Ergonomic Risk-prone Activities toward Nurses in the Intensive Care and Emergency Room
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Nurses have the risk of ergonomic hazards in providing nursing care, especially with increasingly dynamic health services such as during Coronavirus disease-19 pandemic like today.

AIM: The aim of the study was to evaluate activities prone to produce ergonomic risks during the implementation of nursing care in intensive care and emergency room (ER) of a hospital in Riau, Indonesia.

METHODOLOGY: This study was conducted by observing the routine activities conducted by the nurses and using similar task group techniques equipped with Rapid Entire Body Assessment instrument. Those observed activities were obtained from 17 intensive care room nurses and ten ER nurses. There were six activities observed in the intensive care room: bathing, transferring the patient, wounds dressing, taking blood samples for the AGDA test, nursing care in the intensive care and emergency room (ER) of a hospital in Riau, Indonesia.

RESULTS: The highest ergonomic risks activity in the intensive care room was bathing a patient with a total score of 13. At the ER, the highest risk score was transferring the patient with a total score of 12. Both activities were at level 4, indicating a high-risk condition. Thus, examinations and changes should be immediately initiated.

CONCLUSION: The results are significant to be paid attention by the related parties at the hospital to facilitate some improvements immediately. In addition, the ergonomic approaches that can be suggested to the nurses are regular stretching, physical exercises, and applying ergonomic principles while working.

Introduction

Nurses are the largest workforce who have a unique role in achieving health goals for the entire community through the provision of nursing care. In carrying out various activities, nurses have the risk of ergonomic hazards in providing nursing care, especially with increasingly dynamic health services such as during Coronavirus disease-19 pandemic like today. Nurses in intensive care units (ICU) have the highest ergonomic risk and are more likely to have symptoms of musculoskeletal system disorders to musculoskeletal injuries. The results of several studies indicated that there are activities that have ergonomic risks in the ICU [1], [2]. These activities are changing the patient’s position, transferring the patient, suctioning, calculating fluid balance, and documenting the patient’s hemodynamic results [3], [4], [5], [6].

In addition to the intensive care room or unit, the results of several studies also showed that routine activities in the Emergency Room (ER) have ergonomic risks. These activities are the installation of Electro Kardio Gram (EKG), measurement of vital signs, infusion, hecting, taking patient blood samples, as well as lifting and transferring the patients [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12].

Ergonomic hazards in the long-term will have impacts on health [13]. The results of research by Azma et al. stated that there were 88.6% of nurses at the General Hospital in Klang Valley Malaysia had experienced suffering from musculoskeletal disorders [14]. Several studies have shown that a more specific health impact, namely, Low Back Pain (LBP), has been shown. Budhrani-Shani et al. (2016) stated that LBP incidence in nurses worldwide was 50–80% [15]. Furthermore, the American Nurses Association survey showed that the number of back pain in nurses continues to increase every year [16], [17]. Research in several countries, such as by Al-Samawi and Awad (2015) in Sudan showed the frequency of LBP in nurses was 87.5% [18], 85.9% in Slovenia [19], 65% in Nepal (Rustoen, 2016), and 72% in Taiwan [20]. Another study by Sumangando et al. (2017) at a hospital in Manado, Indonesia, showed 70% of nurses experienced LBP [21]. In addition, the research by Ningish (2017) at a hospital in Pangkalan Kerinci, Indonesia, showed 43.4% of nurses experienced LBP [22].
Complaints to the musculoskeletal system do not occur directly, but complaints will arise after a long [23], [1]. LBP is one of the most frequently complained by nurses, which can negatively impact if prevention is not done [24], [25], [26]. These adverse effects include fatigue, increased leave and illness, disability, reduced quality of life, productivity and performance of nurses, decreased job satisfaction, influenced decisions to quit as nurses, and increased health costs [27], [28], [29], [30], [31], [32].

Based on the Regulation of the Health Ministry of the Republic of Indonesia number 66 (2016) concerning occupational health and safety in hospitals, the regulation of hospital occupational health and safety is carried out for the implementation of occupational health and safety in hospitals in an optimal, effective, efficient, and sustainable manner. This approach aims to prevent accidents and injuries and maintain safe conditions for hospital resources, patients, patient companions, and visitors. This is done through risk identification and assessment, risk mapping area, and control efforts.

