

Diabetics Retinopathy Knowledge and Awareness Assessment among the Type 2 Diabetics

Naif R. Almalki^{*}, Turki M. Almalki, Khaled Alswat

Taif University School of Medicine, Taif, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Citation: Almalki NR, Almalki TM, Alswat K. Diabetics Retinopathy Knowledge and Awareness Assessment among the Type 2 Diabetics. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2018 Mar 15; 6(3):574-577. https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2018.121

Keywords: DR; Diabetes Retinopathy; Awareness; Type 2 Diabetes

*Correspondence: Naif R Almalki. Taif University School of Medicine, Taif, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: naif.almalki.tu@gmail.com

Received: 02-Oct-2017; Revised: 08-Feb-2018; Accepted: 11-Feb-2018; Online first: 09-Mar-2018

Copyright: © 2018 Naif R. Almalki, Turki M. Almalki, Khaled Alswat. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) Funding: This research did not receive any financial support

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist

INTRODUCTION: Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a leading cause of blindness worldwide. In Saudi Arabia and other Arab countries, several studies estimated the prevalence of DR ranging from 30 - 40%.

AIM: To assess the DR knowledge and its association with diabetes control among Type 2 diabetic patients.

METHODS: A cross-sectional study of patients with Type II diabetes (T2D) who had a routine visit to the endocrine clinic to assess the DR knowledge and its relation to the glycemic control. We used a questionnaire that was used in previously published studies, and the reliability was assessed using the alpha Cronbach coefficient. Patients who answered correctly > 60% were considered to have good knowledge about DR.

RESULTS: Total of 253 patients participated, 43.4% has diabetes > 10 years and 30.7% have it for 5 - 10 years, 36.4% did college degree or higher, 40.8% considered having low income. 37.7% of participants were not screened for the DR in the past year. 28.4% of participants think that seeing optometrist is enough for DR diagnosis. Diabetics with good knowledge who have T2D > 10 years were 46.3% compared to 38.6% (p = 0.04). Diabetics with good knowledge have mean A1c of 8.55 vs. 8.59 (p = 0.32), mean BMI 30.4 vs. 30.2 (p = 0.46), mean diastolic pressure was 77.12% vs. 79.48% (p = 0.03).

CONCLUSION: Almost two-thirds of screened T2D were considered to have good knowledge about DR. The good knowledge group tends to have a longer duration of T2D, more likely to have a college degree, and tend to have non -significantly better A1c control.

Introduction

The Diabetic Retinopathy (DR) is a leading cause of blindness that affects 34 million worldwide; it was estimated that it is accounting 4% of blindness cases [1]. There are several factors increase the risk of DR, the long duration of the disease, glycemic control, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, renal failure, anaemia, age, puberty and pregnancy. Furthermore, diabetic retinopathy knowledge level increases the incident of DR in young women [2]. In addition to that, some patients didn't visit the ophthalmologist for the routine annual eye exam in the past year [3][4] All of this indeed will increase the demand for better diabetes education to make T2D patients more aware about their conditions and related complication.

Patient awareness to DR will be the key to further improvements in DR management and prevention. Patients should be informed that they play an integral role in their glycemic control and eye care. There is a lack of studies to assess the level of knowledge about DR among T2D patients and find associated factors with low DR awareness in Saudi Arabia.

The primary goal of this study is to assess the DR knowledge and its association with diabetes control among Type 2 diabetic patients.

Material and Method

A cross-sectional study was conducted between August 2014 until September 2015 at King Abdulaziz Specialized Hospital, Division of Endocrinology, Taif city, Saudi Arabia. The minimum recommended sample size is 280 for 95% confidence level with 5% margin error. It is calculated based on diabetic retinopathy awareness prevalence from the previous study that shows 76% of diabetic patients aware of poorly controlled diabetes lead to retinopathy and the diabetic population in Taif city approximately is 66797.

The study included 253 male and female with T2D who were 18 years or older and who were willing to participate. We excluded patients with type I diabetes and patients with gestational diabetes. Data were collected through a formal interview and help educated patients to obtain self - report survey. The socioeconomic data: duration of diabetes, type of medication, marital status, education level, income. smoking, physical exercise, T2D duration and Education level eye problem due to diabetes, family history of eye disease related to diabetes were self reported. Baseline characteristics and measurements such as blood pressure, height and body weight were obtained at the time of visit. Laboratory data were collected from patient's Electronic Medical Record (EMR).

