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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Despite the apparent advantages of the flipped classroom model especially during a pandemic or natural or technogenic disasters, its overall effectiveness in higher medical and pharmaceutical education continues to be debated.

AIM: The goal of the study was to test the effectiveness and acceptability of using the flipped classroom model during the COVID-19 pandemic in higher pharmaceutical education based on the example of the "Pharmaceutical Marketing" discipline at Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Russia.

METHODS: The study involved 156 fourth-year pharmacy students. They were divided into three clusters: FC – studying in accordance with the flipped classroom model, FC+TBL – using the flipped classroom technology combined with the team-based method, and CC – studying by the traditional scheme (control). The study used the Unified educational portal of the Sechenov University. Additional elements were developed for the electronic educational and methodical complex for the studied section “Analysis of the marketing environment of a pharmaceutical organization.” The effectiveness of the flipped classroom model was evaluated through test control (entrance, formative, thematic, and final) and control of the development of skills and abilities in solving situational problems (thematic and final). The study participants’ attitude toward the use of the flipped classroom model was assessed through a survey.

RESULTS: The study demonstrated that students from the FC and FC+TBL clusters were significantly better prepared for practical lessons and worked more effectively and productively during these classes compared to the CC cluster students. The results of the final control also substantiate the advantages of the flipped classroom technology both in assessing practical skills and abilities and in testing. The opportunities for rational implementation of the complex technology (FC+TBL cluster) are identified.

CONCLUSIONS: The analysis of students' general opinion on the flipped classroom model shows that 90.4% of the FC cluster members and 84.6% of the FC+TBL cluster members are satisfied with the results of using this model and consider it suitable for studying both the "Analysis of the marketing environment of a pharmaceutical organization" section and the entire pharmaceutical marketing course. Students' positive feedback on the use of the flipped classroom model provides grounds for further implementation of this technology in the "Pharmaceutical Marketing" discipline in its entirety and demonstrates that further research on the use of other blended learning models is quite promising.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic brought global changes in the functioning of the higher education system. Students from different countries around the world were forced to study remotely or in various hybrid and blended forms [1], [2], [3], [4], [5]. A tectonic shift occurred in educational technologies: Formats that were previously a rarity have become a norm for teachers and students. More often than not, these were not carefully designed and planned formats, but spontaneous forms of learning activities. Many teachers were forced to teach some classes directly from home and students also studied at home. In this situation, blended learning became the norm of the educational process [1], [2].

Various models of blended learning in higher education are currently widely represented in the literature [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11]. Most common are the following four models: Flipped learning or the flipped classroom, laboratory rotation, station rotation, and a flexible model [9], [11], [12], [13], [14].

The flipped classroom model is considered the most advanced and useful in higher pharmaceutical and medical education [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20]. This teaching model involves the students studying the set of didactic materials at home when it is convenient for them and at their own pace. The free academic hours are used to solve actual professional situational problems. Since this pedagogical method promotes active learning and is based on social constructivism, experts in pharmacy and medical education advocate this model of learning [20], [21].

Flipped learning is not a new method essentially, but rather presents a new way of thinking aimed at optimizing classroom work with students by the means...
of extracurricular activities focused on an in-depth study of the subject [22], [23], [24]. The objective of the teacher here is to motivate students to search for knowledge outside the classroom independently, to teach them to not only look for information but also check its reliability, to analyze and critically comprehend it, as well as to get students’ active intellectual reaction to the educational material during classes.

The flipped classroom model provides students with several advantages. Students show greater interest in mastering the material, they enjoy this form of a differentiated approach and value the opportunity to study when it is comfortable for them and at their own pace [25], [26]. Students with a high level of self-regulation skills including goal setting, planning, performance monitoring, and self-assessment can achieve better results in flipped learning [27], [28]. These results are confirmed by the studies conducted as a part of the European projects iFlip and Flipping First in the Erasmus+ program [29], [30]. The key advantages of flipped learning also include the increased accessibility of higher education [31].

Despite the apparent advantages of the flipped classroom model, its general effectiveness in pharmaceutical and medical education is still debated [15], [17], [18], [19], [20], [23], [32], [33], [34]. It was discussed that if flipped learning is not conducted in a technologically sound manner and is not linked to the final grade, students do not complete their homework pre-assigned for independent study [35]. Therefore, it is important to assess the effectiveness of the flipped classroom model each time it is implemented in new conditions of the internal and external environment.

