

Formulation and Antibacterial Activity of Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng Leaves Ethanolic Extract as Herbal **Mouthwash Against Halitosis Caused Bacteria**

Nazliniwaty Nazliniwaty^{*}, Lia Laila

Department of Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan 20155, Indonesia

Abstract

Citation: Nazliniwaty N, Laila L. Formulation and Antibacterial Activity of Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng Leaves Ethanolic Extract as Herbal Mouthwash Against Hallosis Caused Bacteria. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2019 Nov 30; 7(22):3900-3903. https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2019.529

Keywords: Antibacterial activity; Ethanolic extract; Halitosis; Mouthwash; Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng

*Correspondence: Nazliniwaty Nazliniwaty. Department of Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan 20155, Indonesia. E-mail: nazliniwaty@usu.ac.id

Received: 25-Sep-2019; Revised: 17-Oct Accepted: 18-Oct-2019; Online first: 14-Nov-2019

Copyright: © 2019 Nazliniwaty Nazliniwaty, Lia Laila. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)

Funding: This research did not receive any financial

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist

BACKGROUND: Halitosis is one of the unpleasant conditions which could alter the self-confidence and cause serious illness. One of the treatments to overcome halitosis is by using mouthwash. Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng is one of the plants that is known to have antibacterial activity which can be used to overcome halitosis caused bacteria

AIM: To formulate the Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng leaves ethanolic extract as herbal mouthwash and to evaluate the antibacterial activity against Streptococcus mutans and Staphylococcus aureus bacteria.

METHODS: The methods of the study included the characterization and screening of Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng leaves dried powder; the extraction process by maceration using 96% ethanol; the antibacterial activity test of Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng leaves ethanolic extract and the formulation and evaluation of mouthwash dosage form with various concentrations of Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour). Spreng leaves ethanolic extract.

RESULTS: The characterization results showed that the Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng leaves powder contained 7.92% of water, 29.26% of water-soluble substance, 13.32% of ethanol soluble substance, 0.82% of total ash and 0.66% of acid insoluble ash. The screening examination gave glycoside, saponin, flavonoid, tannin and steroid/triterpenoid positive results. The antibacterial activity test of the Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng mouthwash showed that the dosage form with 2% of Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng extract successfully inhibited the growth of bacteria with 12.00 and 11.25 mm of inhibition diameter for both Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus mutans, respectively.

CONCLUSION: The Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng leaves ethanolic extract can be formulated in mouthwash dosage form and has antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus mutans bacteria

Introduction

Halitosis is an unpleasant oral odour, emerging from the oral cavity whereby if it is prolonged untreated can decrease the self-confidence and cause serious illness [1], [2]. Approximately 80% of all halitosis cases are caused by the bacterial degradation of organic substrates left in mouth, which mostly resulting volatile sulphuric compound [2], [3]. The microorganisms that usually found as the cause of halitosis related diseases such as dental caries were Streptococcus mutans and Staphylococcus aureus [4], [5]. One of the treatments of halitosis is

oral hygiene by using mouthwash. The utility of mouthwash containing antibacterial to treat bad breath has been suggested to kill the bacteria, to rinse the debris of food and to lift the plaque in the oral cavity [6].

Mouthwash is a solution that containing breath refreshment substance. adstringent, demulcent, surfactant or antibacteria to refresh and clean the airways whereby the usage is by rinsing [7]. The active substance as antibacterial can be obtained from the nature, hence it is called herbal mouthwash [4]. In this research, *Plectranthus amboinicus* (Lour.) Spreng leaves ethanolic extract was used as the active agent. Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng leaves was reported to have volatile compounds such as carvacrol, linanol, geranyl acetate, γ -terpinene, *p*cymene, nerol, α -4-carene, caryophyllene and β myrcene [8]. The powder leaf of *Plectranthus amboinicus* (Lour.) Spreng also contained non-volatile compounds which had been reported by previous studies such as flavonoids, terpenoids, saponins, steroids, tannins, proteins, and carbohydrates [9], [10], [11]. The compounds in *Plectranthus amboinicus* (Lour.) Spreng leaf showed antimicrobial activity against several microorganisms as highlighted in the previous reported studies [12], [13].

