ID Design Press, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences. https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2018.148 eISSN: 1857-9655 **Review Article** 



# Stevens - Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis; Extensive Review of Reports of Drug-Induced Etiologies, and Possible Therapeutic Modalities

Adegbenro Omotuyi John Fakoya, Princess Omenyi, Precious Anthony, Favour Anthony, Precious Etti, David Adeiza Otohinoyi, Esther Olunu

All Saints University, School of Medicine, Roseau, Dominica

#### Abstract

Citation: Fakoya AOJ, Omenyi P, Anthony P, Anthony F, Etti P, Otohinoyi DA, Olunu E, Stevens - Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis; Extensive Review of Reports of Drug-Induced Etiologies, and Possible Therapeutic Modalities. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2018.148

Keywords: Stevens-Johnson Syndrome; Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis; Drug hypersensitivity; Adverse drug reaction; Skin: Mucous membranes

\*Correspondence: Adegbenro Omotuyi John Fakoya. All Saints University, School of Medicine, Roseau, Dominica. E-mail: gbenrofakoya@gmail.com

Received: 22-Dec-2017; Revised: 21-Feb-2018; Accepted: 28-Feb-2018; Online first: 28-Mar-2018

Copyright: © 2018 Adegbenro Omotuyi John Fakoya, Princess Omenyi, Precious Anthony, Favour Anthony, Precious Etti, David Adeiza Otohinoyi, Esther Olunu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BV-NC 4.0)

International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) Funding: This research did not receive any financial

support

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist

Introduction

Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS) is a dermatological condition with the more severe form being toxic epidermal necrolysis syndrome (TEN) or Lyell's syndrome. These two syndromes are said to exist at the two ends of the spectrum of an adverse skin reaction occurring from severe epidermolysis [1]. They present as severe exfoliative reactions affecting mainly the skin and mucous membranes [1]. The characteristic clinical presentation includes mucocutaneous tenderness, hemorrhagic erosions, and erosion of the mucous membrane. erythematous macules, blisters and denuded skin occurring as a result of the severe separation of the epidermis from the dermis [2]. The Bastuji-Garin et

Stevens - Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis are adverse hypersensitivity reactions that affect the skin and mucous membranes. They are characterised by erythematous macules and hemorrhagic erosions of the mucous membranes. Epidermal detachments of varying degrees of severity also occur in these conditions. Various aetiologies are associated with these conditions, with adverse drug reaction being the most common. Though the worldwide incidence of these conditions is recorded as low, diverse types of medication are being observed to lead to these conditions. This review compiles information on the details of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis, the pathophysiology, therapeutic management, and largely considers the drug-induced etiologies associated with these conditions.

al. criterium is used in the diagnosis of SJS/TEN in which patients are classified into three categories based on the degrees of skin detachment [2], while the international classification uses the affected body surface area (BSA); SJS involves lower than 10% of the BSA, while TEN affects greater than 30% of the BSA. The definition of SJS and TEN incorporates an overlap which shall be highlighted in Table 1 below. It has been shown that SJS and TEN have mortalities in the range of 10 to 50 percent [3].

SJS/TEN can present in any age group but occurs more frequently in women, HIV-Infected patients, and the elderly. The global incidence rate associated with TEN is low, estimated in 2005 between 0.4 and 1.2 or 1.3 per million persons yearly. An epidemiologic study of TEN in France gave a similar incidence of 1 to 1.3 cases/million/ annum [4]. However, as the years' advance, the numbers of incidence seem to be increasing in other parts of the world. Literature shows a correlation between the incidence and increasing age. The incidence increases sharply with increasing age, as does the use of drugs with ageing [5]. Females are most commonly affected represented by a female-male ratio of 3:2. The average age of patients reported is between the ages of 46 and 63, while the proportion of females is estimated between 61.3% and 64.3%, respectively [4]. Reports have also been linked to patients with Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)-1 infection, recipients of bone-marrow transplants and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) [5].

Drug hypersensitivity has been associated with relatively complex genetic factors, which have been studied in diverse populations as well as in a variety of ethnic background. Chung et al., demonstrated a uniquely strong correlation between drug hypersensitivity (Carbamazepine triggered SJS), ethnic background (Hans Chinese) and Human Leucocyte Antigen (HLA)-B\*1502 [3]. This strong association resulted in a further investigation into a similar cohort of Hong Kong Han Chinese having severe adverse cutaneous reactions to anticonvulsant drugs [6].

In another study of a Thai population, the susceptibility of individuals with HLA-B\*1502 to the anticonvulsant carbamazepine was confirmed [7]. However, weak association between а carbamazepine and HLA-B\*1502 was only demonstrable in an Indian-based study. While no genetic correlation could be established in Europeans and Japanese [8][9].

To further corroborate this non-genetic association in Europeans, a large study (RegiSCAR) carried out an HLA-B genotype on patients who severe adverse cutaneous suffered reactions triggered carbamazepine, lamotrigine, sulfamethoxazole, allopurinol, and NSAIDs of oxicamtype regarded as high-risk drugs. The study showed that HLA-B\*1502 is not a confirmatory marker for any of the studied high-risk drugs known to cause SJS/TEN, and hence cannot be authoritatively labelled the cause of pathology in Europeans [10][11]. Therefore, it can be concluded that for SJS/TEN in individuals exposed to Carbamazepine, "the genetic constellation of HLA-B\*1502 is not a population independent marker" [6].

HLA-B\*5801 is another genotype which has been highly correlated with SJS/TEN in Han Chinese patients exposed to allopurinol. The study showed a 100% correlation of allopurinol exposure to HLA -B\*5801 positive genotype in patients who presented with the adverse drug reactions [12]. Subsequent studies further revealed a high correlation between HLA-B\*5801 and SJS/TEN in Thai patients [7], Japanese patients [9], and too much lower degree patients of European descent (about 55% of cases) [11].