This cross-sectional study used interviewadministered questionnaires that were used in previously published studies [5]. The questionnaire composite of 10 questions: 3 of them about diabetic retinopathy knowledge, 5 questions about screening and 2 of them about prevention and treatment (Table 1). It was also translated into the Arabic language. The Arabic version of the questionnaires has been break the language barriers used to and understanding among some patients. In addition to that reliability of questions was assessed using the alpha Cronbach coefficient which was 0.6 which consider acceptable. Questions consist of 10 items that aim to assess the DR knowledge and awareness. The questions are listed in Table 1. Patients who answered > 60% of the questions correctly were considered to have good knowledge about DR.

 Table 1: Knowledge and Awareness Questionnaire of Diabetic Retinopathy

| Knowledge and Awareness Questionnaire | | | |
|---|---|----|--|
| Do you think there is a relationship between retinopathy and DM? | Yes | No | |
| Do you think diabetes mellitus may lead to blindness? | Yes | No | |
| Have your eyes been checked by a doctor last year? | Yes | No | |
| No need for the regular screen for DR if both eyes are good. | Yes | No | |
| Do you think a good control of Diabetes might prevent DR? | Yes | No | |
| Can a diabetic patient have eye problems at the same time of Diabetes diagnosis? | Yes | No | |
| How frequently should a person with diabetes undergo an eye checkup? | Every 6 months Yearly or every 2 years Only when vision affected | | |
| When you have diabetes at the first time, you must screen your eye. | At the time of diabetes diagnosis 5 years after diabetes diagnosis only if there are eye symptoms | | |
| Do you think retinopathy is a treatable condition? | Yes | No | |
| Do you think seeing optometrist (regular eyeglass store) is enough for people with diabetes? | Yes | No | |

All data were collected and analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20. We used frequency for categorical variables and mean and the standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables. The Chisquared test was used to study the relationship between nominal variables and t-test and one-way ANOVA was used to compare means. Correlation bivariate was used to assess significant between two continuous variables.

Results

Total of 253 patients was enrolled in this study with 127 (50.4%) were male, mean age 52.6 ± 12.9 years old, 109 (43.4%) have diabetes > 10 years, and 77 (30.7%) have it for 5 - 10 years. 96 (37.9%) were on oral medications alone, 103 (40.7%) were on insulin alone. 165 (83.8%) were married, 159 (63.3%) did high school or less, 102 (40.8%) considered to have low income. 34 (14.3%) were actively smoker, and 40 (16.8%) reports regular exercise.

The mean A1c was 8.5%, mean BMI of 30.3 kg/m², 169 (71%) were considered to have uncontrolled hypertension at baseline, 39 (16%) reports they have eye problem related to diabetes, and 89 (36.6%) reports family history of eye disease related to diabetes (Table 2).

Table 2: Baseline characteristics for the whole cohort

| Variables | Category | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Mean Age year (SD) | 52.61 (12.91) | | |
| Gender (%) | Male | 127 (50.4%) | |
| | Female | 12 (49.6%) | |
| Duration of diabetes (%) | Less than 5 years | 65 (25.9) | |
| | In 5-10 years | 77 (30.7) | |
| | More than10 years | 109 (43.4) | |
| Type of medication (%) | Tablet | 96 (37.9) | |
| | Insulin | 103 (40.7) | |
| | Tablet and insulin | 54 (21.3) | |
| Mean BMI (SD) | 30.3 (4.7) | | |
| Mean HbA1c (SD) | 8.5 (1.5) | | |
| Mean Blood pressure systolic (SD) | 142 (17) | | |
| Mean Blood pressure diastolic (SD) | 77.9 (8.8) | | |
| Regular exercise (%) | 40 (16.8) | | |
| Smoking (%) | 34 (14.3) | | |
| Control of blood glucose | Controlled | 69 (29) | |
| Martial state (%) | - Single | - 18 (9.1) | |
| | Married | - 165 (83.8) | |
| | Divorced | - 4 (2) | |
| | - Widow | - 10 (5.1) | |
| Education level (%) | Post graduated | - 9 (3.6) | |
| | Graduated | - 83 (32.8) | |
| | - High school or | - 159 (63.3) | |
| la (0/) | less | 400 (40 0) | |
| Income (%) | - 5000 or below | - 102 (40.8) | |
| | - 5000-15000 | - 127 (50.8) | |
| Fue problem due to disbetes (0() | - More than 15000 | - 21 (8.4) | |
| Eye problem due to diabetes (%) | 39 (16) | 39 (16) | |
| (%) In a raminy history of eye disease related to diabetes | 89 (36.9) | | |

A group of 160 (64%) of screened T2D patients considered as aware of retinopathy. The mean correctly answered questions were 5.98 out of ten. More than 75% believed that T2D could cause retinopathy, which may get complicated by blindness as well as that good control of blood glucose could prevent retinopathy.