Therefore, this study was performed to formulate a mouthwash dosage form containing *Plectranthus amboinicus* (Lour.) Spreng extract as active ingredient to treat halitosis caused bacteria.

Material and Methods

Materials

The materials used in this study were Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng leaves, nutrient nutrient broth. 96% ethanol. agar, Staphylococcus aureus bacteria. Streptococcus mutan bacteria, saccharin, Tween 80, citrus oil, distilled water, commercial mouthwash, alpha naphtol, hidrochloric acid, acetic acid anhidride, glacial acetic acid, nitric acid, sulphuric acid, benzene, iron (III) chloride, bisthmuth (III) nitrate, ethanol, ethyl acetate, n-hexane, iodine, isopropanol, potasium iodide, chloroform, methanol, sodium hidroxide, sodium chloride, sodium sulphate anhydrate, mercury (II) chloride, magnesium powder, lead (II) acetate and toluene. All of the chemical reagents used were analytical grade.

Methods

The *Plectranthus amboinicus* (Lour.) Spreng leaves were washed and dried at temperature of 40°C for 3 days. The dried leaves were powdered, then the powder was characterized and screened for the the phytochemical compound content. The extract was prepared by maceration method using 96% ethanol [14]. The extract was concentrated using rotary evaporator until a viscous extract was obtained. The obtained extract was called as ethanolic extract of *Plectranthus amboinicus* (Lour.) Spreng leaves (EEPL).

Formulation of Mouthwash

The formula of mouthwash was varied according to the concentration of EEPL as shown in Table 1. The basic formula of mouthwash was adopted from Mitsui [15].

Table 1: The composition of mouthwash formula

Ingredients	F0	F1	F2	F3	F4
EEPL	-	0,75%	1%	2%	3%
Tween 80 pH 6-8 5%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%
Saccharin	0,1%	0,1%	0,1%	0,1%	0,1%
Citrus oil	0,2%	0,2%	0,2%	0,2%	0,2%
Distilled water to	50 ml				
F0: Blank (without extract); F1: Mouthwash with 0.75% EEPL; F2: Mouthwash with 1%					

EEPL; F3: Mouthwash with 2% EEPL; F4: Mouthwash with 3% EEPL.

Evaluation of Mouthwash

The evaluation of mouthwash stability included form, colour, odour and pH [16]. Mouthwash was stable if there were no changes in colour, odour, pH and appearance during the storage at room temperature. The observation was conducted at the 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4th week of storage.

Antibacterial activity test

The antibacterial activity test was performed for both EEPL and mouthwash dosage form. The antibacterial activity test was conducted using diffusion agar method as described in Valgas et al., [17]. The antibacterial activity was judged by measuring the inhibition zone diameter against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus mutans* bacteria after 24 hours incubation.

Results

Phytochemical Screening and Characterization of Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng leaves dried powder

The phytochemical screening evaluation of *Plectranthus amboinicus* (Lour.) Spreng leaves dried powder showed the positive result of chemical compounds including flavonoid, glycoside, saponin, tannin, and steroid / triterpene. This result was accordance with the result of the other previous study reported by Kaliappan and Viswanathan [9].

The characterization of the *Plectranthus amboinicus* (Lour.) Spreng leaves dried powder is shown in Table 2.

Table	2:	The	characterization	results	of	Plectranthus
amboii	nicus	(Lour	.) Spreng leaves d	ried powo	der	

Parameter	Result (%)
Water content	7.92
Water soluble substance	29.26
Ethanol soluble substance	13.32
Total ash	0.82
Acid insoluble ash content	0.66

Antibacterial activity of EEPL

The antibacterial activity of EEPL was done to find the optimum dose for mouthwash formulation. The test demonstrated that EEPL inhibited the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus mutans* bacteria, indicated by the clear zone around the disk. EEPL had been succesfully inhibited the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus mutans* bacteria started at concentration of 0.3 and 0.4%, respectively. At this concentration, EEPL gave inhibition zone diameter of 6.03 and 7.10 mm for *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus mutans*, respectively. The effective inhibition zone diameter of 14.12 and 14.87 mm for *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus mutans*, respectively. The diameter of 14.12 and 14.87 mm for *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus mutans*, respectively. The diameter of the clear zone was elevated with EEPL concentration. The antibacterial activity of EEPL can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3: The antibacterial activity of EEPL againstStaphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus mutans bacteria