Epidermal necrosis that occurs as a part of the TEN disease process is mainly associated with massive keratinocyte apoptosis [4]. This is mediated by surface receptors such as tumour necrosis factor (TNF) receptor (Fas), and when coupled with the Fas ligand causes disassembly of DNA and cell death by the induction of apoptosis [7]. Cell death could also be modulated death receptor-independent by mechanisms that include the release granzyme-B and perforins from cytotoxic T lymphocytes, thus activating caspase-dependent or caspase-independent the mechanism [12].

# **Clinical Presentation**

The initial presentation of SJS/TEN may include non-specific symptoms such as fever, discomfort with swallowing, and stinging eyes. Typically, the cutaneous manifestations of SJS/TEN are usually preceded by these non-specific symptoms [13] [14]. Early locations for skin involvement include the presternal truncal region, the face, and could also involve the palms and the soles. In about 90% of patients, there is involvement of the mucosa of the mouth, genital and/or gastrointestinal tracts visible as erythema and erosions [13] [14]. Other frequent presentations at the beginning of the pathology is eve related and this ranges from acute conjunctivitis, erythema, edema of the eyelid, ocular discharge and crusting, to corneal erosion, the formation of conjunctival membrane or pseudomembrane, and in severe cases, to corneal ulcerations, cicatrizing lesions, fornix shortening, and symblepharon [15] [16]. However, late complications of SJS/TEN cannot be predicted by the severity of acute ocular manifestations [17]. Erythematous and livid macules typify the morphology of early cutaneous lesions.

Table 1: Clinical manifestations distinguishing SJS, SJS-TEN overlap, and TEN [2]

| Clinical entity                 | SJS   | SJS-TEN overlap  | TEN  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Primary Lesions                 | Dusky red lesions                                       | Dusky red lesions  | Poorly delineated<br>erythematous plaques                                    |
|                                 | Flat atypical targets                                   | Flat atypical targets                                    | Epidermal detachment<br>Dusky red lesions<br>Flat atypical targets           |
| Distribution                    | Isolated lesions<br>Confluence (+) on<br>face and trunk | Isolated lesions<br>Confluence (++) on<br>face and trunk | Isolated lesions (rare)<br>Confluence (+++) on face,<br>trunk, and elsewhere |
| Mucosal<br>involvement          | Yes   | Yes  | Yes  |
| Systemic symptoms               | Usually   | Always   | Always   |
| Detachment (%body surface area) | < 10  | 10-30  | > 30   |

The second phase is characterised by the development of wide-spread areas of epidermal separation. If no epidermal separation is observed, it warrants more detailed skin examination during which a tangential mechanical pressure is exerted on many erythematous areas, called Nikolsky sign. If the mechanical pressure causes and epidermal detachment, Nikolsky sign is positive. However, Nikolsky sign is not only defined for SJS or TEN, as it can equally be positive in conditions like the autoimmune bullous cutaneous pathologies like the pemphigus vulgaris [15] which can be utilised as a distinguishing feature from a similar autoimmune condition, bullous pemphigoid.

A major prognostic factor is the degree of cutaneous involvement. The evaluation of the degree of skin involvement should only include the already detached necrotic skin or detachable skin, that is, those that are Nikolsky positive [17].

Magina and colleagues [16] reported the following presentations for the late phase of TEN: Cutaneous hypo and hyperpigmentation (62.5%), nail dystrophies (37.5%), and the rest being eye complications. In another study, Yip et al. reported late ocular complications in about 50% of patients with TEN and reported them by ranking them in decreasing frequencies; "severe ocular dryness (46% of cases), trichiasis (16%), symblepharon (14%), distichiasis (14%). loss (5%). entropion visual (5%). ankyloblepharon (2%), lagophthalmos (2%), and corneal ulceration (2%)" [18]. Hypertrophic scars have only been reported in a handful of patients [19]. Reports have shown that 73% of patients with acute phase mucosal involvement subsequently presented with long-term complications with mucosal sequelae involving the oral and oesophagal mucosa majorly, and to a lesser degree, the genital and pulmonary mucosa [20]. Similarly, a nine-patient SJS/TEN study showed seven of the patients presenting with either keratoconjunctivitis or xerostomia or both, with a resemblance to Sjogren-like syndrome [21]. Furthermore, another report revealed a patient with "Sjögren-like pluriglandular exocrine insufficiency", which is also resulted in an impairment of the exocrine pancreas [22].

# Drug etiologies associated with SJS and TEN

A major percentage of the disease is caused by medications, while the remaining is due to upper respiratory infections such as HIV [23], Hepatitis virus, Herpes virus, Mycoplasma pneumoniae [24] [25]. Others include malignancies, as well as idiopathic. A substantial number of medications have been implicated in the aetiology of SJS/TEN. This article has attempted to review as many as possible of the published reports. The table 2 below summarises some drug categories that have been implicated in the aetiology of SJS/TEN.

#### Table 2: Reported cases of drug-induced sjs/ten

| Drug Classification                      | References |
|--|------------|
| Antibiotics                              | 23-34      |
| Anticonvulsants                          | 35-41      |
| Sulfonylureas                            | 42         |
| Diuretics                                | 43-44      |
| Analgesics                               | 45-48      |
| Antidepressants                          | 49-50      |
| Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors               | 51-54      |
| Xanthine Oxidase Inhibitors              | 55         |
| Androgenic hormones                      | 56-57      |
| Antineoplastic drugs                     | 58-60      |
| Antiviral drugs                          | 61-63      |
| Combination drug(Aggrenox)               | 64         |
| Immunosuppressant/modulators             | 65-67      |
| Antihistamines                           | 68         |
| Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors | 69         |
| Anti-osteoporotic agent                  | 70         |
| Contrast agent                           | 71         |
| Insecticide                              | 72-73      |

#### Antibiotics

*Sulfonamides:* Among the antibiotics, the most implicated high-risk cause of SJS/TEN are the sulfonamides especially *Trimethoprim* - *Sulfamethoxazole* which accounts for about 69% of cases. The other non-sulfonamide antibiotics are considered low risk [23] [24]. Figure 1, is a female patient with adverse cutaneous reaction from Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole prescribed for an upper respiratory tract infection.



