospective clinical trial recruited 60 participants who had undergone gynecological laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia. The study was conducted at Arifin Achmad Hospital, Riau Province, Indonesia, from January to April 2021. The patients were equally divided into two groups: Intervention (n = 30) and control (n = 30). They were asked to chew sugar-free gum every 2 h after the surgery (i.e. 5 times within 10 h post-surgery). Time of the first flatus and the first bowel sounds were recorded (i.e. 5 times of assessment within 10 h post-surgery). In addition, a literature review was conducted to add evidence of the role of chewing gum on postoperative bowel recovery after gynecological laparoscopic surgery.

**RESULTS:** Patients from both groups had a close age range (23–44-year-old versus 21–42-year-old). Our data suggested a significant difference in the time of the first flatus between the treatment and control group (15.95 h vs. 45.05 h), p < 0.001. The length of stay in the hospital among those from the treatment group was also significantly shorter compared to controls (15.50 h vs. 45.50 h), p < 0.001. The literature review of four updated randomized clinical trials suggests chewing gum in the early onset of first flatus and bowel movement.

**CONCLUSION:** Chewing gum following gynecological laparoscopic surgery could accelerate gastrointestinal recovery.

## Introduction

Patients are undergoing abdominal surgery experience a reduction of gastrointestinal peristalsis, delaying the routine defecation that lasts for 3-5 days [1], [2]. This common abdominal surgery complication, post-operative ileus (POI), has been reported to be a notable economic burden [3], even equal to that of serious complications [4]. In fact, POI is responsible for the cost of 1.46 billion USD annually for prolonged hospitalization in the US [5]. Longer hospitalization due to POI may also increase the risk of infection [6]. Even worse, POI may induce the development of deep vein thrombosis, myocardial infarction, and sepsis [7]. Therefore, a multimodal approach has been developed to hasten the recovery through a series of Enhanced Recovery after Surgery (ERAS) protocols [8], [9].

ERAS has introduced a measure of early feeding after the surgery to stimulate bowel motility

as an effort to reduce POI [10], [11]. Nonetheless, an earlier report revealed that 27% of the total patients could not tolerate the early feeding, leading to nausea and vomiting [12]. In the 1800s, the concept of sham feeding was introduced to mimic normal feeding, which can stimulate bowel movement through the parasympathetic nervous system [13]. It is ascribed to images and sensations of foods that increase the vagal nerve-induced gastrointestinal hormones [14]. Similar responses have been observed in sham feeding using chewing gum, where saliva, gastrin, and pancreatic juices are secreted [15], [16], and gastrointestinal tract was stimulated [17], [18]. Hence, chewing gum can be used as a sham feeding to prevent prolonged POI.

Some studies have reported the accelerated intestinal function recovery for patients treated with chewing gum after gynecological surgery [19], [20], [21]. Furthermore, chewing gum may reduce the level of post-operative pain and analgesic requirement [22], [23], [24], [25]. Nevertheless, these results are inconclusive between studies, where a

study in China showed a null result [26]. A multicenter randomized clinical trial (RCT) also reported the insignificance of chewing gum following abdominal surgery [27]. Systematic review and meta-analysis studies revealed that chewing gum after colorectal [28] and gynecological surgeries [29], [30] improves POI complications. Those reviews agreed that more RCTs are required to clarify the role of chewing gum in the recovery of intestinal function after surgery. This study sought to assess the role of chewing gum on post-operative bowel recovery after gynecological laparoscopic surgery.

## **Methods**

### Study design

A prospective trial was conducted at a referral hospital, Arifin Achmad Hospital, Riau Province, Indonesia, from January to April 2021. Before the study, all patients were asked for written informed consent, and the ethical clearance had been approved by the Review Ethic Committee of RSUD Arifin Achmad (01/2021). A total of 60 women, aged 23-42 years old and receiving gynecological laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia, participated in this study following the previously described procedure [1]. The participants were divided equally into intervention groups (gum) and control groups. In the intervention group, the patients were asked to chew sugar-free gum 5 times with 2 h intervals right after the surgery for 15 min. In the control group, the patients received normal postoperative treatment. Patients with a loose tooth (or teeth) or chronic obstipation were excluded. Patients who underwent the surgery for more than 3 h or underwent laparotomy were excluded from the study.

