ID Design Press, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences. https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2019.442 eISSN: 1857-9655 **Tropical and Infectious Diseases Control and Management** 



# Comparison of Carcinoembryonic Antigen Levels Among Degree of Differentiation and Colorectal Cancer's Location in Medan

Gontar Alamsyah Siregar<sup>\*</sup>, Henry Sibarani

Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

#### Abstract

Citation: Siregar GA, Sibarani H. Comparison of Carcinoembryonic Antigen Levels Among Degree of Differentiation and Colorectal Cancer's Location in Medan. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2019.442

Keywords: Carcinoembryonic Antigen; Colorectal Carcinoma

\*Correspondence: Gontar Alamsyah Siregar. Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia. Email: gontarsi@gmail.com Received: 44.0.000

Received: 14-Aug-2019; Revised: 15-Sep-2019; Accepted: 16-Sep-2019; Online first: 14-Oct-2019

Copyright: © 2019 Gontar Alamsyah Siregar, Henry Sibarani. This is an open-access anticle distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution. NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) Funding: This research did not receive any financial sunnort

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist **BACKGROUND:** The most widely used tumour markers, especially in colorectal malignancy, is Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA).

AIM: This study was aimed to investigate CEA value among the degree of differentiation and tumour location.

**METHODS:** A cross-sectional analytical study was used in this study on eighty consecutive patients with colorectal carcinoma (CRC) at Adam Malik General Hospital and Permata Bunda Hospital, Medan, Indonesia. All data were analysed using SPSS for Windows version 21.

**RESULTS:** They were rectal cancer 49.4%, left-sided colon cancer 43.2% and right-sided colon cancer 6.2%. Histopathology findings were well-differentiated 40.7%, moderate differentiated 32.1% and poorly differentiated 25.9%. There were no correlations between CEA level and haemoglobin level, white blood cells count, and platelet count. There was no significant difference between CEA and location of the tumour (p = 0.70), although CEA level was significantly differenced among histopathology findings (p = 0.03). CEA levels were and associated with the degree of differentiation.

CONCLUSION: CEA levels increased in well-differentiated colorectal carcinoma especially in rectal cancer.

## Introduction

Cancer is a disease characterized by the unchecked division and survival of abnormal cells. When this abnormal growth occurs in colon or rectum, it is called colorectal cancer (CRC) [1]. CRC is one of the common tumour types in the world, which accounts for 400 000 deaths annually [2]. The incidence rates of CRC were 19.1 for men and 15.6 for women per 100.000 populations in Indonesia [3] with a major risk factor for CRC are smoking, alcoholism, physical inactivity and obesity [4].

In 1965 Gold and Freedman demonstrated that a CEA presents in extracts of tumours from the gastrointestinal tract and fetal gut tissues but not in extracts of adult intestinal tissues. CEA modulates intercellular adhesion of colon epithelial cell-collagen interactions. Since high concentrations of CEA presents in fetal tissues and tumours, it disrupts normal intercellular or cell-collagen adhesion forces allowing more cell movement and the development of less ordered tissues architecture and greater cell-cell interaction. CEA appears to have the greatest clinical use as evaluation of treatment efficacy and in followup for recurrent disease.

Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) is the most commonly used tumour marker for CRC diagnosis, prognosis evaluation or after treatment recurrence [5]. CEA is found in normal fetal gastrointestinal tissue and at very low concentrations in adult blood plasma. Its concentration increases in many tumours, such as CRC. Increased CEA concentrations were also reported in gastric, bronchial, uterine and ovarian cancers, and lymphomas as well [6].

American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) defined right-sided colon cancer of cecum and ascending colon up to the hepatic flexure. Leftsided colon cancer comprises of cancers in splenic flexure and regions distal to the splenic flexure, including the rectum. The transverse colon connects left and right-sides and on average is appreciably shorter than the right and left-sides. Colorectal adenocarcinoma can be divided into three distinct disease entities: right colon cancer, left colon cancer and rectal cancer [3].

