The Association between Cerebrospinal Fluid Open Pressure and Body Mass Index in Idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH) is strongly associated with obesity. However, the relationship between cerebrospinal fluid open pressure (CSFOP) and body mass index (BMI) is still controversial.

AIM: The aim of this study is to assess the relationship between CSFOP and BMI in patients with IIH.

METHODS: The measurement of CSFOP was done by lumbar puncture manometry following a standardized procedure. Age and gender were registered and BMI was calculated for each patient.

RESULTS: This study enrolled 104 patients with IIH, 96 females and eight males, with mean age was 31.88 ± 13.73 years. The mean CSFOP was 410.48 ± 129.98 mm H2O and the mean BMI was 33.97 ± 5.9 kg/m2. There was a significant positive relationship between the CSFOP and BMI (p = 0.0001) according to the Pearson correlation test. There was no relationship between the age (p = 0.06), gender (p = 0.16) and CSFOP of the patients.

CONCLUSIONS: The CSFOP was positively correlated with BMI of the patient. There was no relationship between patients demographic features and CSFOP.

Introduction

Idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH) is defined as high intracranial pressure in the absence of any identifiable cerebrospinal fluid (CSF): meningeal, brain parenchymal, or cerebral vascular pathology; and diagnosed by using modified Dandy criteria [1]. IIH affects young, overweight women with childbearing age [2], [3]. Furthermore, 90% of females with IIH have a body mass index (BMI) of more than 30 kg/m2 [4], the risk of IIH is further associated with rapid increase in body weight even when these occur below the threshold of obesity [5]. The scarcity of full knowledge about the variation in limit of cerebrospinal fluid open pressure (CSFOP) may lead to a false impression of pathologically increased intracranial pressure, and resulting in unnecessary investigations in addition to fear and anxiety for the patient. The International Headache Society (IHS) defines increased CSFOP as more than 250 mm H2O in adults or unsedated, non-obese children and more than 280 mm H2O for the obese children, in International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition, 2018 [6]. The limits of normal CSFOP have been revised in the last decades, and it was previously considered 200 mm H2O was the normal upper limit in the IHS Classification, 2nd edition, 2004 [7].

This study aimed to assess the association between BMI and CSFOP in the Iraqi patients diagnosed with IIH.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Setting

A cross-section study enrolled (104) Iraqi patients diagnosed with IIH by a consultant neurologist, conducted in the Middle Euphrates Neuroscience Center in Al-Najaf City, Iraq, from the first of September 2019 to the first of September 2020.

Data Collection

Full data regarding the patients age, gender, height, weight with BMI has been recorded. The patients were divided into five age groups; between
7 and 19 years, between 20 and 29 years, between 30 and 39 years, between 40 and 49 years, and above 50 years. The BMI was measured by dividing the weight in kilograms by the square of the height in meters. Moreover, the patients were divided into six BMI groups; BMI <18.5, BMI between 18.5 and 24.9, BMI between 25 and 29.9, BMI between 30 and 34.9, BMI between 35 and 39.9, and BMI over 40 [8]. All patients were diagnosed with IIH if they met the modified Dandy criteria (except CSF opening pressure of more than 250 mm H2O during lumbar puncture [LP]), which include signs and symptoms of increased intracranial pressure, no localizing neurologic sign except sixth cranial nerve palsies, normal neuroimaging finding, with normal constituents of CSF, and no other cause.

Assessment

Each patient participating in this study undergone full work-up for a possible medical and neurological disease, and LP for CSF analyses was considered part of this work-up, including a measurement of the CSF opening pressure (CSFOP), cells, protein and glucose contents. Full medical history was obtained to exclude any patient who had other diseases, or who had taken antibiotics, vitamins, or other drugs, that causing intracranial hypertension. Moreover, no treatment for the intracranial hypertension had been given before the investigations. The patients were included without regard to gender, age, or clinical symptoms. This procedure done within a few days after consultation, therefore, the patients were rarely in acute distress or anxiety. All patients had been examined with brain computed tomography scans, brain magnetic resonance imaging with magnetic resonance venography prior to LP, in order to exclude a possible expansive lesion or venous sinus thrombosis and for radiological signs of raised intracranial pressure.