#### Data collection

Patients' characteristics were collected from the interview and medical record. The time of the first flatus and time of the first bowel sounds were assessed every 2 h. The first passage of flatus was noted by asking the patients, and bowel sounds were detected using a stethoscope. The detected flatus or bowel sounds were denoted as positive mark (+), and the absence was denoted as negative marks (-). The endpoint of this research was up to the fifth 2 h after the surgery as recommended by the previous studies [26], [31], [32], [33], [34].

#### Statistical analysis

The normality of the data was assessed using Kolmogorov–Smirnov. The first passage of flatus and

the length of the stay in the hospital was compared between two groups (treatment and control) using Mann–Whitney test since the data were not normally distributed.

### Search and selection strategies of literature of the literature review

To provide more robust data, a literature review was conducted. The online search was conducted in May 2021 using PubMed and Scopus databases using the following terms combination: ((laparoscopic) OR (laparoscopy)) AND ((chewing) OR (gum)) AND (surgery) AND ((gynecological) OR (gynecological) OR (gynecologic) OR (gynecological)). Only literature reporting a RCT of chewing gum after the gynecological laparoscopic surgery with full access was included in the study. Clinical trials involving laparotomy and cesarean section were excluded from the study. All articles published between January 1950 and May 2021 were eligible.

## Results

The subjects' characteristics of this study are presented in Table 1. Patients in the intervention group had an age range of 23–44 years old, a similar range to the control group (21–42 years old). Similarities between groups were also obtained in the duration of surgery and length of hospitalization. Patients received different surgical procedures depending on their respective gynecological conditions.

Table 1: Characteristics of women participated in the study

| Characteristics      | Gum (n = 30)                 | Control (n = 30)             |
|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Age (range, year)    | 23–44                        | 21–42                        |
| Cases in laparoscopy |                              |                              |
| Leiomyoma uteri      | Laparoscopy and hysteroscopy | Laparoscopy and hysteroscopy |
| Endometriosis cyst   | Laparoscopy and cystectomy   | Laparoscopy and cystectomy   |
| Ovarian cyst         | Laparoscopy and cystectomy   | Laparoscopy and cystectomy   |
| Endometrial polyps   | Hysteroscopic resection of   | Hysteroscopic resection of   |
|                      | the polyp                    | the polyp                    |
|                      |                              |                              |

The clinical outcomes from intervention and control groups are presented in Table 2. The time to first flatus and time to first bowel sounds were observed 8 h after the surgery in the intervention group. However, the flatus and bowel sounds were not detected in the control group, even at the endpoint of the trial. These findings suggest the role of chewing gum in accelerating the onset of flatus passage and bowel motility after gynecological surgery.

 Table 2: Comparation of the outcomes between treatment and control groups

| Characteristics           | Gum (n = 30), hour   | Control (n = 30), hour | p-value |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Duration of surgery, mean | 2.5                  | 2.5                    | >0.05   |
| Hospitalization length,   | 15.50 (range: 48–48) | 45.50 (range: 72–72)   | < 0.001 |
| mean (min-max)            |                      |                        |         |
| Flatus occurrence,        | 15.95 (range: 2–12)  | 45.05 (range: 24–48)   | < 0.001 |
| mean (min-max)            |                      |                        |         |

The clinical outcomes from intervention and control groups are presented in Table 3. The time to first flatus and time to first bowel sounds were observed 8 h after the surgery in intervention group. However, the flatus and bowel sounds were not detected in control group, even at the end point of the trial. These findings suggest the role of chewing gum in accelerating the onset of flatus passage and bowel motility after gynecological surgery.