High CEA production by tumours is associated with increased tumour growth and poorer prognosis [2], [3]. CEA can be detected and quantitatively measured in the serum and the tumour tissues of CRC patients although its role in the prognosis of CRC (Figure 1) remains controversial [7].



Figure 1. Colorectal Cancer Incidence, 2012

Current ASCO guidelines recommend that CEA examinations be routinely obtained at 3-month intervals during postoperative surveillance and at 1–3-month intervals during systemic treatment for metastatic CRC [2].

Normal value of CEA is 5 ng/mL in serum. Patients with appropriate symptoms, a highly increased concentration (e.g., 5 times the upper limit of normal reference value) should be considered strongly suggestive for the presence of cancer in that particular patient.

Factors affecting serum CEA levels in patients with CRC are tumour stage, tumour grade, liver status, tumour site within colon, presence or absence of bowel obstruction, smoking, ploidy status of the tumour. Well-differentiated CRC produces higher CEA level than poorly differentiated one. Certain benign liver diseases impair liver function and, thus, CEA clearance. Consequently, CEA increased in serum of patients with nonmalignant liver diseases. Smoking appears to double CEA serum concentration [6].

# **Material and Methods**

Serum CEA levels in CRC patients were measured using CEA Elecsys analysers (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, United States) with a reference range of 5.0 ng/mL. CRC patients were then divided into two groups, those with normal serum CEA levels (e.g.,  $\leq$  5 ng/mL) and those with elevated serum CEA levels (> 5 ng/mL)

A cross-sectional analytical study was used in this study on eighty consecutive patients with CRC at Adam Malik General Hospital and Permata Bunda Hospital, Medan, Indonesia. All data were analysed with SPSS for Windows version 21. Data were examined using the Kruskal Wallis test.

# Results

Demographic characteristics of the patient are shown in Table 1. The recruited patients consisted of 48 males (60%), and 32 females (40%). The median age of these patients was 53 (25-80) years old. The majority of patients' education level was senior high school (43.2%), elementary school (25.9%), university (16%) and junior high school (13.6%).

The most common tumor location were rectal cancer (49.4%), left-sided colon cancer (43.2%) and right-sided colon cancer (6.2%). Histopathology examinations showed well-differentiated 41,3%, moderate differentiated 32.1% and poorly differentiated 25.9% CRCs.

| Table    | 1. | Demographic | and | clinical | characteristics | of | the |
|----------|----|-------------|-----|----------|-----------------|----|-----|
| patients |    |             |     |          |                 |    |     |

| Variable                  | N = 80                          |  |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Gender                    |                                 |  |
| Male                      | 48 (60%) <sup>a</sup>           |  |
| Female                    | 32 (40%) <sup>a</sup>           |  |
| Age                       | 53 (25-80) <sup>b</sup>         |  |
| education level           |                                 |  |
| Elementary School         | 21 (26.3%) <sup>a</sup>         |  |
| Junior High School        | 11 (13.8%) <sup>a</sup>         |  |
| Senior High School        | 35 (43.8%) <sup>a</sup>         |  |
| University                | 13 (16.3%) <sup>a</sup>         |  |
| Tumour Location           |                                 |  |
| Rectal cancer             | 40 (50%) <sup>a</sup>           |  |
| Left-sided colon cancer   | 35 (43.8%) <sup>a</sup>         |  |
| Right-sided colon cancer  | 5 (6.3%) <sup>a</sup>           |  |
| Histopathology            |                                 |  |
| Well-differentiated       | 33 (41.3%) <sup>a</sup>         |  |
| Moderately differentiated | 26 (32.5%) <sup>a</sup>         |  |
| Poorly differentiated     | 21 (26.3%) <sup>a</sup>         |  |
| Haemoglobin               | 11 (5-19) <sup>b</sup>          |  |
| White blood cells         | 8850 (1650-25 750) <sup>b</sup> |  |
| Platelet                  | 32 5178 ± 135 551°              |  |
| CEA                       | 6.93(0.42-3340.08) <sup>b</sup> |  |

<sup>a</sup> Categoric data: n (%); <sup>b</sup> Numeric data, abnormal distribution: median (min-max); <sup>c</sup> Numeric data, normal distribution: mean ± SD.