Figure 1: Patient with SJS/TEN caused by Trimethoprim - Sulfamethoxazole, before the commencement of therapy

Aminopenicillins: Aminopenicillins have been shown to be the most frequent causes of SJS when compared to the other antibiotics. This could be due to how frequently they are prescribed [25]. Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (Co-amoxiclav) even resulted in SJS in an 18-month-old child treated postcaustic poisoning and esophagogastric necrosis [26].

*Fluoroquinolones: Ciprofloxacin* induced SJS in a patient treated for otitis media reported in Sweden. [27] *Norfloxacin* induced SJS may appear similar to pemphigus, hence making early diagnosis a bit difficult [28].

*Tetracyclines: Doxycycline* has been implicated in the aetiology of SJS in the systemic use of ophthalmologic eyelid and ocular surface disorders [29]. *Minocycline* has been shown to induce both SJS and concurrent bilateral Parotitis in a young boy [30].

*Macrolides: Azithromycin* has been shown to cause SJS after a five-day outpatient completion [31].

*Cephalosporins: Cefotaxime* has been implicated in causing SJS when administered to an elderly lady for treatment of upper urinary infection [32], likewise *Cefepime* [33].

*Metronidazole:* Metronidazole induced SJS tends to start off with neurological manifestations before mucocutaneous and skin eruptions. This is worth noting, as patients should be advised of the early symptoms to prevent this rare adverse effect [34].

#### Anticonvulsants

*Phenytoin:* There is a possible association between the HLA-B\*1502 allele and phenytoininduced SJS in Asian patients. This is still under review by the FDA. This could mean a possible genetic predisposition to getting SJS in certain populations as opposed to others [35].

*Lamotrigine:* A potential rare side effect of SJS/TEN has been implicated regardless of appropriate dosing and adjustments; Concurrent use with Valproic acid increases risk [36].

*Carbamazepine:* Increased frequency of its use for pain control has further increased its implication in causing SJS/TEN [37].

*Oxcarbazepine:* A case was reported in India after use for treatment of epilepsy in a 21 – year-old male. SJS occurred 2 weeks during treatment despite accurate titrations [38].

*Phenobarbital:* Risk increases within the first 2 months of treatment. Genetic predisposition has been associated with this medication in conjunction with SJS/TEN [39].

Sodium valproate: A potential cause of SJS/TEN, though lower risk than the rest of the anticonvulsants. Increased risk when used together with other anticonvulsants. When used as monotherapy, it rarely causes SJS. However, if it occurs, it seems to be restricted to the involvement of only the oral mucosa [40].

*Levetiracetam:* It has been implicated in hypersensitivity syndrome reactions as well as SJS. Although rare it can be probably dose related [41].

#### Sulfonylureas

*Glipizide:* A study showed the increase in dosage from 5mg to 10 mg in a certain patient triggered a complex immune reaction that resulted in SJS the following day, it was postulated it could be due to the certain delayed immune reaction and possibly due to hapten hypothesis [42].

#### Diuretics

*Furosemide:* A potential adverse effect is SJS, especially when used as an additive with other sulfa-containing drugs [43].

Acetazolamide: A commonly used drug in ophthalmology. It is also a sulfonamide as well as a carbonic anhydrase inhibitor. It's been associated with fatal SJS in patients of Korean and Japanese descents. HLA-B59, which is specific to Japanese descents, is a risk factor [44].

### Analgesics

# i) Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS):

*Diclofenac:* It could cause SJS especially in the elderly; caution should be applied when prescribing this drug [45].

*Ibuprofen:* SJS occurred in a Nepali male after taking 400mg of Ibuprofen every 8 hours for 2days. It could be due to genetic predisposition by HLA type or some inflammatory mediators causing epithelial damage [46].

*Rofecoxib:* A selective COX-2 inhibitor that has decreased gastrointestinal side effects was shown to cause SJS after three weeks of administration to a patient with systemic arthralgia [47].

#### ii) Paracetamol (Acetaminophen):

Paracetamol was shown to cause SJS/TEN despite its fair safety margin. It was shown to be dose-dependent in causing SJS [48].

#### Antidepressants

*Mirtazapine:* A patient with Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) who took mirtazapine for depression presented with SJS after 15 days of use. Though a very rare cause of SJS. The presence of the autoimmune disease led to a dilemma between either SLE or mirtazapine as the cause. The history and resolution of the disease eventually pointed to Mirtazapine as the culprit [49].

*Duloxetine:* The study showed 0.01% of patients treated with this medication could potentially cause SJS. An adolescent was affected in this study; so far it had only been adults involved [50].

### Tyrosine kinase inhibitors

*Afatinib:* SJS can be seen in patients treated with Afatinib for Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [51].

Vandetanib: Also used in the treatment of NSCLC, was shown to cause SJS in certain patients

[52].

*Imatinib:* SJS occurred in a patient treated with Imatinib for chronic myeloid leukaemia after treatment for 2 days. Caution should be taken in the prescription of this medication, as SJS is a potential adverse effect [53].

*Sunitinib:* A patient was treated with Sunitib for renal cell carcinoma with metastasis to the lung. On day 14 of treatment, the patient presented with SJS [54].

### Xanthine oxidase inhibitor

Allopurinol: Commonly used in the treatment of chronic gout. It is usually considered a safe drug, and due to its frequent administration, increased risk for SJS/TEN is possible, also common in genetically predisposed patients especially in the Han Chinese population [55].

### Androgenic hormones

Danazol: A patient diagnosed with systemic lupus erythematosus was prescribed danazol for treatment of autoimmune hemolytic anaemia. It has been approved as a second line agent in SLE related haematological disorders including thrombocytopenia [56].

Androgenic anabolic steroids: An athlete involved in the illicit use of steroids presented with SJS immediately after injecting drostanolone propionate, danazol, and metenolone enanthate [57]. Considering the common use of steroids for body performance, patients should be alerted to this rare side effect.

# Antineoplastic drugs

*Paclitaxel:* An antineoplastic agent used to treat several cancers; Though SJS could be a rare complication, caution is advised when prescribed. A 53 – year-old male treated with Paclitaxel manifested symptoms after administration of second dose [58].