A preliminary study in a hospital in Pekanbaru City, Riau, showed that the most common potential hazard experienced by nurses in the past year was ergonomic hazard which had an impact on LBP complaints by 73%. Furthermore, the most LBP complaints were experienced by nurses in the intensive care room and ER. Based on this preliminary study, information was also obtained that LBP complaints had an impact on increasing the absenteeism of executive nurses and disrupting nursing services. The results of field observations also showed that the work attitude of the nurses is not in accordance with the ergonomic principles.

The application of ergonomic principles in the workplace is still not a priority for nurses while working in hospitals. As a first step, efforts to identify and assess the risks of nursing activities need to be carried out. Therefore, researchers were interested in evaluating the ergonomic risks of the activities carried out in implementing nursing care by nurses in the intensive care and ER, a hospital in Riau, Indonesia.

### Methods

This study is conducted by observing routine activities with a similar task group technique, namely, identifying ergonomic risks in groups that have the same job. This study based on the group of nursing care carried out by nurses in the intensive care and the ER of a hospital in Riau.

The instrument used was the Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA) observation sheet. REBA is a method of assessing ergonomic risk in the workplace that is used quickly to assess the posture of a worker’s neck, back, arms, wrists, and legs that focus on repetitive movements and the most frequent movements from head to toe [33], [34]. REBA observation sheet is a standard instrument and has been widely used in various studies to assess ergonomic risks in an activity from work. Ergonomic risk assessment using the REBA method was carried out in the following steps: (1) Observing work/activities and the results of job observations were recorded in videos and photos, (2) selecting the posture to be studied, and (3) performing an assessment using the steps on the REBA analysis sheet. REBA observation sheet can be seen in Figure 1 below.

The observed activities were obtained from 17 nurses from the intensive care room and ten nurses in the ER. There were six activities observed in the intensive care room. These activities are bathing the patients, transferring the patient, and installing infusions, while in the ER, there are two activities, namely, transferring the patient and installing infusions.

This research has applied the principles of research ethics by obtaining the approval of the research protocol from the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Nursing, University of Indonesia. The researchers have also obtained written consent from the participants (informed consent) involved in this study.

### Results

The results of observations on activities that have ergonomic risks in the intensive care and the ER are shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1 shows that the activity with the most ergonomic risks in the intensive care room is bathing the patient with a total score of 13, while in the ER is transferring the patient with a total score of 12.

In detail, the results of the REBA analysis for bathing patient are shown in Table 2 below.

Table 2 shows that the REBA total score for bathing the patient is at level 4, indicating that this condition is dangerous, so inspection and changes are needed immediately. The total score was obtained from the sum of the scores of tables A, B, and C and activity values based on the duration of the work. The score of table A is the cutoff point of the ergonomic risk assessment for neck, legs, and trunk posture, which amount to ten. The score of table B score is the cutoff point of the ergonomic risk assessment for the lower arms, wrists, and upper arms, which amount to nine. The score of table C is the cutoff point of point of the scores of tables A and B, which amount to 12.
The activity score was one, which means if the position of one or more of the body parts is longer than 1 min (static). Hence, the total score was 12 + 1 = 13.

In contrast to activities in the intensive care room, the activities in the ER that has the most ergonomic risk are transferring the patient. The results of the REBA analysis for transferring the patient are shown in Table 3 below.

Table 3 shows that the REBA total score result for transferring the patient is also at level 4, indicating that this condition is dangerous, so inspection and changes are needed immediately. The score of table A is the cutoff point of the ergonomic risk assessment for neck, legs, and trunk posture, which amount to nine. The score of table B score is the cutoff point of the ergonomic risk assessment for the lower arms, wrists, and upper arms, which amount to nine. The score of table C is the cutoff point of point of the scores of tables A and B, which amount to 11. The activity score was
one, which means if the position of one or more of the body parts is longer than 1 min (static). Hence, the total score was 11 + 1 = 12.

**Discussion**

The result shows that the REBA score for bathing the patient in the intensive care room is at level 4, indicating that this condition is dangerous, so inspection and changes are needed immediately. Bathing the patient is an independent nursing intervention for nurses in the intensive care room, especially in the ICU, and is part of the nursing intervention to fulfill personal hygiene needs. This activity is carried out routinely every morning in the morning shift, which is preceded by suction, oral hygiene, and wound dressing. At the time of bathing, the patient’s position is also adjusted, and after that, the patient’s bed linen is changed.