The correlation between routine blood count with CEA is shown in Table 2. Hb, WBC and platelet, showed no difference (p > 0.05).

#### Table 2. Correlation of routine blood test with CEA

| Variable | CEA Levels              |      |  |
|----------|-------------------------|------|--|
| variable | Correlation Coefficient | р    |  |
| Hb       | -0.111                  | 0.32 |  |
| WBC      | 0.002                   | 0.98 |  |
| Platelet | -0.109                  | 0.33 |  |

Table 3 shows a comparison of CEA to tumor locations and histopathology grades. Mean of CEA level at rectal 223.90 ng/mL, left-sided cancer 156.79 ng/mL, and right-sided cancer 2.61 ng/mL ng/mL (p = 0.70). Histopathology examinations showed that there were well differentiated 387.66 ng/mL, moderately differentiated 36.62 ng/mL and poorly differentiated 33.90 ng/mL with significant differences among them (p = 0.03).

Table 3. Comparison of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) levels on histopathology classifications and tumour locations in colorectal cancer

|                   | CEA Level Unit  | F    | р    |
|-------------------|-----------------|------|------|
| Tumour location   |                 | 0.37 | 0.70 |
| Rectal cancer     | 223.90 ± 741.98 |      |      |
| Left-sided colon  | 156.79 ± 378.31 |      |      |
| Right-sided colon | 2.61 ± 0.84     |      |      |
| Histopathology    |                 |      |      |
| Well              | 387.66 ± 865.33 | 3.83 | 0.03 |
| Moderate          | 36.62 ± 73.50   |      |      |
| Poorly            | 33.90 ± 66.22   |      |      |

## Discussion

Mostly sample is male (60%). This is according to the research of American cancer society 2012 obtained majority of gender is male than female. The localisation a large number of patients had colorectal cancer in rectal cancer 50% and Left-sided colon cancer 43.8% [10], [11] found that 44 cancers were in rectal region and 68 cancers were in other regions of the colon.

In this study differentiated histopathology findings the mostly well-differentiated 41.3%, this is similarly from the research of Aru W. Sudoyo et al. while the majority of colorectal carcinoma was welldifferentiated [8].

CEA is the most widely used tumour marker worldwide and certainly the most frequently used marker in CRC [9]. In this study, there was a significant difference (p = 0.03) mean CEA among well differentiated 387.66 ng/ml, moderate 36.62 ng/ml and poorly 33.90 ng/ml. Well-differentiated CRC produces more CEA than poorly differentiated. Similarly, CEA tends to be higher in patients with welldifferentiated compared to poorly differentiated [12], [13], [14], [15]. Thus, a lack of differentiation or poorly differentiated may explain why some patients with advanced CRC do not have increased CEA value (Park JW et al., 2013). Mean of CEA of location In conclusion, CEA levels increased in welldifferentiated colorectal carcinoma especially in rectal cancer.

## References

1. American cancer. Cancer Facts & Figures 2017. Atlanta: American Cancer Society, 2012:1.

2. Reiter W, Stieber P, Reuter C, Nagel D, Lau-Werner U, Lamerz R. Multivariate analysis of the prognostic value of CEA and CA 19-9 serum levels in colorectal cancer. Anticancer research. 2000; 20(6D):5195-8.