Staphylococcus aureus Streptococcus mutans 3.5% 22.75 17.22 3% 21.52 16.42 2.5% 19.43 15.54 2% 18.48 15.17 1.5% 14.12*** 14.87** 1% 12.60 12.73 0.75% 10.30 10.77 0.5% 7.12 8.15 0.4% 6.17 7.10 0.3% 6.03 - 0.2% - -	Concentration	Inhibition zone diameter (mm)			
3.5% 22.75 17.22 3% 21.52 16.42 2.5% 19.43 15.54 2% 18.48 15.17 1.5% 14.12** 14.87** 1% 12.60 12.73 0.75% 10.30 10.77 0.5% 7.12 8.15 0.4% 6.03 - 0.2% - -		Staphylococcus aureus	Streptococcus mutans		
3% 21.52 16.42 2.5% 19.43 15.54 2% 18.48 15.17 1.5% 14.12** 14.87** 1% 12.60 12.73 0.75% 10.30 10.77 0.5% 7.12 8.15 0.4% 6.17 7.10 0.3% 6.03 - 0.2% - -	3.5%	22.75	17.22		
2.5% 19.43 15.54 2% 18.48 15.17 1.5% 14.12** 14.87** 1% 12.60 12.73 0.75% 10.30 10.77 0.5% 7.12 8.15 0.4% 6.17 7.10 0.3% 6.03 - 0.2% - -	3%	21.52	16.42		
2% 18.48 15.17 1.5% 14.12** 14.87** 1% 12.60 12.73 0.75% 10.30 10.77 0.5% 7.12 8.15 0.4% 6.17 7.10 0.3% 6.03 - 18ank - -	2.5%	19.43	15.54		
1.5% 14.12** 14.87** 1% 12.60 12.73 0.75% 10.30 10.77 0.5% 7.12 8.15 0.4% 6.17 7.10 0.3% 6.03 - 0.2% - - Blank - -	2%	18.48	15.17		
1% 12.60 12.73 0.75% 10.30 10.77 0.5% 7.12 8.15 0.4% 6.17 7.10 0.3% 6.03 - 0.2% - - Blank - -	1.5%	14.12**	14.87**		
0.75% 10.30 10.77 0.5% 7.12 8.15 0.4% 6.17 7.10 0.3% 6.03 - 0.2% - - Blank - -	1%	12.60	12.73		
0.5% 7.12 8.15 0.4% 6.17 7.10 0.3% 6.03 - 0.2% - - Blank - -	0.75%	10.30	10.77		
0.4% 6.17 7.10 0.3% 6.03 - 0.2% Blank	0.5%	7.12	8.15		
0.3% 6.03 - 0.2% Blank	0.4%	6.17	7.10		
0.2% Blank	0.3%	6.03	-		
Blank	0.2%	-	-		
	Blank	-	-		

- = no inhibition; ** = effective as antibacterial.

Evaluation of mouthwash dosage form

The mouthwash formula resulted a clear solution for the F0 as blank without EEPL. In the other hand, the formula containing EEPL showed a brownish green colour solution with specific odour as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Mouthwash dosage form for each formula. F0: Blank (without extract); F1: Mouthwash with EEPL 0.75%; F2: Mouthwash with EEPL 1%; F3: Mouthwash with EEPL 2%; F4: Mouthwash with EEPL 3%

Stability of mouthwash dosage form

Based on the stability study that conducted for 28 days, it was demonstrated that all of the formula showed no changes in colour, odour and appearance. It indicated that the prepared dosage forms were physically stable.