Procedure

LPs was performed at our neurology department, following the standard procedure of the department; the patient positioned relaxed on the left lateral side, hips slightly flexed, use of spinal needle 20-gauge or 22-gauge with local anesthesia (3 mg/kg), and the opening pressure was recorded in the lateral decubitus position at the beginning of the procedure by using a single-use plastic manometer held at the same needle level and waiting to reach steady-state.

Scientific and ethical approval

Scientific approval for the study was granted from the Scientific Department in the Middle Euphrates Neuroscience Center in Al-Najaf City, Iraq (No. 4402 in July 2019).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 20. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SD while categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. Post-Hoc test was used to compare the CSFOP among BMI and age groups. Pearson correlation and scattered plot were used for testing correlation between CSFOP, age, and BMI. p < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

In this study, we enrolled 104 patients: 96 were female (92.3%), 8 were male (7.7%), their mean age was 31.88 ± 13.73 years (range 7–92 years), the mean CSFOP was 410.48 ± 129.98 mm H2O (range 190–740 mm H2O). The mean BMI was 33.97 ± 53 kg/m² (range 22.04–47.03 kg/m²); 10 (9.6%) had a normal weight (BMI 18.5–24.9 kg/m²), 22 (21.2%) were overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9 kg/m²), 26 (25%) were obese I (BMI 30.0–34.9 kg/m²), 24 (23.1%) were obese II (BMI 35.0–39.9 kg/m²) and 22 (21.2%) were obese III (BMI ≥40.0 kg/m²). The demographic data and baseline clinical characteristics (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age group (years) (31.88 ± 13.74)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–19</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50+</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>92.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²) (33.97 ± 6.53)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese I</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese II</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese III</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: Body mass index.

In this study, there was a significant positive relationship between the CSFOP and BMI (p = 0.0001) according to the Pearson correlation test as shown in Figure 1. There was no relationship between age and CSFOP (p = 0.06) as illustrated in Figure 2.

Moreover, those with age group 40–49 years had a significant higher mean CSFOP than other age groups (p = 0.002), according to the Post-Hoc test. There was no relationship between sex and CSFOP (p = 0.16). Moreover, there was a significant difference in mean CSFOP according to BMI groups and the obese III was the highest (p = 0.003), according to Post-hoc test (Table 2).
Discussion

This study is conducted in the Middle Euphrates Neurosciences Center in Al-Najaf City in Iraq, to assess the relationship between CSFOP and BMI in patients with IIH. The ranges of CSFOP in healthy individuals were 100–250 mm H₂O [9].

There was a significant positive correlation between CSFOP and BMI and this finding was compatible with many studies done worldwide. Norway study by Bø and Lundqvist [10], showed that the BMI, age, and gender all independently affected CSFOP. Wakerley et al. [11], reported that BMI and body fat percentage both positively correlated with the CSFOP, but BMI was more predictive in female and body fat percentage was more predictive in male. Subramaniam and Fletcher [12], mentioned the risk of developing IIH and associated visual loss increase with higher BMI. Kilgore et al. [13], said that the incidence of IIH had increased since 1990, and this was highly correlated with increase in obesity during the same period. This study was incompatible with [14],[15]. Ardissino et al., reported that the high BMI beyond the threshold of obesity did not independently rise the risk of IIH [14]. Kim et al., documented that the obesity was infrequently found in Caucasians with IIH and suggested that obesity has no a major role in the pathogenesis of IIH in Asian patients [15]. An intra-abdominal, visceral, distribution of fat may be a common sign connect IIH both to PCOS (androgen excess) in women and to hypogonadism (androgen deficiency) in men [16]. Fat distribution and the hormonal influences that modify and accompany it may be as important as total adiposity in the pathogenesis of IIH. Its influence is of emerging interest [17],[18],[19].

Conclusions

From this study, we conclude CSFOP was positively correlated with high BMI of the patient. Moreover, patients in the obese III group had the highest CSFOP.

Limitation of the study

The study limitation including small sample size of patients with the majority of them were female. Moreover, a few numbers of those patients with extreme age groups.
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