The present study aimed to test the effectiveness and acceptability of using the flipped classroom model during the COVID-19 pandemic in higher pharmaceutical education based on the example of the “Pharmaceutical Marketing” discipline at Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Russia.

Subjects and Methods

Study design

A controlled cluster study was conducted at the Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University in February-March 2021, in the “Pharmaceutical Marketing” discipline. The course is one of the mandatory disciplines (modules) of the main professional educational program of higher pharmaceutical education in Russia.

The study participants were selected from among the fourth-year students of the “Pharmacy” specialty (Specialist degree program) above 18 years old who agreed to take part in the study. The participation was voluntary and did not depend on students’ academic performance and diligence. The students were fully informed about the goal, nature, potential benefits, and risks of using the flipped classroom model in the educational process. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and the ICC/ESOMAR International Code of Market, Public Opinion, Social Research, and Data Analysis [36].

The total sample of the study included 156 students from 12 student groups (68.4% of the total number of 4th-year students). The sample size was determined by the possibilities of class schedules (four groups of students had to study at the same time) and students’ consent to participate in the study. All students were randomly divided into three clusters of 52 students each (Figure 1). Students from the first cluster (FC) were also studying in four-student groups at the same time and participated in the program involving the flipped classroom technology. Students in the second cluster (FC+TBL) were also studying in four study groups simultaneously using integrated technology with the flipped classroom model and the team-based method. Participants in the third cluster, or the control cluster (CC), were also studying in four study groups at the same time according to the traditional scheme. The study also involved four teachers. Each teacher was teaching the same study group from each cluster at all times (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Study design. (T1, T2, T3, T4 – teachers, G1, G2, G3... – student groups)](image)

All students involved in the study were studying the “Pharmaceutical Marketing” course following the academic discipline program. Six topics composing the “Analysis of the marketing environment of a pharmaceutical organization” section of the course were selected for the study. The section involved one lecture (2 academic hours), 6 practical lessons (18 academic hours), and 12 hours of independent work. Due to the special conditions of learning during the COVID-19 pandemic, the lectures were organized remotely and practical lessons were held in person.

Educational materials aside from those obtained from the university library were provided for the study participants from the CC (control) cluster on the Unified educational portal of the university (EOP, https://www.sechenov.ru/pressroom/news/edinyy-obrazovatelnyy-portal-eop/). The EOP design was based on the Learning
Management System (LMS) with educational content from Moodle Mobile to develop unified information and educational space of the Sechenov University. On the EOP, in the “Pharmaceutical Marketing” course section, students from the CC cluster could access the electronic educational and methodical complex including the operational program of the discipline, lectures, textbook, and practical manual, additional educational and methodical manuals, and materials for practical lessons, as well as situational tasks and test assignments (in PDF or PowerPoint format).

Students from the FC and FC+TBL clusters were taught using the flipped classroom model combining the model of Technological, Pedagogical, and Content Knowledge (TPACK) [37] and the three-dimensional model of Bloom’s taxonomy [38], [39]. The study participants were informed about the methodology and technology of the flipped classroom model. They were able to use all educational materials available for the CC cluster students. Moreover, additional elements for the educational and methodical complex for students from the FC and FC+TBL clusters were designed and developed by teachers-researchers using the systemic approach and the process quality approach [40], [41]. The specifics of the flipped classroom model were also accounted for in this process. Thus, the traditional problem lecture on the “Analysis of the marketing environment of a pharmaceutical organization” section (2 academic hours) was transformed into a video lecture. Explicative and explanatory videos (20–30 min for each topic) were also created along with the methodical and didactic recommendations on the organization of the educational process and students’ independent work for each practical lesson, the requirements for the procedure of various types of tests and practical skill assessments, and the list and order of use of teaching tools for the “Analysis of the marketing environment of a pharmaceutical organization” section. The modified educational and methodical complex was then uploaded to the EOP in the research module of the “Pharmaceutical Marketing” course before the beginning of lessons on the section.

Practical lessons for students from the FC+TBL cluster were based on the complex technology combining the flipped classroom model and the team-based learning method. The technology involved studying in small groups (4-5 students) with a certain distribution of roles and responsibilities between teammates [42], [43], [44].