*Docetaxel:* Due to its strong toxicity, a patient presented with SJS after its use as a chemotherapeutic agent. Skin eruptions erupted after the first cycle of chemotherapy [59].

*Tegafur/gimeracil/uracil (TS-1):* A 78-year-old Japanese male presented with SJS eight days after treatment for carcinoma of the oral floor. Further tests showed an association between drug eruptions and antinuclear antibodies and positive drug-induced lymphocyte stimulation test [DLST] [60].

# Anti viral drugs

i) Neuraminidase inhibitor (Oseltamivir);

the medication is popularly known as Tamiflu and is indicated for prevention and treatment of Influenza. Considering the increased use of this drug, there are concerns about an increased risk for SJS [61].

ii) Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (Adefovir): Commonly used in the treatment of Hepatitis B and Herpes simplex virus. A case of SJS was reported due to adefovir use [62].

**iii)** Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (Nevirapine): Commonly used in the combination treatment for HIV. Patients infected with HIV-1 are more prone to SJS [63]. Figure 2 shows a healing adverse cutaneous reaction from Nevirapine (a component of the HAART) for a patient diagnosed with HIV.



Figure 2: Patient with SJS/TEN caused by Nevirapine responding to therapy

### Aggrenox

This is a combination of Aspirin and Dipyridamole, it is mainly used for stroke reduction in high-risk patients. It caused SJS in an elderly Chinese woman with transient ischemic attack who was recently switched from aspirin to Aggrenox [64].

### Immunosuppressants/immunomodulators

i) Immuno-modulatory imide drugs (IMiDs):

*Thalidomide:* This is approved for use in the treatment of multiple myeloma. It inhibits Interleukin 6 (IL-6), a vital component in the proliferation of myeloma cells. A study once showed thalidomide could be used to treat SJS/TENS. However a case of SJS was reported with its use. This contradiction is worth noting [65].

*Lenalidomide:* Though similar to thalidomide, it has shown to have lesser adverse effects. A rare adverse effect is SJS [66].

ii) Imidazole nucleoside:

Mizoribine: An immunosuppressant used in

renal transplants, lupus nephritis and rheumatoid arthritis. A 32-year-old Japanese woman diagnosed with SLE was started on mizoribine for lupus nephritis. She desired pregnancy hence cyclophosphamide was not used. Mizoribine induced SJS 6 months later despite its known safety margin [67].

### Antihistamines

*Fexofenadine:* Telfast-D a drug containing both fexofenadine-pseudoephedrine was prescribed to a patient due to unrelenting allergic rhinitis. It further resulted in SJS. It was confirmed by skin testing (prick, intradermal and patch) which showed a positive reaction 96 hours later [68].

#### Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors

*Ramipril:* Ramipril resulted in SJS after being newly prescribed for a patient for hypertension. ACE inhibitors are known to interfere directly with cell cohesion causing bullous eruptions, which are similar to pemphigus vulgaris or bullous pemphigoid. These reactions are usually non-immunological [69].

### Antiosteoporotic agent

Strontium ranelate: It is known as a dual action bone agent (DABA) because of increases deposition of bone by osteoblasts and decreases resorption of bone by osteoclasts. A 67-year-old Chinese woman was diagnosed with SJS 3 weeks after starting this medication for treatment of post-menopausal osteoporosis [70].

# Other reported non-therapeutic agents/chemicals in the aetiology of SJS

*lopentol:* This is a contrast medium. A 6year old diagnosed with Hodgkin's disease was injected with iopentol to undergo CT scan to explore his lymphadenopathy. Three days later he presented with SJS [71]. Knowing how common CT scans are used, it is worth noting the potential adverse effect of contrast medium.

*Carbamate:* This is an insecticide. A 63 – year-old farmer was exposed to the insecticide two days before presenting with SJS. He wasn't on any medication. He admitted to contact of the carbamate with his skin [72]. There has been a reported case of TENS associated with oral ingestion of carbamate as a suicide attempt [73].

# The therapeutic approach to SJS and TENS

The treatment modality employed in patient management is dependent on the aetiology of the

disease which as previously mentioned could be; infectious, drug-induced, as well as malignancies or idiopathic [74].

The first step to the treatment of SJS and TENS is to eliminate the causative factor. In cases of SJS/TENS caused by infections with organisms, the patient is treated with the appropriate antimicrobial. For drug-induced SJS/TENS, the offending drug is withdrawn immediately [75].

The next step is the provision of supportive care for the patient. This includes administration of intravenous fluids as well as parenteral or nasogastric feeding. Patients are also to be kept in a warm environment [76].

The final step is symptomatic treatment. Several methods have been employed in symptomatic treatment (Table 3).

Table 3: Various approaches in the management of SJS and  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{TEN}}$ 