More than 60% reports had dilated eye examination, and they were significantly more likely to believe that there is a treatment for DR compared to those who had no eye examination. Around 50% were not aware of the recommendation for the DR annual screening and thought there is no need for it unless symptomatic. 71 (28.4%) of the participants thought that the optometrist visit for eyeglasses could replace the ophthalmologist visit (Table 3).

Table 3: Knowledge and Awareness Questionnaire with the percentage of the correctly answered

| Knowledge and Awareness Questionnaire | Correct answer | % |
|--|-------------------|------|
| Do you know the relationship between retinopathy and DM? | Yes | 79.5 |
| Do you think diabetes mellitus may lead to blindness? | Yes | 79.4 |
| Have your eyes been checked by a doctor last year? | Yes | 62.3 |
| No need for the regular screen for DR if both eyes are good. | No | 52 |
| Do you think a good control of Diabetes might prevent DR? | Yes | 78 |
| Can a diabetic patient have eye problems at the same time of | Yes | 52.3 |
| diabetes | | |
| diagnosis? | | |
| How frequently should a person with diabetes undergo an eye | Every one or two | 24.3 |
| checkup? | years | |
| When you have diabetes at the first time, you must screen | At the time of DM | 43.7 |
| your eye. | diagnosis | |
| Do you think retinopathy is a treatable condition? | Yes | 66.8 |
| Do you think seeing optometrist (regular eyeglass store) is | No | 71.6 |
| enough | | |
| for people with diabetes? | | |

The most likely reported barriers that prevent patients from going to the Ophthalmologist for the recommended annual DR screening were the difficulty to get an appointment and the unawareness about the possible eye complications from T2D (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Barriers that may prevent people with diabetes from going to the Ophthalmology clinic for the recommended eye screening

People with diabetes with a low level of education were more likely to report that seeing the optometrist is enough for the regular eye examination (p = 0.03).

 Table 4: Sociodemographic characteristic of the participants

 regarding awareness

| Variable | Category | Aware | Not aware | P value |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Mean Age year (SD) | - | 52.5 (12.9) | 52.7 (12.9) | .96 |
| | | | | |
| Gender | Male | 85 (53.5) | 41 (45.6) | .29 |
| | female | 74 (46.5) | 49 (54.4) | |
| Duration of diabetes | Less than 5 | 45 (28.1) | 20(22.7) | .04 |
| | years | 41(25.6) | 34 (38.6) | |
| | In 5- 10 years | 74 (46.3) | 34 (38.6) | |
| | More than 10 | | | |
| | years | | | |
| Type of medication | Tablet | 63 (39.4) | 33 (36.7) | .32 |
| | Insulin | 62 (38.8) | 38 (42.2) | |
| | Tablet and insulin | 35 (21.9) | 19 (21.1) | |
| Mean BMI(SD) | - | 30.4 (5) | 30.2 (4.1) | .46 |
| Mean HbA1c(SD) | - | 8.55 (1.62) | 8.59 (1.3) | .32 |
| Mean Blood pressure | | 141 (17.7) | 143.8 (15) | .06 |
| systolic(SD) | | | | |
| Mean Blood pressure | - | 77.12 (8.8) | 79.48 (8.8) | .03 |
| diastolic(SD) | | | | |
| Control of blood glucose. | Controlled | 70 (49 | 34 (40.5) | .16 |
| Regular exercise | - | 29 (19.3) | 11 (12.9) | .09 |
| Smoking | - | 18 (112) | 16 (18.8) | .15 |
| Marital status | Single | 11 (8) | 7 (12.3) | .22 |
| | Married | 117 (85.4) | 45(78.9) | |
| | Divorced | 2 (1.5) | 2 (3.5) | |
| | Widow | 7 (5.1) | 3 (5.3) | |
| Education level | Post graduated | 9 (5.6) | 0 | .007 |
| | Graduated | 57 (35.6) | 25(28.4) | |
| | High school or | 94 (58.8) | 63 (71.6) | |
| | less | | | |
| Income | 5000 or below | 63 (39.6) | 36 (40.9) | .07 |
| | 5000*15000 | 79 (49.7) | 48 (54.5) | |
| | >15000 | 17 (10.7) | 4 (4.5) | |
| Eye problem due to diabetes. | - | 29 (18.6) | 10 (11.8) | .18 |
| Family history of eye disease | - | 56 (36.1) | 33 (38.8) | .61 |
| related to diabetes. | | - | | |

The aware group was significantly more likely to have higher school educational level (p = 0.007), longer duration of diabetes (p = 0.04), and lower mean diastolic blood pressure (p = 0.03). There was no significant difference between both groups in regards to age, lifestyle habits, income, or the family or the personal history of eye disease related to diabetes (Table 4).