The effectiveness of teaching was assessed using:

- Test control: Entrance control on stage 1 and formative control (before and after extracurricular self-study, 50 test tasks with one correct answer), entrance control on stage 2 (before studying the topic in the practical lesson, 50 test tasks with one correct answer), thematic control (after studying the topic in the practical lesson, 50 test tasks with one correct answer), and final control (after completing the section, 100 test tasks with one correct answer option);
- Control of the development of practical skills and abilities in solving situational tasks associated with professional competencies for the “Pharmacy” specialty (Specialist degree program): Thematic control (after studying the topic in the practical lesson, a 10-point grading scale) and the final (after completing the section, a 10-point grading scale).

All test assignments were completed in the testing system of the EOP which ensured automated individual testing of students, automated processing of grades and test results recording, as well as result storage in the online register on the EOP. The results and grades for the situational tasks were also recorded in the online register on the EOP.

Students’ attitude toward the use of the flipped classroom model in the Pharmaceutical Marketing course was assessed through a survey administered on the EOP. The method used for the survey was a questionnaire comprising 6 questions on the title of the student's cluster (FC or FC+TBL) and the assessment of satisfaction with learning using the flipped classroom technology and the acceptability of using the model in the educational process (Appendix A). The assessment was carried out using the Likert scale. Preliminary testing of the survey questionnaire on 13 4"-year students (after classes based on the flipped class model) showed its sufficient internal reliability according to Cronbach’s Alpha (α = 0.72).

**Sample characteristics**

Among the students included in the study sample, 80.8% (126 people) were females and 19.2% (30 people) were males. The male to female ratios in the clusters corresponded: in the CC cluster – 9:43, in the FC cluster – 10:42, in the FC + TBL cluster – 11:41, or about 1:4, respectively. The participants’ age ranged from 20 to 28 years. The Me and IQR of age and mean academic performance rate were 21.1 (20.9 and 21.4) years and 4.4 (4.2 and 4.7) points (on a 5-point scale), respectively. No significant differences were found between the FC, FC + TBL, and CC clusters in terms of the participants’ age and academic performance (Kruskal–Wallis test: H = 0.4441, p = 0.5052 and H = 0.0214, p = 0.8837, respectively). In terms of gender, age, and academic performance, the study sample roughly corresponds to the general population of fourth-year students.

**The stages of implementing the learning process by the flipped classroom model**

The process of study for students from the FC and FC + TBL clusters involved two stages.
• Stage 1. Self-preparation for practical lessons (extracurricular work or transfer of knowledge and skills).

• Stage 2. Training in practical lessons (classroom work or the internalization of knowledge, the development and consolidation of skills and abilities).

Stage 1 - Self-preparation for practical lessons. The implementation of the flipped classroom model implied an increase in the role of students’ independent work in preparing for practical lessons. The study participants had to study the materials for the practical lesson included in the modified electronic educational and methodical complex and complete a homework assignment that involved solving cases, filling-out blank flow charts, developing a presentation, writing a report or essay, search and analysis of statistical, reference, and scientific information data. Explicative and explanatory videos allowed introducing students to the problem of the topic in a minimum amount of time, exploring the orientational basis for completing the homework assignment and reduce the time required for the teacher to explain the method of independent work in the practical lesson. This stage involved holding an online discussion, a forum built in the EOP to clarify the relevant questions on the topic and the opportunities for the use of homework fragments in solving situational tasks on the practical lesson. The role of the teacher at this stage was to ensure effective group communication on the EOP, motivate students to search and analyze the necessary information on their own, and check the homework assignments.

Stage 2 - Training in practical lessons. In practical lessons, the students were solving professional situational tasks of analyzing the marketing macro- or micro-environment of a pharmaceutical organization. The homework was typically a fragment of one or several of the presented situational tasks. Students from the FC+TBL cluster were completing all tasks in a team. Each teammate’s homework was an element of the common situation task to be solved. If a student did not complete the homework or did it wrong, the solution of the common situational task was delayed. The whole team had to help the student falling behind. All parts of the situational task completed by the team members were then combined through synthesis. At the practical lessons, students of the FC and FC+TBL clusters discussed the key points in solving situational tasks, presented presentations created by them, and listened to presentations on the completed essays and reports. The work resulted in individual or collective conclusions. At this stage, the teacher was coordinating, guiding, and evaluating the results of work on the situational tasks and ensured effective group communication.