| Therapy                   | Mechanism of Action                        | Advantages                                 | Disadvantages                                      | Reference   |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|-------------|
| Systemic                  | They decrease                              | Since SJS/TEN is                           | Corticosteroids are                                | [77, 78]    |
| Corticosteroids           | immune response to                         | thought to be as a                         | possible causative factors                         |             |
|                           | an exogenous agent.                        | result of an immune                        | for SJS/TENS. Some                                 |             |
|                           |  | response of the                            | studies show that they can<br>increase the risk of |             |
|                           |  | body to an<br>exogenous agent,             | infections.  |             |
|                           |  | corticosteroids may                        | mections.  |             |
|                           |  | decrease the                               |  |             |
|                           |  | severity of this                           |  |             |
|                           |  | response.                                  |  |             |
| Human                     | In addition to a                           | Autoantibodies in                          | Some studies record                                | [77, 78]    |
| Intravenous               | combination of                             | IVIG are believed to                       | higher mortality rates with                        |             |
| Immune Globulin<br>(IVIG) | immunoglobulins, IVIG                      | reduce                                     | the use of IVIG when                               |             |
|                           | contains                                   | complications of                           | compared to supportive                             |             |
|                           | autoantibodies against                     | TEN. It can be used<br>in combination with | care or corticosteroids                            |             |
|                           | Fas receptors. Fas<br>receptors are on the | corticosteroids as                         |  |             |
|                           | surface of                                 | management                                 |  |             |
|                           | keratinocytes. When                        | therapy resulting in                       |  |             |
|                           | bound to by the Fas                        | a better chance of                         |  |             |
|                           | ligand they mediate                        | decreasing mortality                       |  |             |
|                           | the Fas-Fas ligand-                        | rate. It was                               |  |             |
|                           | mediated apoptosis.                        | discovered that                            |  |             |
|                           | The autoantibodies in                      | when compared to                           |  |             |
|                           | IVIG bind to Fas                           | supportive care                            |  |             |
|                           | receptors to prevent                       | only, early                                |  |             |
|                           | this apoptotic process                     | intervention with                          |  |             |
|                           |  | IVIG appeared to<br>significantly improve  |  |             |
|                           |  | the ocular                                 |  |             |
|                           |  | involvement of                             |  |             |
|                           |  | SJS/TEN.                                   |  |             |
| Cyclosporine              | It inhibits calcineurin                    | Patients treated                           | Leukoencephalopathy,                               | [77, 79, 80 |
|                           | and thus decreases T                       | with cyclosporine                          | neutropenia, pneumonia,                            | -           |
|                           | cell activity. It acts as                  | completed re-                              | and nephropathy.                                   |             |
|                           | an   | epithelization more                        |  |             |
|                           | immunosuppressant. It                      | quickly than with                          |  |             |
|                           | can also prevent the                       | other treatments.<br>Fewer numbers of      |  |             |
|                           | process of apoptosis<br>through the        | patients treated                           |  |             |
|                           | downregulation of NF-                      | developed organ                            |  |             |
|                           | kB.  | failure and died                           |  |             |
|                           | 1121                                       | than with other                            |  |             |
|                           |  | treatments.                                |  |             |
| Plasmapheresis            | This process involves                      | - It is a safe                             |  | [78]        |
|                           | the filtration the                         | procedure.                                 |  |             |
|                           | patient's blood.                           |  |  |             |
|                           | <b>T</b> 1                                 | -it has yielded                            |  |             |
|                           | -The cellular                              | favourable results                         |  |             |
|                           | component is<br>separated, and the         | with survival rates<br>of 77-100% after 1  |  |             |
|                           | plasma is discarded.                       | to 8 exchanges.                            |  |             |
|                           | p.a.onia io alocardeu.                     | to o oxonangeo.                            |  |             |
|                           | -Artificial plasma and                     |  |  |             |
|                           | albumin is added to                        |  |  |             |
|                           | the filtered cellular                      |  |  |             |
|                           | components and then                        |  |  |             |
|                           | re-transfused back into                    |  |  |             |
|                           | the patient.                               |  |  |             |
|                           | -This is done to                           |  |  |             |
|                           | eliminate the non-                         |  |  |             |
|                           | dialyzable pathogens                       |  |  |             |
|                           | in the plasma.                             |  |  |             |
| Granulocyte               | -It increases neutrophil                   | -It can reduce the                         |  | [77]        |
| Colony                    | counts.                                    | risk of infection in                       |  |             |
| Stimulating Factor        |  | neutropenic patients                       |  |             |
|                           |  | with SJS/TEN.                              |  |             |

Other potential therapeutic measures like the TNF-alpha inhibitor, thalidomide and

Cyclophosphamide have been associated with increased mortality [77].

In conclusion, SJS and TEN are both life threatening adverse hypersensitivity reactions. Proper understanding of the etiology as well as the progression of these conditions is necessary for early diagnosis as well as treatment. It is expected that the investigation of the mechanism of action of drugs associated with SJS and TEN will improve the current understanding of the condition with aim of eliminating its incidence. There are existing treatment modalities for these conditions, however, there is no therapeutic measure defined as superior to others. It has however been observed that the earlier these conditions are diagnosed and managed the better the prognosis.

# **Authors Contribution**

AOJ, PO, PA, FA, PE, DO and EO wrote the manuscript; AOJ and DO reviewed the manuscript; all the authors approved the manuscript for publication.

### Acknowledgement

The authors wish to acknowledge the support of the administration of All Saints University School of Medicine, Dominica. The authors also appreciate the patients for giving their consent to use their pictures, though efforts were also made to ensure the privacy of their identity.

# References

1. Mockenhaupt M. The current understanding of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. Expert Rev Clin Immunol. 2011; 7: 803-813. <u>https://doi.org/10.1586/eci.11.66</u> PMid:22014021

2. Bastuji-Garin S, Rzany B, Stern RS, Shear NH, Naldi L Clinical classification of cases of toxic epidermal necrolysis, Stevens-Johnson syndrome and erythema multiforme. Arch Dermatol. 1993; 129: 92-96.

https://doi.org/10.1001/archderm.1993.01680220104023

3. Chung WH, Hung SI, Hong HS, Hsih MS, Yang LC, Ho HC, Wu JY, Chen YT. Medical genetics: a marker for Stevens-Johnson syndrome. Nature. 2004; 428:486. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/428486a</u> PMid:15057820

4. Downey A, Jackson C, Harun N, Cooper A. Toxic epidermal necrolysis: Review of pathogenesis and management. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2012; 66(6):995-1003. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2011.09.029 PMid:22169256

5. Schwartz R, McDonough P, Lee B. Toxic epidermal necrolysis. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2013;

69(2):187.e1-187.e16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2013.05.002</u> PMid:23866879

 Man CB, Kwan P, Baum L, Yu E, Lau KM, Cheng AS, Ng MH. Association between HLA-B\*1502 allele and antiepileptic druginduced cutaneous reactions in Han Chinese. Epilepsia. 2007; 48:1015–1018. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1167.2007.01022.x</u> PMid:17509004