Based on the results of observations that have been made, the length of time for all these activities is approximately 2 h without rest. The duration of bathing the patient alone takes about 0.5–1 h, depending on the patient’s condition, the number of patients and the number of nurses who perform these activities. The dominant working attitude of the nurse when bathing the patient is standing for a long time with the back leaning forward, holding the patient’s weight, rotating the body, and occasionally having an awkward posture, especially at the activity node; reaching and cleaning the patient’s body parts that are difficult to reach, such as the buttocks and lower back extremities.

One of the ergonomic principles that need to be applied by individuals in their work is a standing position that is not awkward, namely, with a vertical spine position and body weight balanced on two legs [35]. Awkward postures when sitting or standing will increase the workload of the muscles so that the amount of work required is greater, due to the inefficient transfer of energy from the muscles to the skeletal system so that it is easy to cause fatigue [36], [37]. In addition, standing work postures can cause some problems with the musculoskeletal system, such as LBP, especially in the standing working position with the back leaning forward [12].

The riskiest activities in the intensive care room in this study are different from the results of several previous studies. As in the research by Senthilkumar and Gokul (2019), the activity that is considered the riskiest is documenting the hemodynamic results of the patient [6]. In the research done by Meepradit et al. (2018), the activity that is considered the riskiest is transferring the patient [5]. Differences in the results of the riskiest activities found in several studies may occur due to several factors. These factors can come from individuals who carry out the activities, as well as factors from outside the individual such as workload, facilities, available time, and the work environment of the intensive care room at different hospitals.

The result shows that the REBA score result for transferring the patient in the ER is also at level 4, indicating that this condition is dangerous, so inspection and changes are needed immediately. Transferring the patient observed in this study was transferring the patient for X-rays or CT-scans and returning to the ER. The dominant working attitudes of the implementing nurse when doing this activity are pushing, pulling, and holding the load (bed), twisting movements when the road turns, and occasionally having awkward postures. This is exacerbated by the nurses walking to a quite far from the ER location, some roads turn and climb, and sometimes not all the wheels of the bed are functioning properly, thus requiring extra strength to push, pull, and hold the load.

One of the ergonomic principles in work is to reduce the workload. Reducing excessive loads can minimize the force used when working to avoid

---

**Table 2: Results of the REBA score for patient bathing activities in the intensive care room**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score of Table A</th>
<th>Score of Table B</th>
<th>Score of Table C</th>
<th>Total Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Score = posture A score + Force/Load Score → 7 + 3 = 10

Score = posture A score + Force/Load Score → 6 + 3 = 9

---

**Table 3: REBA score result for transferring the patient in the emergency room**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score of Table A</th>
<th>Score of Table B</th>
<th>Score of Table C</th>
<th>Total Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total score: 11 (cutoff point of Table A and B results + 1 (activity) = 12

(Action level 4: A score of 11–15)
fatigue and work accidents [38], [35]. Heavy loads would cause mechanical loads, irritation, inflammation, muscle fatigue, damage to muscles, tendons, and other tissues [5]. This is supported by the research conducted by Nurwahuni et al. (2012), who reported that the highest percentage of workers who experienced LBP complaints were workers with a weight of more than 25 kg [39]. The research conducted by Kurniawidjaja et al. (2014) also shows that transferring the patient that is considered the most at risk is also shown. This study proved that transferring the patient has a significant relationship with the level of risk of LBP [9].

The implication of this result is this risky condition needs to be considered by the relevant parties in the hospital to make immediate control. Besides having important findings, the results of this study also have limitations, namely, only assessing risky work postures using REBA instrument, and not paying attention to other factors that may affect them. These factors can be in the form of individual factors of nurses, facilities, and work environment that are also supportive in carrying out activities [40].

Conclusion

The results showed that the riskiest activities in the two rooms were at level 4, which indicates that this condition is dangerous, so inspection and changes are needed immediately. Other influencing factors such as individual factors, the facilities used, and the work environment also need to be studied further. In addition, the ergonomic approaches that can be suggested to the nurses are regular stretching, risky physical muscle strength exercises, and also improving the posture by applying ergonomic principles while working. These results can also be used as input in developing a specific ergonomic hazard prevention model based on ergonomic risks in nursing interventions that have been identified so that adverse effects on nurses in the future can be prevented.
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