The statistical processing of the study results was carried out using the SPSS. Statistics.v17. Multilingual-EQUiNOX program (SPSS Inc). The study results were expressed either in absolute and relative values, or in metric units such as median (Me), the lower (25%) and upper (75%) quartiles (IQR), or mean ± standard deviation (M ± SD). Correlations between the results of control measures were assessed using Pearson’s linear correlation coefficient (r). The correlation between the assessments of the entrance control at stage 1, the formative control, the entrance control at stage 2, and thematic control was tested using the average values of each student’s grades for all topics. The correlation of the final control results involved using the grades of each participant in the study. The critical level of significance in testing the statistical hypotheses of the study was 0.05.

Results

Evaluation of the effectiveness of the flipped classroom model

Comparative analysis of students’ learning outcomes by the main stages of the learning process when using the flipped classroom technology and the traditional scheme showed that the participants of the FC and FC + TBL clusters were significantly better prepared for all practical lessons compared to the students of the control CC cluster (Table 1, formative test control at stage 1 and entrance control at stage 2, p < 0.05). The results of the thematic test control among the students of the FC and FC + TBL clusters were only better in comparison to the CC cluster members in five practical lesson topics. No significant difference was found between the control and experimental clusters in topic 3 (p > 0.05). The results of the entrance test control at stages 1 and 2 for all the topics of the section for all study participants were significantly lower than the results of the subsequent formative or thematic control (Table 1, p < 0.0001).

The assessment of correlations between the results of formative test control (F) and thematic control (T) revealed that students’ grades correlate to a very high degree (on the Chaddock scale): Cluster FC – \( r^{E2-T} = 0.912 \) (p < 0.0001), cluster FC+TBL – \( r^{E2-T} = 0.910 \) (p < 0.0001). The determination coefficient \( (r^2) \) equals 0.832 and 0.828, respectively. Similar calculations for the results of entrance control at stage 2 (E2) and thematic control (T) show that the grades of students from the FC and FC+TBL clusters are in direct correlation with a high degree: \( E2-T = 0.804 \) (p < 0.0001, \( r^2 = 0.646 \)) and \( E2-T = 0.705 \) (p < 0.0001, \( r^2 = 0.497 \)), respectively. The relationship between the results of the formative test control (F) and the entrance control at stage 2 (E2) among the students of the FC and FC + TBL clusters was direct and had a noticeable degree (on the Chaddock scale): \( F^{E2} = 0.570 \) (p = 0.0001, \( r^2 = 0.325 \)) and \( F^{E2} = 0.541 \) (p = 0.0001, \( r^2 = 0.292 \)), respectively. Correlation analysis of the results of entrance control at stage 1 (E1) and formative control (F) indicates that the grades of students from the FC and FC+TBL clusters...
Table 1: Results of test control of students on the main stages of the learning process for the flipped classroom model and the traditional model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of control</th>
<th>Lesson topic</th>
<th>Grade, score, М ± SD</th>
<th>p (For comparison between clusters)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage 1 - Self-preparation for practical lessons</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC (cluster 1) (n = 52)</td>
<td>FC+TBL (cluster 2) (n = 52)</td>
<td>CC (cluster 3) (n = 52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entrance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>9.04 ± 1.45</td>
<td>7.96 ± 1.28</td>
<td>8.02 ± 1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.58 ± 1.82</td>
<td>9.44 ± 1.98</td>
<td>9.38 ± 2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.17 ± 0.74</td>
<td>12.05 ± 1.83</td>
<td>12.11 ± 1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.21 ± 1.22</td>
<td>13.11 ± 1.56</td>
<td>13.14 ± 1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.31 ± 1.82</td>
<td>12.44 ± 1.33</td>
<td>12.39 ± 1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.13 ± 1.91</td>
<td>13.24 ± 1.98</td>
<td>13.32 ± 2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>44.22 ± 2.45</td>
<td>44.53 ± 2.84</td>
<td>43.61 ± 3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>45.07 ± 1.94</td>
<td>44.68 ± 2.77</td>
<td>42.41 ± 4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>43.65 ± 3.00</td>
<td>43.64 ± 2.95</td>
<td>42.59 ± 3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>45.78 ± 1.87</td>
<td>45.92 ± 1.95</td>
<td>45.34 ± 2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>46.83 ± 1.62</td>
<td>46.71 ± 1.33</td>
<td>46.45 ± 2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>45.26 ± 1.16</td>
<td>45.34 ± 2.04</td>
<td>44.76 ± 2.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p* within cluster