Table 3: Chewing gum effect on the post-operative clinical outcome

| Group                   | Clinical outcomes observed with 2 h interval |                   |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
|-------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                         | Flatu  | Flatus occurrence |                 |                 |                 | Bowel movement  |                 |                 |                 |                 |
|                         | 1 <sup>st</sup>                              | 2 <sup>nd</sup>   | 3 <sup>rd</sup> | 4 <sup>th</sup> | $5^{\text{th}}$ | 1 <sup>st</sup> | 2 <sup>nd</sup> | 3 <sup>rd</sup> | 4 <sup>th</sup> | 5 <sup>th</sup> |
| Gum (n = 30)            | -  | -                 | -               | +               | +               | -               | -               | -               | +               | +               |
| Control (n = 30)        | -  | -                 | -               | -               | -               | -               | -               | -               | -               | -               |
| (1). If the protocology |  |                   |                 |                 |                 | la al           |                 |                 |                 |                 |

(+): If the outcome was detected, (-): If the outcome was not detect

## Discussion

The implementation of ERAS protocol has been proven to lower the economic burden of hospitalization associated with prolonged POI [35]. As a part of ERAS protocol, sham feeding through chewing gum has been studied in multiple RCTs to prevent the prolonged POI [19], [20], [21], [36], [37]. These trials were initiated by a research group led by Asao in 2002 [38], who was motivated by early post-operative oral feeding [10], [11]. Most of the RCTs found that chewing gum improved the gastrointestinal recovery rate. Similar with the findings of those studies, our present study found that the flatus occurrence and bowel sounds were detected earlier in patients in intervention group with chewing gum than those in control group. A study found that the stimulation of bowel motility in those with chewing gum was associated with cephalic-vagal stimulation [39].

A recent systematic review of chewing gum after gynecological surgeries was published in 2018, extracting the data from 10 RCTs; eight studies of laparotomy and two studies of laparoscopy [29]. As of May 2021, we have recorded two additional clinical trials for chewing gum as post-operative treatment in gynecological laparoscopy [1], [25], as presented in Table 4. Those studies revealed that chewing gum increased the recovery time of gastrointestinal function. The most common outcomes with statistical significance were time to first flatus and time to the first defecation.

A study in China with a wide range of laparoscopic surgeries revealed significantly shorter the first passage of flatus or first defecation in intervention group than that in control group [40]. Indication of postoperative pain level reduction was shown by studies conducted in Turkey [25] and Austria [32]. However, none of the included studies reported the reduction in hospital stay duration, which is considered as the primary outcome. 
 Table
 4:
 Clinical outcomes of chewing gum following gynecological laparoscopic surgery from studies

| Year | Country | Samples | Surgical procedures   | Improved  | Reference |
|------|---------|---------|---|---|-----------|
| 2018 | India   | 50/51   | Total laparoscopic<br>hysterectomy     Medical Termination of<br>Pregnancy     Salpingophorectomy or<br>salpingectomy     Diagnostic<br>bysterelaparoscopy  | Time to first<br>flatus, time<br>to first bowel<br>sound  | [1]       |
| 2019 | Turkey  | 58/51   | Laparoscopic<br>hysterectomy  | Time to first<br>flatus, time to<br>first defecation,<br>time to first<br>bowel sound,<br>postoperative<br>pain level | [25]      |
| 2015 | China   | 53/56   | Minor to major<br>laparoscopic surgeries  | Time to first<br>flatus, time<br>to first bowel<br>sounds   | [40]      |
| 2013 | Austria | 85/94   | <ul> <li>Salpingophorectomy or<br/>salpingectomy</li> <li>Salpingophorectomy<br/>Total laparoscopic<br/>hysterectomy</li> <li>Myomectomy</li> <li>Ovarian cystectomy</li> <li>Diagnostic laparoscopy</li> </ul> | Time to first<br>flatus, time<br>to first bowel<br>sound, and<br>opioid analgetic<br>requirement                      | [32]      |