7. Tassaneeyakul W, Tiamkao S, Jantararoungtong T, Chen P, Lin SY, Chen WH, Konyoung P, Khunarkornsiri U, Auvichayapat N, Pavakul K. et al. Association between HLA-B\*1502 and carbamazepine-induced severe cutaneous adverse drug reactions in a Thai population. Epilepsia. 2010; 51:926–930. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1167.2010.02533.x PMid:20345939

8. Alfirevic A, Jorgensen AL, Williamson PR, Chadwick DW, Park BK, Pirmohamed M. HLA-B locus in Caucasian patients with carbamazepine hypersensitivity. Pharmacogenomics. 2006; 7:813–818. <u>https://doi.org/10.2217/14622416.7.6.813</u> PMid:16981842

9. Kaniwa N, Saito Y, Aihara M, Matsunaga K, Tohkin M, Kurose K, Sawada J, Furuya H, Takahashi Y, Muramatsu M. et al. HLA-B locus in Japanese patients with anti-epileptics and allopurinol-related Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. Pharmacogenomics. 2008; 9:1617–1622.

https://doi.org/10.2217/14622416.9.11.1617 PMid:19018717

10. Lonjou C, Thomas L, Borot N, Ledger N, de Toma C, LeLouet H, Graf E, Schumacher M, Hovnanian A, Mockenhaupt M, Roujeau JC. A marker for Stevens-Johnson syndrome: ethnicity matters. Pharmacogenomics J. 2006; 6:265–268. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.tpj.6500356 PMid:16415921

11. Lonjou C, Borot N, Sekula P, Ledger N, Thomas L, Halevy S, Naldi L, Bouwes-Bavinck JN, Sidoroff A, de Toma C. et al. A European study of HLA-B in Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis related to five high-risk drugs. Pharmacogenet Genomics. 2008; 18:99–107.

https://doi.org/10.1097/FPC.0b013e3282f3ef9c PMid:18192896

12. Hung SI, Chung WH, Liou LB, Chu CC, Lin M, Huang HP, Lin YL, Lan JL, Yang LC, Hong HS. et al. HLA-B\*5801 allele as a genetic marker for severe cutaneous adverse reactions caused by allopurinol. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005; 102:4134–4139. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0409500102 PMid:15743917 PMCid:PMC554812

13. Lebargy F, Wolkenstein P, Gisselbrecht M, Lange F, Fleury-Feith J, Delclaux C, Roupie E, Revuz J, Roujeau JC: Pulmonary complications in toxic epidermal necrolysis: a prospective clinical study. Intensive Care Med. 1997; 23: 1237-1244. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001340050492

14. Revuz J, Penso D, Roujeau JC, Guillaume JC, Payne CR, Wechsler J, Touraine R: Toxic epidermal necrolysis. Clinical findings and prognosis factors in 87 patients. Arch Dermatol. 1987; 123: 1160-1165.

https://doi.org/10.1001/archderm.1987.01660330071012 PMid:3632000

15. Chang YS, Huang FC, Tseng SH, Hsu CK, Ho CL, Sheu HM: Erythema multiforme, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and toxic epidermal necrolysis: acute ocular manifestations, causes, and management. Cornea. 2007; 26: 123-129. https://doi.org/10.1097/ICO.0b013e31802eb264 PMid:17251797

16. Sotozono C, Ueta M, Koizumi N, Inatomi T, Shirakata Y, Ikezawa Z, Hashimoto K, Kinoshita S: Diagnosis and treatment of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis with ocular complications. Ophthalmology. 2009; 116: 685-690. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2008.12.048 PMid:19243825

17. Yip LW, Thong BY, Lim J, Tan AW, Wong HB, Handa S, Heng WJ: Ocular manifestations and complications of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: an Asian series. Allergy. 2007; 62: 527-531. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2006.01295.x</u> PMid:17313402

18. Magina S, Lisboa C, Leal V, Palmares J, Mesquita-Guimaraes J: Dermatological and ophthalmological sequels in toxic epidermal necrolysis. Dermatology. 2003; 207: 33-36. https://doi.org/10.1159/000070938 PMid:12835545 19. Sheridan RL, Schulz JT, Ryan CM, Schnitzer JJ, Lawlor D, Driscoll DN, Donelan MB, Tompkins RG: Long-term consequences of toxic epidermal necrolysis in children. Pediatrics. 2002; 109: 74-78. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.109.1.74

20. Oplatek A, Brown K, Sen S, Halerz M, Supple K, Gamelli RL: Long-term follow-up of patients treated for toxic epidermal necrolysis. J Burn Care Res. 2006; 27: 26-33. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.bcr.0000194268.01514.f8 PMid:16566534

21. Roujeau JC, Guillaume JC, Revuz J, Touraine R: Reporting adverse drug reactions. Lancet. 1985: 2: 1244-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(85)90771-8

22. Saban J, Pais JR, Rodriguez JL, Boixeda D: Sjogren-like pluriglandular exocrine insufficiency after drug-induced toxic epidermal necrolysis. Postgrad Med J. 1991; 67: 195-197. https://doi.org/10.1136/pgmj.67.784.195

23. Mockenhaupt M, Viboud C, Dunant A, Naldi L, Halevy S,Bouwes Bavinck JN, et al. Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: assessment of medication riskswith emphasis on recently marketed drugs. The EuroSCAR study. J Invest Dermatol 2008; 128:35-44. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jid.5701033 PMid:17805350

24. Rojeau JC, Kelly JP et al: Medication use and the risk of Steven Johnson syndrome or toxic epidermal necrolysis. The New England Journal of Medicine, 1995; 333:1600-1608.

https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199512143332404 PMid:7477195

25. Ling YF, Yang CH et al .Severe cutaneous adverse reactions related to systemic antibiotics. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2014; 58(10):1377–1385. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciu126</u> PMid:24599767

26. Fatallah N et al. Co-amoxiclav-induced Stevens Johnson Syndrome in a child. Pan African Medical Journal. 2013; 14:38. https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2013.14.38.1408

27. Hallgren J et al. Stevens-Johnson associated with ciprofloxacin: A review of adverse cutaneous events reported in Sweden associated with this drug. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2003; 49:s267-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0190-9622(03)00478-X

28. Maciejewska et al. Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis presumably induced by norfloxacin. Postep Derm Alergol. 2014; 31, 3:194–196.

http://doi.org/10.5114/pdia.2014.40796 PMid:25097494 PMCid:PMC4112256

29. Lau B ,Mutyala D,Dhaliwal D.A Case report of Doxycycline induced Steven Johnson syndrome. Corneal Journal. 2011; 30: 595-597. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/ICO.0b013e3181f05773</u> PMid:21099409

30. Yoon J, Lee SH et al: Concurrence of stevens johnson syndrome and bilateral parotitis after minocycline therapy. Case rep dermatol. 2010; 2:88-94. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000314952</u> PMid:21103193 PMCid:PMC2988842

31. Nappe TM. Steven Johnson syndrome after treatment with azithromycin. An uncommon culprit. American journal of emergency medicine. 2016; 34:676e1-676e3.