Here and in Table 2: *Experimental, empirical distribution of the variables was almost indistinguishable from the normal distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and normal distribution plot in SPSS). M: Mean value, SD: Standard deviation.*

The results of correlation analysis between the thematic test control (T) and thematic control of the development of skills in solving situational tasks (TT) showed a direct but weak correlation (on the Chaddock scale): Students of the FC cluster – rTT = 0.226 (p = 0.0032), and students of the FC+TBL cluster – rTT = 0.226 (p > 0.05, r² = 0.051), respectively.

Comparative analysis of the final effectiveness of studying the “Analysis of the marketing environment of a pharmaceutical organization: SWOT-analysis” section demonstrated the superiority of the flipped classroom model (the FC and TBL clusters) over the traditional model (the CC cluster) both in terms of the formation of skills and abilities in solving situational tasks and according to the results of the final testing (Figure 2). No significant difference in the final learning outcomes was found between the FC and FC+TBL clusters (p > 0.05).

The results of the thematic test control (T) and the final testing (FT) correlate directly to quite a high degree (on the Chaddock scale): Students of the FC cluster – r1–FT = 0.985 (p < 0.0001, r² = 0.970), and students of the FC+TBL cluster – r1–FT = 0.987 (p < 0.0001, r² = 0.956). The relationship between the results of thematic control of the formation of skills and abilities in solving situational tasks (ST) and the final control (SF) in students from the FC and FC+TBL clusters is direct and has quite a strong degree: rST–SF = 0.989 (p < 0.0001, r² = 0.978) and r1–ST–SF = 0.995 (p < 0.0001, r² = 0.990), respectively.
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external systems: MOOC platforms of higher education institutions, national systems, MOOC platforms of the international level; Internal systems: Material storages (possibly based higher education portal with Moodle Mobile, Canvas Student, Blackboard, and G-OpenLMS. The present study demonstrated that using an LMS-based higher education portal with Moodle Mobile educational content is the best option for organizing and delivering educational resources. The Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University.

In recent years, blended learning models including the flipped classroom model have been growing in demand in medical and pharmaceutical higher education. These models make the educational process more mobile and adaptive in relation to the conditions of the outside environment which is especially relevant during pandemics and natural or technogenic disasters [45], [46]. Moreover, such models provide students with greater opportunities for active participation in studying the main modern scientific areas of pharmacy, for example, in the development of new innovative medication [47]. However, these methods require modern innovative electronic learning tools [48], [49]. They are usually subdivided into [50]:

- external systems: MOOC platforms of higher education institutions, national systems, MOOC platforms of the international level;
- Internal systems: Material storages (possibly in the form of educational portals), electronic communication services (primarily video conferencing), e-learning systems without video conferencing, etc.

The most popular MOOC platforms for higher education institutions in Russia are Open Education (https://openedu.ru/), Universarium (https://universarium.org/), and Lectorium (https://www.lectorium.tv/).

Technologically, the implementation of blended learning in higher education is typically carried out using the LMS as an internal system. At present, the most popular LMS in higher education in Russia are Moodle Mobile, Canvas Student, Blackboard, and G-OpenLMS. The present study demonstrated that using an LMS-based higher education portal with Moodle Mobile educational content is the best option for organizing and introducing a blended learning model — specifically, the flipped classroom model — into the educational process. In our case, the deployed LMS portal was the EOP of the Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University.

Discussion

In recent years, blended learning models including the flipped classroom model have been growing in demand in medical and pharmaceutical higher education. These models make the educational process more mobile and adaptive in relation to the conditions of the outside environment which is especially relevant during pandemics and natural or technogenic disasters [45], [46]. Moreover, such models provide students with greater opportunities for active participation in studying the main modern scientific areas of pharmacy, for example, in the development of new innovative medication [47]. However, these methods require modern innovative electronic learning tools [48], [49]. They are usually subdivided into [50]:

- external systems: MOOC platforms of higher education institutions, national systems, MOOC platforms of the international level;
- Internal systems: Material storages (possibly in the form of educational portals), electronic communication services (primarily video conferencing), e-learning systems without video conferencing, etc.