In conclusive results obtained by the previous studies could be attributed to the heterogeneity in the type of surgery undergone by the patients [28]. Another heterogeneity factor affecting the clinical trial's outcome is the patients' characteristics, such as body mass index and age. Patients with higher body mass index and older age could have increased levels of risk in developing POI. Therefore, studies with different surgical approaches and patient populations could yield different results. Only one study performed the analysis in quartiles based on the body mass index and age of the patients [25]. In comparison to others, the aforementioned study had a significance because they were the first to report the results of chewing gum after total laparoscopic hysterectomy, where chewing gum significantly shortened the time to first defecation and lowered the level of post-operative pain [25]. Other than heterogeneity, the number of participants may also affect the trial's final outcome [27].

There are some limitations of this study. The study had a relatively small number of patients. Besides, the first flatus times were measured in periodic time with long ranges.

# Conclusion

Sham feeding by means of chewing gum following the gynecological laparoscopic surgery accelerates the recovery of gastrointestinal functions. More robust RCTs are necessary to reach a conclusive result.

# References

- Bhatiyani B, Pandeeswari, Bhasani D, Dhumale S. Effect of chewing gum on the postoperative recovery of gastrointestinal function after gynaecological laparoscopic surgery. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol. 2018;7(2):187. https://doi. org/10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20180187
- Manisha, Duhan N. Impact of gum chewing on recovery of bowel activity after caesarean section. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol. 2020;9(3):888. https://doi.org/10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20200888
- Iyer S, Saunders WB, Stemkowski S. Economic burden of postoperative ileus associated with colectomy in the United States. J Manag Care Pharm. 2009;15(6):485-94. https://doi. org/10.18553/jmcp.2009.15.6.485
   PMid:19610681
- Asgeirsson T, El-Badawi KI, Mahmood A, Barletta J, Luchtefeld M, Senagore AJ. Postoperative ileus: It costs more than you expect. J Am Coll Surg. 2010;210(2):228-31. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2009.09.028

PMid:20113944

- Goldstein JL, Matuszewski KA, Delaney CP, Senagore A, Chiao EF, Shah M, *et al.* Inpatient economic burden of postoperative ileus associated with abdominal surgery in the United States. P T. 2007;32(2):82-90.
- Lubawski J, Saclarides T. Postoperative ileus: Strategies for reduction. Ther Clin Risk Manage. 2008;4(5):913-7. PMid:19209273
- Swong K, Johans S, Molefe A, Hofler RC, Wemhoff M, Kuo P, et al. Unintended consequences after postoperative ileus in spinal fusion patients. World Neurosurg. 2019;122:e512-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2018.10.093
   PMid:31060199
- Nelson G, Altman AD, Nick A, Meyer LA, Ramirez PT, Achtari C, et al. Guidelines for postoperative care in gynecologic/oncology surgery: Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS(R)) society recommendations-part II. Gynecol Oncol. 2016;140(2):323-32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2015.12.019
   PMid:26757238
- Maessen JM, Dejong CH, Kessels AG, von Meyenfeldt MF, Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Group. Length of stay: An inappropriate readout of the success of enhanced recovery programs. World J Surg. 2008;32(6):971-5. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00268-007-9404-9

PMid:18224480

- Watters JM, Kirkpatrick SM, Norris SB, Shamji FM, Wells GA. Immediate postoperative enteral feeding results in impaired respiratory mechanics and decreased mobility. Ann Surg. 1997;226(3):369-77. https://doi. org/10.1097/00000658-199709000-00016 PMid:9339943
- 11. Reissman Р Teoh ΤA Cohen SM, Weiss EG. Nogueras JJ, Wexner SD. Is early oral feeding safe colorectal after elective surgery? А prospective randomized trial. Ann Surg. 1995;222(1):73-7. https://doi. org/10.1097/00000658-199507000-00012