Discussion

The Global prevalence of DR among diabetic patients is estimated to be 34.6% [6]. In the Eastern region of Saudi, the prevalence of DR was 30% [7]. More than 12% of who is suffering from DR for 30 years or more are blind [1]. The pathogenesis of DR is multifactorial but is primarily due to the metabolic effects of chronic hyperglycemia, which result in dramatic vascular changes and subsequently leads to retinal injury and ischemia [4]. The vast majority of patients who develop DR have no symptoms until the very late stages by which time it may be too late for effective treatment [8][9][10]. Proliferative retinopathy incident was more likely associated with less educated women. American Diabetes Association (ADA) guidelines recommend screening for retinopathy at the time of diagnosis T2D then annually after that [11].

In our study, 64% of the screened T2D found to be aware of DR. This awareness correlated with patient's educational level as most of our patients who report low education level have low DR awareness score. Some of the previously published studies reported that DR knowledge and awareness is poor among the T2D [10] [12] [13] [14]. However, it is difficult to compare our results with other as most of the published studies used different instruments to assess the DR knowledge among those patients. However, in Saudi Arabia and other Arab countries, several studies have estimated the prevalence of DR ranging from 30 - 40%, and there is a steady increase in the number of cases discovered which emphasise on the importance of awareness about diabetes and its complication [12] [13] [15].

It has been previously shown by different studies that diabetic control among type 2 diabetics are generally poor which ultimately resulting in a high rate of complications [14] [16] [14]. In our study, half of the patients were poorly controlled diabetics, and the mean A1c was 8.5, and poorly controlled HbA1c can contribute to the development of DR as well as the other complications. Interestingly, we noticed that patients with high HbA1c they were non - significantly less likely to be aware that those with more controlled HbA1c. Also BMI, age and gender were not

576

significantly different between groups. A studv conducted in Turkey showed that patients who had T2D for more than 10 years were more likely to be aware of diabetic retinopathy [17]. Similarly, in our study diabetics with T2D for more than 10 years were more likely to be aware and more likely to have a regular eyes check-up annually. In our study, we found that 28.4% of the participants think that seeing an optometrist is enough for diabetics and that was closely related to patient's level of education. Nonetheless, 37.7% of the participants do not attend a routine eye exam furthermore; one of the most reasons reported by participants for not attending regular eye examinations was appointment time then followed by lack of awareness about DR. Even in some countries where you find high level of awareness about diabetic retinopathy, people with diabetes still do not visit ophthalmology clinics for the recommended routine eye examinations on a regular basis. A Japanese study showed that more than 98% of patients were aware of DR, but only 69.5% of the patients visited the ophthalmologist routinely on a regular basis [18]. This could be explained by a gap between the level of awareness and practice among people with diabetes and that is why focusing in knowledge alone is not sufficient in health awareness, it should be along with behavioural practice to make dramatic changes in improving patient's compliance and self -care.

Our study limitations were that our study was a single centre and our sample size is slightly under recommended sample size. Study strengths, participants were interviewed and helped by our researchers at specialised Endocrinology clinic and using a score that represents a sum of questions related to DR rather than using the single question to decide awareness status.

In conclusion, almost two third of screened T2D were considered to have good knowledge about DR. The good knowledge group tends to have a longer duration of T2D, more likely to have a college degree, and tend to have non - significantly better A1c control.

References

1. Prevention of blindness from diabetes mellitus, WHO, Editor, WHO press, 2006:48.

2. Klein R, Klein BE, Jensen SC, Moss SE. The relation of socioeconomic factors to the incidence of proliferative diabetic retinopathy and loss of vision. Ophthalmology. 1994; 101(1): p. 68-76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420(94)31354-6</u>

3. Gibson DM. Diabetic retinopathy and age-related macular degeneration in the US. American journal of preventive medicine. 2012; 43(1):48-54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2012.02.028</u> PMid:22704745

4. Varma R, Mohanty SA, Deneen J, Wu J, Azen SP. Burden and predictors of undetected eye disease in Mexican-Americans: the