The most popular MOOC platforms for higher education institutions in Russia are Open Education (https://openedu.ru/), Universarium (https://universarium.org/), and Lectorium (https://www.lectorium.tv/).

Technologically, the implementation of blended learning in higher education is typically carried out using the LMS as an internal system. At present, the most popular LMS in higher education in Russia are Moodle Mobile, Canvas Student, Blackboard, and G-OpenLMS. The present study demonstrated that using an LMS-based higher education portal with Moodle Mobile educational content is the best option for organizing and introducing a blended learning model — specifically, the flipped classroom model — into the educational process. In our case, the deployed LMS portal was the EOP of the Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University.

Figure 2: The results of the final control of the development of skills and abilities in solving situational tasks in the “Analysis of the marketing environment of a pharmaceutical organization” section (a: \( p^{FC-CC} = 0.0009, p^{FC-(FC+TBL)} < 0.0001, p^{FC+TBL-CC} = NA \)) and the final testing (b: \( p^{FC-CC} = 0.0243, p^{FC+TBL-CC} = 0.0100, p^{FC-(FC+TBL)} = NA \)) in the flipped classroom model and the traditional model. Significance of differences between clusters tested by Student’s t-test

The study of participants’ attitude toward the use of the flipped classroom model

The survey of students on their satisfaction with learning using the flipped classroom technology in the pharmaceutical marketing course showed that 90.4% of the participants in the FC cluster (average score – 4.6) and 86.5% of the FC + TBL cluster (average score – 4.5) members have a positive attitude toward this learning model (“completely satisfied” – 71.2% and 65.4%, respectively, and “rather satisfied” – 19.2% and 21.1%, respectively) (Appendix B). Noteworthy, the “completely unsatisfied” and “rather unsatisfied” answer options were not used by the study participants at all. The most common answers to the question “Do you consider the interactivity between the student and the teacher when using this model sufficient?” in the FC and FC+TBL clusters were “completely sufficient” – 90.4% and 86.5%, respectively, and “rather sufficient” – 3.8% and 7.7%, respectively. The average score in the FC and FC+TBL clusters’ survey participants on this issue was 4.8. The distribution of answers to the question “Do you consider the increase in the volume of homework justified?” in the FC and FC+TBL clusters was as follows: “completely justified” – 67.3% and 75.0%, respectively, “rather justified” – 17.3% and 19.2%, respectively, “difficult to answer” – 15.4% and 5.8%, respectively. The average score on this issue was 4.5 in the FC cluster and 4.7 in the FC+TBL cluster. The study participants’ opinion on the acceptability of the further use of the flipped classroom model in teaching the “Analysis of the marketing environment of a pharmaceutical organization” section of the pharmaceutical marketing course generally in emergency situations corresponded to similar data on satisfaction with the educational process. Specifically, 90.4% of the FC cluster (average score – 4.6) students and 84.6% of the FC+TBL cluster (average score – 4.5) students considered this model acceptable for teaching both the “Analysis of the marketing environment of a pharmaceutical organization” section and the entire pharmaceutical marketing course.
The study participants generally showed a positive attitude toward the use of the flipped classroom model in the “Pharmaceutical Marketing” discipline. Students from the experimental clusters FC and FC+TBL were significantly better prepared for all thematic lessons of the “Analysis of the marketing environment of a pharmaceutical organization” section compared to the students from the control CC cluster. They were also effective and productive when solving situational tasks in practical lessons compared to students taught by the traditional model. The results of the final control for the section also supported the advantages of the blended learning model both in practical skill assessment and in testing. Our findings are consistent with survey data on the effectiveness of the flipped classroom model in medical education [51] and higher education during the COVID-19 pandemic [52]. The attitude of students to this technology in our study and in other published works is also commensurate. For example, 86.4% of medical students are satisfied with the use of the flipped classroom model in the educational process [53], and 76% of medical students approve of conducting classes using the model in the future [15]. We support the authors who point out the need to develop reliable, uniform tools for objective assessment of short-term and long-term results of the effectiveness of the application of the flipped class model [54].