PMid:7618972

- Petrelli NJ, Cheng C, Driscoll D, Rodriguez-Bigas MA. Early postoperative oral feeding after colectomy: An analysis of factors that may predict failure. Ann Surg Oncol. 2001;8(10):796-800. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10434-001-0796-8
   PMid:11776493
- 13. Stern RM, Crawford HE, Stewart WR, Vasey MW, Koch KL. Sham feeding. Cephalic-vagal influences on gastric myoelectric

activity. Dig Dis Sci. 1989;34(4):521-7. https://doi.org/10.1007/ bf01536327

PMid:2702882

- Feldman M, Richardson CT. Role of thought, sight, smell, and taste of food in the cephalic phase of gastric acid secretion in humans. Gastroenterology. 1986;90(2):428-33. https://doi. org/10.1016/0016-5085(86)90943-1 PMid:3940915
- Wojdemann M, Wettergren A, Hartmann B, Hilsted L, Holst JJ. Inhibition of sham feeding-stimulated human gastric acid secretion by glucagon-like peptide-2. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1999;84(7):2513-7. https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.84.7.5840
- Konturek SJ, Thor P. Relation between duodenal alkaline secretion and motility in fasted and sham-fed dogs. Am J Physiol. 1986;251(5):G591-6. https://doi.org/10.1152/ ajpgi.1986.251.5.g591
- Jepsen JM, Skoubo-Kristensen E, Elsborg L. Rectosigmoid motility response to sham feeding in irritable bowel syndrome. Evidence of a cephalic phase. Scand J Gastroenterol. 1989;24(1):53-6. https://doi.org/10.3109/00365528909092239 PMid:2928723
- Huge A, Kreis ME, Zittel TT, Becker HD, Starlinger MJ, Jehle EC. Postoperative colonic motility and tone in patients after colorectal surgery. Dis Colon Rectum. 2000;43(7):932-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02237353
   PMid:10910238
- Abd-El-Maeboud KH, Ibrahim MI, Shalaby DA, Fikry MF. Gum chewing stimulates early return of bowel motility after caesarean section. BJOG. 2009;116(10):1334-9. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2009.02225.x PMid:20002378
- Pereira Gomes Morais E, Riera R, Porfirio GJ, Macedo CR, Sarmento Vasconcelos V, de Souza Pedrosa A, *et al.* Chewing gum for enhancing early recovery of bowel function after caesarean section. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;10:CD011562. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858. cd011562.pub2
   PMid:27747876
- 21. Shabaan M, Dieb A. The role of gum chewing in regaining bowel motility in patients undergoing cesarean section: A randomized controlled trial. Evid Based Womens Health J. 2019;9(4):568-73. https://doi.org/10.21608/ebwhj.2019.64360
- Byrne CM, Zahid A, Young JM, Solomon MJ, Young CJ. Gum chewing aids bowel function return and analgesic requirements after bowel surgery: A randomized controlled trial. Colorectal Dis. 2018;20(5):438-48. https://doi.org/10.1111/codi.14041 PMid:29053219
- Urcanoglu OB, Yildiz T. Effects of gum chewing on early postoperative recovery after laparoscopic cholecystectomy surgery: A randomized controlled trial. Indian J Surg. 2020;83:1203-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12262-020-02628-7
- 24. Esfehani RJ, Yazd MM, Rsfehani AJ, Foji S, Kamalimanesh B. Effect of chewing gum on post cesarean ileus in the North East of Iran: A randomized clinical trial. J Midwif Reprod Health. 2018;6(3):1357-64.
- Turkay U, Yavuz A, Hortu I, Terzi H, Kale A. The impact of chewing gum on postoperative bowel activity and postoperative pain after total laparoscopic hysterectomy. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2020;40(5):705-9.
   PMid:31609137
- Ge B, Zhao H, Lin R, Wang J, Chen Q, Liu L, *et al.* Influence of gum-chewing on postoperative bowel activity after laparoscopic surgery for gastric cancer: A randomized controlled trial. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017;96(13):e6501. https://doi. org/10.1097/md.00000000006501