Los Angeles Latino Eye Study. Med Care. 2008; 46(5):497-506. https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e31816080fe PMid:18438198 PMCid:PMC5705196

5. Addoor KR, Bhandary SV, Khanna R, Rao LG, Lingam KD, Binu VS, Shivaji S, Nandannaver M. Assessment of awareness of diabetic retinopathy among the diabetics attending the peripheral diabetic clinics in Melaka, Malaysia. Med J Malaysia. 2011; 66(1):48-52. PMid:23765143

6. Yau JW, Rogers SL, Kawasaki R, Lamoureux EL, Kowalski JW, Bek T, et al. Global prevalence and major risk factors of diabetic retinopathy. Diabetes Care. 2012; 35(3): 556-64. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc11-1909 PMid:22301125 PMCid:PMC3322721

7. Khan AR, Wiseberg JA, Lateef ZA, Khan SA. Prevalence and determinants of diabetic retinopathy in Al hasa region of saudi arabia: primary health care centre based cross-sectional survey, 2007-2009. Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol. 2010; 17(3): 257-63. https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-9233.65502 PMid:20844683 PMCid:PMC2934719

8. Al-Nozha MM, Al-Maatouq MA, Al-Mazrou YY, Al-Harthi SS, Arafah MR, Khalil MZ, Khan NB, Al-Khadra A, Al-Marzouki K, Nouh MS, Abdullah M. Diabetes mellitus in Saudi Arabia. Saudi medical journal. 2004; 25(11):1603-10. PMid:15573186

9. Al-Rubeaan K, Al-Manaa H, Khoja T, Ahmad N, Al-Sharqawi A, Siddiqui K, AlNaqeb D, Aburisheh K, Youssef A, Al Ghamdia A. The Saudi abnormal glucose metabolism and diabetes impact study (SAUDI-DM). Annals of Saudi medicine. 2014; 34(6):465. https://doi.org/10.5144/0256-4947.2014.465 PMid:25971818

10. Alghadyan AA. Diabetic retinopathy – An update. Saudi Journal of Ophthalmology. 2011; 25(2): 99-111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjopt.2011.01.009 PMid:23960911 PMCid:PMC3729572

11. Bragge P, Gruen RL, Chau M, Forbes A, Taylor HR. Screening for presence or absence of diabetic retinopathy: a meta-analysis. Archives of Ophthalmology. 2011; 129(4):435-44. https://doi.org/10.1001/archophthalmol.2010.319 PMid:21149748

12. Hajar S, Hazmi AA, Wasli M, Mousa A, Rabiu M. Prevalence and causes of blindness and diabetic retinopathy in Southern Saudi Arabia. Saudi Medical Journal. 2015; 36(4): 449-455. https://doi.org/10.15537/smj.2015.4.10371 PMid:25828282 PMCid:PMC4404479

13. Rabiu MM, Al Bdour MD, Abu Ameerh MA, Jadoon MZ. Prevalence of blindness and diabetic retinopathy in northern Jordan. Eur J Ophthalmol. 2015; 25(4): 320-7. https://doi.org/10.5301/ejo.5000557 PMid:25684158

14. Rani PK, Raman R, Subramani S, Perumal G, Kumaramanickavel G, Sharma T. Knowledge of diabetes and diabetic retinopathy among rural populations in India, and the influence of knowledge of diabetic retinopathy on attitude and practice. Rural Remote Health. 2008; 8(3): 24.

15. Khan AR, Wiseberg JA, Lateef ZAA, Khan SA. Prevalence and Determinants of Diabetic Retinopathy in Al Hasa Region of Saudi Arabia: Primary Health Care Centre Based Cross-Sectional Survey, 2007–2009. Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol. 2010; 17(3): 257-263. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-9233.65502</u> PMid:20844683 PMCid:PMC2934719

16. Amir AH, Jadoon MZ, Zeb S, Sabir S. Awareness diabetic retinopathy amongst known diabetics. Journal of Postgraduate Medical Institute (Peshawar-Pakistan). 2011; 21(1).

17. Çetin EN, Zencir M, Fenkçi S, Akın F, Yıldırım C. Assessment of awareness of diabetic retinopathy and utilization of eye care services among Turkish diabetic patients. Primary care diabetes. 2013; 7(4):297-302. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcd.2013.04.002</u> PMid:23639610

18. Funatsu H, Hori S, Shimizu E, Nakamura S. Questionnaire survey on periodic ocular examination in Japanese diabetic patients. Am J Ophthalmol. 2003; 136(5): 955-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9394(03)00542-7