The combination of the flipped classroom model and the team-based learning method (the FC+TBL cluster) had an ambiguous effect on solving the situational tasks. This result is possibly associated with the lesson topics. The results in the topics where situational tasks could be completed by students in a group were higher in the FC+TBL cluster compared to the FC cluster. In the topics that required students to take a personalized approach to solving situational tasks, the learning outcomes in the FC+TBL cluster were similar or even lower than in the FC cluster.

It should be noted that the advantages of team learning (the FC+TBL cluster) over the individual approach (the FC cluster) were:

- Improving the quality of acquired skills and abilities, the quality of training in the field of pharmaceutical marketing, which increases the demand for graduates of a higher education institution in the labor market.

The approving reviews received from students in the “Pharmacy” specialty (Specialist degree program) on the use of the flipped classroom model in the “Analysis of the marketing environment of a pharmaceutical organization” section provide a basis for further implementation of this technology in the “Pharmaceutical Marketing” course in its entirety and show the prospects for further research on the implementation of other blended learning models.

### Conclusion

1. The study of the effectiveness of using the flipped classroom model during the COVID-19 pandemic in higher pharmaceutical education in the “Pharmaceutical Marketing” discipline showed that students from the experimental clusters FC and FC+TBL were significantly better prepared for practical lessons and worked in them more effectively and productively compared to the control CC cluster. The results of the final control also substantiated the advantages of the flipped classroom technology both in the assessment of practical skills and abilities in solving situational tasks and in testing.

2. It was established that the implementation of the complex technology combining the flipped classroom model and the team-based learning method (the FC+TBL cluster) in the pharmaceutical marketing course is only rational for those practical lessons that involve professional situational tasks that could be solved by students in a team successfully.

3. The analysis of students’ opinion on the use of the flipped classroom model revealed that 90.4% of students from the FC cluster and 84.6% of students from the FC+TBL cluster were satisfied with the results of the experiment and consider this method appropriate for teaching the “Pharmaceutical Marketing” course in its entirety.
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APPENDICES

Appendix A: Questionnaire for surveying students

Dear survey participant,

We are conducting a study on the effectiveness and acceptability of using the flipped classroom model during the COVID-19 pandemic in higher pharmaceutical education. This will optimize and improve the existing system of pharmaceutical education. Please answer the questions below.

1. You were trained in the section “Analysis of the marketing environment of a pharmaceutical organization” using the model:
   - Flipped class
   - Flipped class and team-based method

2. Are you satisfied with the use of this learning model?
   - Completely satisfied
   - Rather satisfied
   - Find it difficult to answer
   - Rather dissatisfied
   - Completely dissatisfied

3. Do you consider the interactivity between the student and the teacher when using this model sufficient?
   - Completely sufficient
   - Rather sufficient
   - Find it difficult to answer
   - Rather insufficient
   - Completely insufficient

4. Do you think the increase in the amount of homework is justified?
   - Completely justified
   - Rather justified
   - Find it difficult to answer
   - Rather unjustified
   - Completely unjustified

5. Do you consider it acceptable to use this model in the future when studying the section “Analysis of the marketing environment of a pharmaceutical organization”?
   - Completely acceptable
   - Rather acceptable
   - Find it difficult to answer
   - Rather unacceptable
   - Completely unacceptable

6. Do you consider it acceptable to use this model in the future when studying the course “Pharmaceutical marketing”?
   - Completely acceptable
   - Rather acceptable
   - Find it difficult to answer
   - Rather unacceptable
   - Completely unacceptable

Appendix B: Results of the survey of students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Number of responses on the Likert scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Completely agree (5 points)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you satisfied with the use of this learning model?</td>
<td>37 (71.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you consider the interactivity between the student and the teacher when using this model sufficient?</td>
<td>47 (90.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the increase in the amount of homework is justified?</td>
<td>35 (67.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you consider it acceptable to use this model in the future when studying the section “Analysis of the marketing environment of a pharmaceutical organization”?</td>
<td>37 (71.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you consider it acceptable to use this model in the future when studying the course “Pharmaceutical marketing”?</td>
<td>37 (71.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>