32. Liberopoulos E et al. Possible Cefotaxime-Induced Stevens– Johnson Syndrome. The Annals of Pharmacotherapy. 2003; 37:812-814. <u>https://doi.org/10.1345/aph.1C453</u> PMid:12773067

33. Luciano M, et al. Stevens-Johnson syndrome caused by cefepime; Journal of Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapeutics. 2015; 2015:35.

34. Mazumdar, Goutameswar, and Koushik Shome. Stevens-Johnson syndrome following use of metronidazole in a dental patient. Indian Journal of Pharmacology. 2014; 46(1):121. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7613.125193</u> PMid:24550598 PMCid:PMC3912796

35. Hun YF, Wu XT, et al. Phenytoin-induced Stevens–Johnson syndrome with negative HLA-B\*1502 allele in mainland China: Two cases. Elsevier seizure. 2011; 20:431-432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seizure.2011.01.005 PMid:21334226 36. Hilas O, Charneski L. Lamotrigine induced steven johnson syndrome. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2007; 64:273-275. https://doi.org/10.2146/ajhp060071 PMid:17244876

37. Devi, K., et al. The commonest cause of toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome: A study of 7 years. Indian Journal of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology. 2005; 71(5):325. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0378-6323.16782</u>

38. Sharma SR, Sharma N, Yeolekar ME. Oxcarbazepine-induced Stevens Johnson syndrome: A rare case report. Indian Dermatol Online J. 2011; 2:13-5. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-5178.79861</u> PMid:23130207 PMCid:PMC3481788

39. Gaur, Sumit, and Rupali Agnihotri. Phenobarbital induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome in a child. Indian Journal of Pharmacology. 2012; 44(4):531. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7613.99344</u> PMid:23087523 PMCid:PMC3469965

40. Naveen, K, et al. Stevens-Johnson syndrome induced by sodium valproate monotherapy. International Journal of Critical Illness and Injury Science. 2012; 2(1):44. https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-5151.94904 PMid:22624102 PMCid:PMC3354377

41. Zou LP, Ding CH, et al. Stevens Johnson syndrome induced by levetiracetam. Elsevier Seizure. 2012; 21:823-825. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seizure.2012.09.005 PMid:23036769

42. Cheng JB,Anderson RC et al.Stevens Johnson Syndrome associated with Glipizide Therapy. Dermatitis. 2006; 17(1):36-38. https://doi.org/10.2310/6620.2006.05038

43. Wright AA, Vesta K, et al. Stevens-Johnson Syndrome Associated With Furosemide: A Case Report. Journal of Pharmacy practice. 2010; 23(4):367-370. https://doi.org/10.1177/0897190010362260 PMid:21507837

44. Her Y et al. Stevens–Johnson syndrome induced by acetazolamide. Journal of Dermatology. 2011; 38: 272–275.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1346-8138.2010.00921.x PMid:21342230 45. Babamahmoodi F, Eslami G, et al. Diclofenac-Induced Stevens-Johnson Syndrome: A Case Report . Iranian journal of pharmacology & therapeutics. 2012; 11: 33-35.

46. Angadi S, Karn A. Ibuprofen induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome-toxic epidermal necrolysis in Nepal. Asia Pac Allergy. 2016; 6:70-73. <u>https://doi.org/10.5415/apallergy.2016.6.1.70</u> PMid:26844223 PMCid:PMC4731484

47. Goldberg D, Panigrahi D, et al. A Case of Rofecoxib-Associated Stevens-Johnson syndrome With Corneal and Conjunctival Changes. Cornea. 2004; 23(7):736-737. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ico.0000126330.77228.a3 PMid:15448505

48. Biswal S, Sourav S. Paracetamol induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome – toxic epidermal necrolysis overlap syndrome. International journal of Dermatology. 2014; 53:1042–1044. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijd.12355 PMid:24673330

 Bhasin A, et al. First case of mirtazepine-induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome from India; Indian Journal of Pharmacology.
2012; 44(5):656. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7613.100411</u>
PMid:23112435 PMCid:PMC3480806

50. Strawn J, Whitsel R, et al. Atypical stevens Johnson syndrome in an adolescenttreated with duloxetine. Journal of child and adolescent psychopharmacology. 2011; 21:91-92. https://doi.org/10.1089/cap.2010.0071 PMid:21309700

51. Doesch J, Debus D et al. Afatinib-associated Stevens-Johnson syndrome in an EGFR-mutated lung cancer patient. Elsevier. Lung Cancer. 2016; 95:35–38.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lungcan.2016.02.015 PMid:27040849

52. Yoon J, et al. Stevens-Johnson Syndrome Induced by Vandetanib. Ann Dermatol. 2011; 23(Suppl. 3): S343-5. https://doi.org/10.5021/ad.2011.23.S3.S343 PMid:22346274 PMCid:PMC3276793

53. Jha P, Himanshu D, Jain N, Singh AK. Imatinib-induced Stevens-Johnsons syndrome. BMJ case reports. 2013; 2013:bcr2012007926.