#### PMid:28353600

- de Leede EM, van Leersum NJ, Kroon HM, van Weel V, van der Sijp JR, Bonsing BA, *et al*. Multicentre randomized clinical trial of the effect of chewing gum after abdominal surgery. Br J Surg. 2018;105(7):820-8. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.10828 PMid:29469944
- Mei B, Wang W, Cui F, Wen Z, Shen M. Chewing gum for intestinal function recovery after colorectal cancer surgery: Asystematic review and meta-analysis. Gastroenterol Res Pract. 2017;2017:3087904. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/3087904 PMid:29312450
- Xu C, Peng J, Liu S, Qi DY. Effect of chewing gum on gastrointestinal function after gynecological surgery: A systematic literature review and meta-analysis. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2018;44(5):936-43. https://doi.org/10.1111/ jog.13602 PMid:29442412

 Wen Z, Shen M, Wu C, Ding J, Mei B. Chewing gum for intestinal function recovery after caesarean section: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2017:17(1):105. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1286-8 PMid:28415967

- Farouk D, Mutlag SA, Youssef D. The relation between gum chewing in early post-operative period and the return of gastrointestinal function after caesarean section. Obstet Gynecol Int J. 2019;10(6):475. https://doi.org/10.15406/ogij.2019.10.00475
- Husslein H, Franz M, Gutschi M, Worda C, Polterauer S, Leipold H. Postoperative gum chewing after gynecologic laparoscopic surgery: A randomized controlled trial. Obstet Gynecol. 2013;122(1):85-90. https://doi.org/10.1097/ aog.0b013e3182983e92
- Atkinson C, Penfold CM, Ness AR, Longman RJ, Thomas SJ, Hollingworth W, et al. Randomized clinical trial of postoperative chewing gum versus standard care after colorectal resection. Br J Surg. 2016;103(8):962-70. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.10194 PMid:27146793
- 34. Roslan F, Kushairi A, Cappuyns L, Daliya P, Adiamah A. The impact of sham feeding with chewing gum on postoperative ileus

following colorectal surgery: A meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. J Gastrointest Surg. 2020;24(11):2643-53. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11605-019-04507-3 PMid:32103455

- Noba L, Rodgers S, Chandler C, Balfour A, Hariharan D, Yip VS. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) reduces hospital costs and improve clinical outcomes in liver surgery: Asystematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastrointest Surg. 2020;24(4):918-32. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11605-019-04499-0 PMid:31900738
- Yenigul NN, Aydogan Mathyk B, Aslan Cetin B, Yazici Yilmaz F, Ayhan I. Efficacy of chewing gum for improving bowel function after cesarean sections: A randomized controlled trial. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2020;33(11):1840-5. https://doi.org/10.108 0/14767058.2018.1531122

PMid:30606082

 Nanthiphatthanachai A, Insin P. Effect of chewing gum on gastrointestinal function recovery after surgery of gynecological cancer patients at Rajavithi hospital: A randomized controlled trial. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2020;21(3):761-70. https://doi. org/10.31557/apjcp.2020.21.3.761
 PMid:32212805

 Asao T, Kuwano H, Nakamura J, Morinaga N, Hirayama I, Ide M. Gum chewing enhances early recovery from postoperative ileus after laparoscopic colectomy. J Am Coll Surg. 2002;195(1):30-2. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1072-7515(02)01179-1 PMid:12113542

- Richardson CT, Walsh JH, Cooper KA, Feldman M, Fordtran JS. Studies on the role of cephalic-vagal stimulation in the acid secretory response to eating in normal human subjects. J Clin Invest. 1977;60(2):435-41. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci108793 PMid:874101
- Gong Y, Zhang Q, Qiao L, Lv D, Ruan J, Chen H, *et al.* Xylitol gum chewing to achieve early postoperative restoration of bowel motility after laparoscopic surgery. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech. 2015;25(4):303-6. https://doi.org/10.1097/ sle.000000000000174

PMid:26121546