The evaluation of pH was performed to

determine the safety of mouthwash usage. Most of bacteria has optimum growth pH in the range of 6.5 - 7.5 [18]. Therefore, the pH of formulation should be out of the range of optimum pH of bacteria growth. The result of pH evaluation showed that either the blank and the herbal mouthwash had pH 5.87 - 6.00. The excipients added in the formula slightly decreased the pH during the storage of 28 days, since the excipients posessed acid properties. However, the decrease of pH was still in the range of acceptable criteria for mouthwash which is 4.0 - 6.5.

Table 5:	bН	evaluation	result	durina	storage
10010 01	P	oraidation	rooune	aanng	otorago

				pН		
Day	F0	F1	F2	F3	F4	Commercial mouthwash
0	6.03	6.00	6.00	5.90	5.87	5.8
7	5.97	5.87	5.83	5.73	5.70	5.8
14	5.90	5.77	5.73	5.67	5.63	5.8
21	5.77	5.67	5.57	5.53	5.50	5.8
28	5.57	5.47	5.37	5.33	5.30	5.8

Antibacterial activity of EEPL Mouthwash Dosage Form

Based on the antibacterial activity test of EEPL, the mouthwash dosage form was formulated at concentration of 0.75, 1, 2 and 3%. The antibacterial activity result of EEPL mouthwash dosage form formula against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus mutans* is presented in Table 4.

Table 4: The inhibition zone diameter of EEPL mouthwash dosage form

Formula	Inhibition zone diameter (mm)				
	Staphylococcus aureus	Streptococcus mutans			
F0 (Blank)	-	-			
F1	-	-			
F2	8.13	-			
F3	12.00	11.25			
F4	13.42	13.22			
Commercial mouthwash	8.35	9.5			

F0: Blank (without extract); F1: Mouthwash with 0.75% EEPL; F2: Mouthwash with 1% EEPL; F3: Mouthwash with 2% EEPL; F4: Mouthwash with 3% EEPL.

The blank formula showed no inhibition activity for both bacteria. Surprisingly at concentration 0.75% in the mouthwash dosage form, there was no inhibition of bacterial growth for both *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus mutans*. This result was different with the activity shown by EEPL alone. The formulation of EEPL in mouthwash dosage form had declined the antibacterial activity.

The inhibition zone was started to occur for F2 with 1% concentration of EEPL against Staphylococcus aureus only, otherwise the growth of Streptococcus mutans could be altered with higher concentration. Table 5 shows that F3 possesed inhibition zone with inhibition diameter 12.00 and 11.25 mm for Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus mutans, respectively. It also had higher inhibition zone diameter compared to the commercial mouthwash.

Discussion

The ability of EEPL in the mouthwash formula to decline the growth of tested bacteria demonstrated the effectivity of the herbal mouthwash to overcome halitosis. Some studies had identified the chemical compounds contained in Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng leaves which responsible for the antibacterial activity. Essential oil such as p-cymene, thymol, β-caryophyllene and y-terpinene found in Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng leaves demostrated a positive result as antibacterial against Staphyllococcus aureus [19]. However, in this study the Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng leaves were extracted in ethanol solvent which produced most of the extracted coumpunds were non-volatile compounds. The non-volatile compounds of Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng leaves had been reported by Bhatt and Negi which mostly the phenolic compound to have antibacterial activity against the Gram-positive bacteria such as Staphyllococcus aureus [20]. In accordance with the result reported by Valgas, et al. and Gurgel, et al, Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng leaves extract showed a very potent result as antibacterial against Staphyllococcus aureus, due to the flavonoid compounds in the extract [17], [21].

References

1. Porter SR, Scully C. Oral malodour (halitosis). BMJ. 2006; 333(7569):632-5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38954.631968.AE</u> PMid:16990322 PMCid:PMC1570844

2. Van Den Broek AM, Feenstra L, De Baat C. A review of the current literature on management of halitosis. Oral diseases. 2008; 14(1):30-9.