54. Lee J et al. Case of sunitinib-induced Stevens–Johnson syndrome.Journal of dermatology. 2013; 40(9):753-4. https://doi.org/10.1111/1346-8138.12219 PMid:23855706

55. Mockenhaupt M. Allopurinol is the most frequent cause of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. Expert Review of Dermatology. 2012; 7(3):213. https://doi.org/10.1586/edm.12.5

56. Koh WL, Tay YK, Koh MJA. Danazol-induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome in a patient with systemic lupus erythematosus. Dermatology Online Journal. 2015; 21(1):17.

57. Cocoa S, Viviano M. Stevens-Johnson syndrome and abuse of anabolic steroids. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2017; 43:57-60. <u>https://doi.org/10.5125/jkaoms.2017.43.1.57</u> PMid:28280713 PMCid:PMC5342976

58. Hiraki et al. Stevens-Johnson Syndrome Induced by Paclitaxel in a Patient with Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Lung. Anticancer research. 2004; 24:1135-1138. PMid:15154637

59. Sawada Y. Docetaxel-induced StevensJohnson syndrome with regenerating epidermis composed of atypical keratinocytes. European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology. 2009; 23:1333-1334. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-3083.2009.03183.x</u> PMid:19453796

60. Minakawa S, et al. Tegafur/gimeracil/oteracil (TS-1) induced StevenseJohnson syndrome. Dermatologica Sinica. 2013; 31:154e156.

61. Smith EV, Pynn MC, Blackford S, Leopold DJ. Stevens– Johnson syndrome secondary to oseltamivir (Tamiflu®). Br J Gen Pract. 2010; 60(571):133-4. <u>https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp10X483292</u> PMid:20132714 PMCid:PMC2814276

62. Chattopadhyay P, Sarma N. Adefovir-induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis overlap syndrome. Singapore Med J. 2011; 52(2):31-34.

63. Singh H, et al. Nevirapine induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome in an HIV infected patient. Indian Journal of Pharmacology. 2011; 43(1):84. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7613.75680</u> PMid:21455432 PMCid:PMC3062132

64. Jao T, et al. Aggrenox (Asasantin retard)-induced Stevens– Johnson syndrome. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology. 2008; 67(2):264-265. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2125.2008.03340.x</u> PMid:19094159 PMCid:PMC2670386

65. Das A et al. Johnson syndrome with toxic epidermal necrolysis due to thalidomide in a case of multiple myeloma. Indian Journal of Pharmacology. 2014; 46(5):557. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7613.140598</u> PMid:25298592 PMCid:PMC4175899

66. Allegra A, Alonci A, et al. Stevens–Johnson syndrome after lenalidomide therapy for multiple myeloma: a case report and a review of treatment options. Hematol Oncol. 2012; 30:41–45. https://doi.org/10.1002/hon.1000 PMid:21702057

67. Kakushi M, Atsuo O, et al. Stevens–Johnson syndrome induced by mizoribine in a patient with systemic lupus erythematosus, Modern Rheumatology. 2006; 16(2):113-116. https://doi.org/10.3109/s10165-006-0467-5

68. Teo SL, Santosa A. Stevens-Johnson Syndrome/Toxic

Epidermal Necrolysis Overlap Induced by Fexofenadine. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2017; 27(3):191-193. https://doi.org/10.18176/jiaci.0158 PMid:28570227

69. Oskay T. Stevens–Johnson Syndrome associated with Ramipril. International Journal of Dermatology 2003; 42:580–58. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-4362.2003.01838.x PMid:12839617

70. Tan KW, Wang YS, Tay YK. Stevens-Johnson Syndrome Due to Strontium Ranelate. Annals Academy of Medicine. 2011; 40:11.

71. Lafitte E, et al. Severe Stevens–Johnson syndrome induced by contrast medium iopentol. British Journal of Dermatology. 2004; 150: 376–377. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2003.05763.x

72. Lim JH, et al. Stevens–Johnson syndrome following occupational exposure to carbamate insecticide. Journal of Dermatology. 2010; 37:182–184. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1346-8138.2009.00784.x</u> PMid:20175856

73. Rajendran N, Chitfambalam PC, Jayaraman AM. Carbamate pesticide induced toxic epidermal necrolysis.Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol. 2001; 67:253–254. PMid:17664764

74. Zaidi M, et al. Amoxycillin and Clavulanic Acid Induced Stevens-Johnson Syndrome: A Case Report. Excli Journal. 2017; 16:748–751. PMid:28827990 PMCid:PMC5547378

75. Biswal S, Sahoo SS. Paracetamol induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome–toxic epidermal necrolysis overlap syndrome. International journal of dermatology. 2014; 53(8):1042-4. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijd.12355 PMid:24673330

76. Bajwa SJ, Kaur J. Stevens–Johnsons syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: Need to look beyond current etiologies, diagnostics, and therapeutics. Medical Journal of Dr. DY Patil Vidyapeeth. 2017; 10(1):68. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0975-2870.197913</u>

77. Kohanim S, Palioura S, Saeed HN, Akpek EK, Amescua G, Basu S, Blomquist PH, Bouchard CS, Dart JK, Gai X, Gomes JA. Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis–a comprehensive review and guide to therapy. I. Systemic disease. The ocular surface. 2016; 14(1):2-19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.itos.2015.10.002 PMid:26549248

78. Schneider J, Cohen P. Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis: A Concise Review with a Comprehensive Summary of Therapeutic Interventions Emphasizing Supportive Measures. Advances in Therapy. 2017; 34(6):1235-1244. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-017-0530-y PMid:28439852 PMCid:PMC5487863

79. Maciejewska J, et al. Stevens-Johnson syndrome/Toxic epidermal necrolysis presumably induced by norfloxacin. Advances in Dermatology and Allergology. 2014; 3:194–196. https://doi.org/10.5114/pdia.2014.40796 PMid:25097494 PMCid:PMC4112256

80. Allegra, A, et al. Stevens-Johnson syndrome after lenalidomide therapy for multiple myeloma: a case report and a review of treatment options. Hematological Oncology. 2011; 30(1):41–45. https://doi.org/10.1002/hon.1000 PMid:21702057