3. Vukobrat-Bijedic Z, Husic-Selimovic A, Sofic A, Bijedic N, Bjelogrlic I, Gogov B, Mehmedovic A. Cancer antigens (CEA and CA 19-9) as markers of advanced stage of colorectal carcinoma. medical archives. 2013; 67(6):393-396, 2013.

https://doi.org/10.5455/medarh.2013.67.397-401 PMid:25568506 PMCid:PMC4272469

4. GLOBOCAN 2012: Estimated Cancer Incidence, Mortality and Prevalence Worldwide in 2012, 2012.

5. World Health Organization, Cancer Country Profiles Indonesia, 2014.

6. Duffy MJ, van Dalen A, Haglund C, Hansson L, Holinski-Feder E, Klapdor R, Lamerz R, Peltomaki P, Sturgeon C, Topolcan O. Tumour markers in colorectal cancer: European Group on Tumour Markers (EGTM) guidelines for clinical use. Eur J Cancer. 2007; 43:1348-1360. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2007.03.021</u> PMid:17512720

7. Norton JA. Carcinoembryonic Antigen, New Applications for an Old Marker. National Cancer Institute/NIH, Bethesda. 2017; 203:2.

8. M.Li, J.-Y, Li, A.-L, Zao, Comparison of carsinoembryonic antigen prognostic value in serum and tumor tissue of patients with colorectal cancer. 2018;11:276-281. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-1318.2008.01591.x</u> PMid:18513194 PMCid:PMC3002045

9. Kannagi R, Izawa M, Koike T, Miyazaki K, Kimura N. Carbohidrate-mediated cell adhesion in cancer metastasis and angiogenesis. Cancer Sci. 2014; 95(5):377-384. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1349-7006.2004.tb03219.x PMid:15132763

10. Duffy MJ. Carcinoembryonic antigen as a marker for colorectal cancer: is it clinically useful? Clinical chemistry. 2000; 47(4):624-30.

11. Bin J, Xin W, Yan J, Wensen X, Bel C, Lin L, Zheng C, Liu H, Domping F. Detection of serum gastric cancer Associated MG7-Ag from gastric cancer patients using a sensitive and convenient ELISA Method. Cancer Investigation. 2015; 27:227-233. https://doi.org/10.1080/07357900802175609 PMid:19235597

12. Sudoyo AW, Hernowo B, Krisnuhoni E, Reksodiputro AH, Hardjodisastro D. Colorectal cancer among young native Indonesians: a clinicopathological and molecular assessment on microsatellite instability. Medical Journal of Indonesia. 2011; 19(4):245-51. https://doi.org/10.13181/mji.v19i4.411

13. Meyerhardt JA, Mangu PB, Flynn PJ, Korde L, Loprinzi CL, Minsky BD, Petrelli NJ, Ryan K, Schrag DH, Wong SL, Benson AB. Follow-up care, surveillance protocol, and secondary prevention measures for survivors of colorectal cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline endorsement. J Clin Oncol. 2013; 31:4465-4470. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2013.50.7442 PMid:24220554

14. Labianca R, Nordlinger B, Beretta GD, Mosconi S, Mandala M, Cervantes A, Arnold D. Early colon cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol. 2013; 24(6):vi64-vi72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdt354</u> PMid:24078664

15. Park JW, Chang HJ, Kim BC, Yeo HY, Kim DY. Clinical validity of tissue carcinoembryonic antigen expression as ancillary to serum carcinoembryonic antigen concentration in patients curatively resected for colorectal cancer. Colorectal Dis. 2013; 15:e503-e511. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/codi.12304</u> PMid:23711333

16. Nicholson BD, Shinkins B, Pathiraja I, Roberts NW, James TJ, Mallett S, Perera R, Primrose JN, Mant D. Blood CEA levels for detecting recurrent colorectal cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015; (12):CD011134. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD011134.pub2

17. Jeon BG, Shin R, Chung JK, Jung IM, Heo SC. Individualized cutoff value of the preoperative carcinoembryonic antigen level is necessary for optimal use as a prognostic marker. Ann Coloproctol. 2013; 29:106-14. <u>https://doi.org/10.3393/ac.2013.29.3.106</u> PMid:23862128 PMCid:PMC3710771