3. Yaegaki K, Sanada K. Biochemical and clinical factors influencing oral

malodor in periodontal patients. Journal of Periodontology. 1992; 63:783-9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.1992.63.9.783</u> PMid:1474480

4. Pedrazzi V, Leite MF, Tavares RC, Sato S, do Nascimento GC, Issa JP. Herbal mouthwash containing extracts of Baccharis dracunculifolia as agent for the control of biofilm: clinical evaluation in humans. The Scientific World Journal. 2015; 2015:6. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/712683 PMid:25874255 PMCid:PMC4385682

5. Soesilo D, Rinna ES, Indeswati D. The role of Sorbitol in maintaining saliva's pH to prevent caries process. Journal Faculty of Dentistry Airlangga University 2005; 38:25-28. https://doi.org/10.20473/j.djmkg.v38.i1.p25-28

6. Yaegaki K, Coil JM, Kamemizu T, Miyazaki H. Tongue brushing and mouth rinsing as basic treatment measures for halitosis.

International Dental Journal. 2002; 52(S5P1):192-6. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1875-595X.2002.tb00923.x PMid:12090451

7. Backer AK. Handbook of Nonpresciption Drugs. 9th edition. 1990. Washington: American Pharmaceutical, 1990.

8. Asiimwe S, Borg-Karlsson AK, Azeem M, Mugisha KM, Namutebi A, Gakunga NJ. Chemical composition and Toxicological evaluation of the aqueous leaf extracts of Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng. Interational Journal of Pharmaceutical Science Invention. 2014: 3:19-27

9. Kaliappan ND, Viswanathan PK. Pharmacognostical studies on the leaves of Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour) Spreng. International Journal of Green Pharmacy (IJGP). 2008; 2(3). https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-8258.42740

10. El-hawary SS, El-sofany RH, Abdel-Monem AR, Ashour RS. Phytochemical Screening, DNA Fingerprinting, and Nutritional Value of Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng. Pharmacognosy Journal. 2012; 4:10-3. https://doi.org/10.5530/pj.2012.30.2

11. Arumugam G, Swamy M, Sinniah U. Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng: botanical, phytochemical, pharmacological and nutritional significance. Molecules. 2016; 21(4):369. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules21040369</u> PMid:27043511 PMCid:PMC6274163

12. Aguiar JJ, Sousa CP, Araruna MK, Silva MK, Portelo AC, Lopes JC, et al. Antibacterial and modifying-antibiotic activities of the essential oils of Ocimum gratissimum L. and Plectranthus amboinicus L. European Journal of Integrative Medicine. 2015; 7(2):151-6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eujim.2014.10.005</u>

13. Vijayakumar S, Vinoj G, Malaikozhundan B, Shanthi S, Vaseeharan B. Plectranthus amboinicus leaf extract mediated synthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles and its control of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus biofilm and blood sucking mosquito larvae. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy. 2015; 137:886-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2014.08.064 PMid:25280336

14. Indonesian Materia Medica. Fifth Edition. Jakarta: Health Department of Republic of Indonesia, 1989

15. Mitsui T, editor. New cosmetic science. Elsevier; 1997.

16. Indonesian Pharmacopoeia. Fourth Edition. Jakarta: Health Department of Republic of Indonesia, 1995.

17. Valgas C, Souza SM, Smânia EF, Smânia Jr A. Screening methods to determine antibacterial activity of natural products. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology. 2007; 38(2):369-80. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822007000200034

18. Fardiaz, S. Food Microbiology Analysis (Analisis Mikrobiologis Pangan). Jakarta: Raja Grafindo Persada, 1996.

19. da Costa, JGM, Pereira, CKB, Rodrigues, FFG, de Lima SG. Chemical Composition, Antibacterial and Fungicidal Activities of Leaf Oil of Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) Spreng. Journal of Essential Oil Research. 2010; 22(2):183-185. https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2010.9700298

20. Bhatt, P, Negi, PS. Antioxidant and Antibacterial Activities in the Leaf Extracts of Indian Borage (Plectranthus amboinicus). Food and Nutrition Sciences. 2012; 3:146-152. https://doi.org/10.4236/fns.2012.32022

21. Gurgel AP, da SILVA JG, Grangeiro AR, Xavier HS, Oliveira RA, Pereira MS, de SOUZA IA. Antibacterial effects of Plectranthus amboinicus (Lour.) spreng (Lamiaceae) in methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA). Latin American Journal of Pharmacy. 2009; 28(3):460-4.